SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: **PART 2, PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY**

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
390	05/02/08	Other	Deacon Donald M. Clark, Adventist Community Services	Involve churches in the process. The General Plan seems to not acknowledge the role of churches in providing services to vulnerable populations.		**Comment Noted.**
391	07/31/08	Other	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	PHS 2.2.2 – The City needs to initiate a discussion about flexible design standards for development proposals so that Public Safety issues can be addressed but are not an impediment to the other goals of the project.	2-269	**Recommended.** Add an Implementation Program to Table 4-9 Public Health and Safety Implementation Programs in Part 4: General Plan Administration and Implementation as follows: "13. The City shall develop a comprehensive approach to consider incorporating flexible design standards into the Zoning Code for development proposals such that public safety issues as well as goals of the project are addressed. Implements Which Policy(ies) PHS 2.2.2 Responsible Department Planning, Fire Supporting Department(s) N/A"

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						In the meantime, the Fire Department is participating in discussions on a case by case basis.
392	07/31/08	New	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	This section needs another policy that encourages the procurement and use of smaller equipment for infill projects in order to assure that the needs of large equipment don't outweigh the benefits and progress of infill development in the City.	2-270	**Not Recommended.** Fire apparatus specifications are driven, in part, by OSHA requirements, State-wide standardization, and the capability to respond to a wide variety of emergencies. The apparatus must provide for an enclosed area for fire personnel, and carry a considerable volume and assortment of equipment to be able to respond to a variety of emergencies such as heart attacks, vehicle crashes, drownings, and large structure fires. Furthermore, while the majority of responses to emergencies within the City are handled by the City Fire Department, many are handled by fire agencies from other jurisdictions through mutual-aid agreements. Modified developments may create inadequate emergency access and/or increase response times resulting in a significant risk of liability to the City.

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
393	07/31/08	Mod	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	PHS 5.1.7 (Healthy Communities) This policy should encourage additional farmer's markets and community gardens in areas of the City that are underserved by access to fresh and healthy food.	2-282	**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** Change ER 4.1.1 as follows: "ER 4.1.1 Locally Grown and Organic Foods. The City shall provide venues for farmer's markets, particularly in areas that lack access to fresh and healthy foods, and encourage serving locally grown and organic foods at City public facilities." Location of community gardens could be addressed by the next update of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.
394	07/31/08	New	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	LU 2.7.5 (Development along Freeways) This policy should be keyed into and discussed in the Public Safety Element due to the documented health issues associated with air quality for those living within 500 feet of freeways.		**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** Add reference box in margin near LU 2.7.5 with the following language: "See ER 6.1.8 for a policy that protects air quality for "sensitive uses" near freeways."
395	07/31/08	Mod	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	Goal PHS 6.1 (Compliance with Health and Safety Codes) Add the following: "Encourage continuous review, analysis, and required upgrades of codes to provide for the fullest public health and public safety for all	2-285	**Comment Noted.** The City will re-evaluate General Plan implementation annually, and will revise and update every 5 years. (See Part 4). This will provide direction

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				elements of the general plan." It will not be adequate to simply deal with enforcement of what is presently on the books if the goals of the General Plan are to be fully met.		for code amendments if necessary.
396	7/31/2008	New	Chris Paros	To retain police in the City force, any City Police Academy graduates should have a contract clause requiring them to work for the City for at least 5 years. It's unbelievable that cadets are allowed to graduate, then quit and go work elsewhere.		**Not Recommended.** The Police Department has researched this issue. As a result of their background vetting process, the Sacramento Police Department hasn't had a very big problem with officers leaving within their first five years. Although many agencies started implementing the suggested policy years ago, it has only just recently been rendered legal by a court decision.
397	7/31/2008	New	Caroline Park	Pedestrian and cyclist issues should be included in the Public Health and Safety Element as well as in the Land Use and Mobility Elements.		**Recommended with the Following Modification:** Rather than creating redundant policies and goals, add a reference box to the margin on the same page as Policy PHS 5.1.7 stating, "See M2 Walkable Communities and M5 Bikeways for policies addressing walkable neighborhoods and bike facilities."

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
398	7/31/2008	Other	Nicole Hara	PHS 1.1.1 (Police Master Plan), PHS 1.1.5 (Distribution of Facilities), PHS 1.1.6 (Co-Location of Facilities) I hope that this ties into the substation promised to North Natomas. Reading it at face value would lead me to believe it does but from the chatter I hear, perhaps I am being naive.	2-263 2-264	**Addressed Elsewhere.** The Police Department Master Plan, which will be available in the fall of 2008, will specifically address distribution of planned facilities.
399	8/21/08	New	Sarah Ropelato, Legal Services of Northern California	Add the following new policy PHS 3.1.7 Compatibility with Natural Gas Facilities. The City shall ensure, wherever possible, that natural gas storage facilities are located away from park and recreation areas and urban residential land uses due to potential health and safety hazards associated with natural gas storage.	2-274	**Recommended with Modifications:** Add new policy to PHS 3-Hazardous Materials after PHS 3.1.6: "**Risks from Hazardous Materials Facilities.** The City shall review proposed facilities that would produce or store hazardous materials, gas, natural gas, or other fuels to identify, and provide feasible mitigation, for any risks. The review shall consider, at a minimum, the following: presence of seismic or geologic hazards; presence of hazardous materials; proximity to residential development and areas in which substantial concentrations of people would occur; and nature and level of risk and hazards associated with the proposed project. (RDR)"

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						(Also, change the title of Policy 3.1.6 to "Compatibility with Hazardous Materials Facilities".)

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: **PART 2, ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES**

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
400	7/25/08	Mod	Walt Seifert, SABA	Goal ER 6.1 (Improved Air Quality) Bicycles are another alternative to zero-emission or low-emission automobiles. Bicycles are a type of zero-emission vehicle. In fact, it can be argued are the only true zero-emission vehicles since electric vehicles use power supplied from a grid that generates emissions elsewhere. This section should point out this fact, and allow for the accommodation and encouragement of bicycles. Bicycling as a transportation mode should be given priority over other zero-emission vehicles due to its extremely low negative impact on the environment as a whole and on air quality specifically. In addition, bicycling, unlike other zero emissions vehicles, provides positive health impacts through physical activity.	2-309	**Recommended.** Add "bicycles and other non-motorized vehicles" to the list of vehicles that the City should encourage in ER 6.1.12 (Zero-Emission and Low-Emission Vehicle Use).
401	7/30/08	Mod	Keith Roberts, General Services	Policy 6.1.13 (Preference for Reduced-Emission Equipment) This should be modified to include preference for not only reduced emissions, but for other "green" practices, such as location in a LEED-Certified building, etc. We have started a pilot project to provide qualifications (and future bid) preferences for firms that show they operate their firms in a sustainable fashion.	2-311	**Recommended.** Modify Policy ER 6.1.13 (Preference for Reduced-Emission Equipment) to state: "The City shall give preference to contractors using reduced-emission equipment for City construction projects ~~as well as City~~ and contracts for services, as well as businesses which practice sustainable operations."

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
402	05/23/08	Other	Kim Schwab, CA Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)	General Plan *Technical Background Report* Comment 1: Chapter 6, page 6.2-5 and 6, Surface Water Quality. This section should be updated to reflect current 303(d) listed waterbodies in the Policy Area. We refer you to the Regional Water Board's web address for the latest updates: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/index.shtml See also the Waterbody Table listing all local water bodies, their sizes, and the pollutants/stressors each suffers from. Finding 90 also states: The Regional Water Board Toxic Hot Spots Clean-up Plan (California Water Code section 13394) identified the following hot spots that are applicable to this discharge: a. Mercury in the Delta; and b. Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos in Morrison Creek in the City of Sacramento." We recommend that this information be identified in the 2030 General Plan to the extent that it applies to the City of Sacramento's Policy Area.		**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** Section 6.7 (Hydrology and Water Quality) of the Draft EIR will be modified to include current information on the 303(d) listed waterbodies and toxic hot spots for the Sacramento area waterways. Also, some of this information is too detailed to include in the Draft EIR setting, and the Delta is outside of our Policy Area so it will not be addressed.
403	05/23/08	New/Mod	Kim Schwab, RWCQB	Provision D. 15. Water Quality Planning and Design Principles - In order to reduce pollutants and runoff flows from new development and		**Comment Noted.** Water quality planning and design are addressed in Policies

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				redevelopment to the MEP (maximum extent practicable), each Permittee shall address the following concepts: (Clarification on comment: The information cited is from the proposed Sacramento MS4 Permit Tentative Order. The commenter recommends that these details should be considered for inclusion into the 2030 General Plan. This comment is continued below.)		ER 1.1.1 through ER 1.1.7.
404				Minimize the amount of impervious surfaces and directly connected impervious surfaces in areas of new development and redevelopment where feasible to maximize on-site infiltration of runoff (low impact design practices).		**Recommended with Modifications.** Comment is addressed by Policies ER 1.1.3 and ER 1.1.4. Recommend the following modifications to ER 1.1.4: "New Development. The City shall require new development to protect the quality of water bodies and natural drainage systems through site design, source controls, storm water treatment, runoff reduction measures, best management practices (BMPs) and Low Impact Development (LID) and hydromodification strategies consistent with the city's NPDES Permit."
405				Implement pollution prevention methods		**Recommended with**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				supplemented by pollutant source controls and treatment. Where practical, use strategies that control the sources of pollutants or constituents (i.e., the point where water initially meets the ground) to minimize the transport of urban runoff and pollutants offsite and into MS4s.		**Modifications.** Comment is addressed by Policies ER 1.1.3 and ER 1.1.4. Recommend the following modifications to ER 1.1.4: "**New Development.** The City shall require new development to protect the quality of water bodies and natural drainage systems through site design, source controls, storm water treatment, runoff reduction measures, best management practices (BMPs) and Low Impact Development (LID) and hydromodification strategies consistent with the city's NPDES Permit."
406				Preserve, and where feasible, create or restore areas that provide important water quality benefits, such as riparian corridors, wetlands, and buffer zones (e.g., levees).		**Recommended with Modifications.** Revise ER 1.1.1 as follows: "ER 1.1.1 Conservation of Open Space. The City shall conserve and where feasible create or restore areas that provide important water quality benefits, such as riparian corridors, buffer zones, wetlands, undeveloped open space areas, levees and drainage canals for the purpose

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						of protecting water resources in the City's watershed, creeks and the Sacramento and American Rivers."
407				Limit disturbances of natural water bodies and natural drainage systems caused by development including roads, highways, and bridges.		**Recommended with Modifications.** Revise ER 1.1.6 as follows: "Construction Site Impacts. The City shall minimize disturbances of natural water bodies and natural drainage systems caused by development, implement measures to protect areas from erosion and sediment loss and continue to require construction contractors to comply with the City's erosion and sediment control and stormwater management and discharge control ordinances."
408				Require incorporation of structural and non-structural BMPs to mitigate the projected increases in pollutant loads from future development.		**Comment Noted.** Comment is addressed by Policies ER 1.1.3 and ER 1.1.4 (including the recommended revision to ER 1.1.4 discussed in the comments above).
409				Identify and avoid development in areas that are particularly susceptible to erosion and sediment loss; or establish development guidance that protects areas from erosion and sediment loss.		**Comment Noted.** Comment is addressed by Policy ER 1.1.6, which will be revised, as discussed in the comments above, to state:

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						"Construction Site Impacts. The City shall minimize disturbances of natural water bodies and natural drainage systems caused by development, implement measures to protect areas from erosion and sediment loss and continue to require construction contractors to comply with the City's erosion and sediment control and stormwater management and discharge control ordinances."
410				Coordinate with local traffic management programs to reduce pollutants associated with vehicles and increased traffic resulting from development.		**Comment Noted/Addressed Elsewhere.** ER 6 (Air Quality) addresses this issue with goals and policies to reduce emissions from vehicles. Also, goals and policies in the Mobility Element reduce pollutants associated with vehicles by: **Reducing dependence on the automobile.** Provides for a decrease in single-occupant vehicle use through *Transportation Demand Management,* parking supply disincentives, and changes in

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						LOS standards. (See M 1.4, M 1.2, M 6.1.4) **Improving multimodal choices.** Improves modal choices by providing for better system connectivity, complete streets, pedestrian safety, and public transit connections and support. (See M 1.2, M 1.3, M 2) **Removing barriers to creating and improving multi-modal districts.** Flexible level of service standards allow for increased density and intensity in multi-modal districts. (See M 1.2, M 1.2.2)
411				Implement source and/or treatment controls to protect downstream receiving water quality from increased pollutant loads in runoff flows from new development and significant redevelopment.		**Comment Noted.** Comment is addressed by Policies ER 1.1.3 and ER 1.1.4 (including the recommended revision to ER 1.1.4 discussed in the comments above).
412				Control the post-development peak storm water run-off discharge rates and velocities to prevent or reduce downstream erosion and to protect stream habitat (hydromodification concepts).		**Comment Noted.** Comment is addressed by Policy ER 1.1.5.
413	05/23/08	New/Other	Kim Schwab, RWQCB	Low Impact Development Strategies: Priority new development and redevelopment projects shall integrate Low Impact Development (LID)		**Recommended with Modifications.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				principles as feasible early in the project planning and design process. LID is a storm water management and land development strategy that emphasizes conservation and the use of existing natural site features integrated with engineered, small-scale hydrologic controls to more closely reflect predevelopment hydrologic functions in residential, commercial, and industrial settings. *The Stormwater Quality Design Manual for Sacramento and South Placer Regions* (May 2007) currently promotes LID principles such as conservation and use of natural site features; site specific, lot scale source and treatment control measures that keep pollutants from contacting run-off and leaving the site; and run-off reduction control measures integrated into site design. i. In addition, Each Permittee shall amend, revise or adopt development standards (including policies, codes, ordinances and/or regulations) to require implementation of LID strategies at priority new development and redevelopment projects as feasible no later than six months after approval of the HMP by the Regional Water Board.		Comment is addressed in Policies ER 1.1.3 and ER 1.1.4. The following modification to ER 1.1.4 is recommended: "New Development. The City shall require new development to protect the quality of water bodies and natural drainage systems through site design, source controls, storm water treatment, runoff reduction measures, best management practices (BMPs) and Low Impact Development (LID) and hydromodification strategies consistent with the city's NPDES Permit."
414	05/23/08	New	Kim Schwab, RWQCB	Hydromodification Management Plan (HMP): The Permittees shall submit a HMP Work Plan as part of their SQIPs (Storm Water		**Recommended with Modifications.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				Improvement Plan) for approval by the Regional Water Board One year after Regional Board approval of the SQIP/HMP Work Plan, the HMP shall be submitted for approval. The Permittees shall amend their development standards to implement the HMP no later than six months after Regional Water Board approval of the HMP. i. The HMP shall require controls to manage the increases in the magnitude, volume and duration of runoff from development projects in order to protect receiving waters from increased potential for erosion and other adverse impacts. The HMP shall address, but not be limited to, the following: (a) Requires incorporation of controls, including structural and non-structural BMPs, to mitigate the projected increases in flows; (a) Controls post-development runoff rates and velocities from a site to avoid adverse impact on downstream erosion, flooding and stream habitat; (b) Minimizes the quantity of stormwater directed to impermeable surfaces and the MS4s (municipal storm drain); (c) Maximizes the percentage of permeable surfaces to allow more percolation of stormwater into the ground where feasible; and (d) Considers the full range of feasible BMPs in the *Stormwater Quality Design Manual*.		Comment is addressed by General Plan policies ER 1.1.3, ER 1.1.4 and ER 1.1.5, including the recommended modification to ER 1.1.4 discussed previously. Recommend modification of ER 1.1.5 as follows: "Post-Development Runoff. The City shall impose requirements to control the volume, frequency, duration and ~~post-development~~ peak ~~storm water runoff discharge~~flow rates and velocities of runoff from development projects to prevent or reduce downstream erosion and protect stream habitat."

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				(f) Considers various assessment methodologies designed to evaluate the existing geomorphic condition of receiving waters, along with the expected susceptibility of these receiving waters to erosion/change as a result of hydromodification from land development and other land uses. ii. This requirement does not apply to new development and redevelopment projects where the project discharges stormwater runoff into creeks or storm drains where the potential for erosion, or other impacts to beneficial uses, is minimal. Such situations may include, but not limited to the following: (a) Discharges into creeks that are concrete-lined or significantly armored; (b) Underground storm drain systems discharging directly to the rivers; (c) Construction of infill projects in highly developed watersheds, where the potential for single-project and/or cumulative impacts is minimal; and (d) Projects that do not create an increase in impervious surfaces over pre-project conditions." ii. This requirement does not apply to new development and redevelopment projects where		

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				the project discharges stormwater runoff into creeks or storm drains where the potential for erosion, or other impacts to beneficial uses, is minimal. Such situations may include, but not limited to the following: (e) Discharges into creeks that are concrete-lined or significantly armored; (f) Underground storm drain systems discharging directly to the rivers; (g) Construction of infill projects in highly developed watersheds, where the potential for single-project and/or cumulative impacts is minimal; and (h) Projects that do not create an increase in impervious surfaces over pre-project conditions."		
415	05/23/08	New	Kim Schwab, RWQCB	Furthermore, the proposed Tentative Order includes new language for the General Plan Update as follows: "Provision D.16. General Plan Update a. Each Permittee's General Plan or equivalent plan (e.g., Comprehensive, Master, or Community Plan) shall include water quality and watershed protection principles and policies		**Comment Noted.** Policies ER 1.1.1 thru ER 1.1.7 address water quality protection principles.

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				applicable to land use decisions and require implementation of consistent water quality protection measures for development projects paying special attention to water quality protection from urban runoff and stormwater pollution. iii. Major new development or significant redevelopment expected; and iv. Major new infrastructure projects anticipated (e.g. roads, sewer, flood control, storm drains). Each Permittee shall amend, revise, or update its General Plan to include watershed and storm water quality and quantity management considerations and policies when any of the following General Plan elements are updated or amended: (i) Land Use, (ii) Housing, (iii) Conservation, (iv) Open Space (v) Circulation and Infrastructure (i.e. transportation), (vi) Safety, and (vii) and Public Facilities. Each Permittee shall review and modify the development goals and policies, open space goals and policies including preservation or integration with natural features, and when defined need for specific urban runoff and stormwater pollution protection policies are deficient. Each Permittee shall provide the Regional Water Board with the draft amendment or revision when a listed General Plan element or the General Plan is noticed for		

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				comment in accordance with California Government Code § 65350 *et seq*. The Permittees shall also provide the Regional Water Board a written summary identifying how the draft amendment or revision complies with this Order.		
416	07/31/08	Mod	Joe Benassini through Azzie Doherty, DOT	Revise ER 3.1.6 as follows: Urban Heat Island Effects. The City shall continue to promote shade tree plantings and tree placement that encourages adequate shading of rooftops, parking facilities, streets, and other facilities to minimize heat island effects. Palm trees shall not be considered as shade trees.	2-300	**Recommended with the Following Modifications:** "ER 3.1.6 Urban Heat Island Effects. The City shall continue to promote ~~plantings and tree placement that encourages adequate shading of~~ planting shade trees with substantial canopies, and site design which uses trees to shade rooftops, parking facilities, streets, and other facilities to minimize heat island effects."
417	07/31/08	Mod/Other	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	ER 3.1.2 and ER 3.1.7 – These policies are related to the earlier comment about a timeline for tree replacement. If the City truly wants to enhance its tree canopy and provide shade trees, then the GP needs to have clear goals and timelines for planting of both new and replacement trees.	2-300, 2-301	**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** This is a detailed recommendation and would be more appropriately addressed by the next update of the Urban Forest Management Plan (see Implementation Program 3 in Table 4-10 Environmental Resources Implementation Programs in Part 4 General Plan

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						Administration and Implementation.)
418	07/31/08	Mod/Other	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	ER 4.1.2 – This policy should include reference to front-yard gardens and "edible" landscaping. The City will also need to work with developers and potentially update the Code to actually create rooftop gardens or green spaces.	2-303	**Addressed Elsewhere.** Not necessary because the Zoning Code (Section 17.68.010) addresses front yard landscaping but does not prohibit vegetables and edible landscaping. A code amendment to allow rooftop gardens may not be necessary because there is nothing in the City code that prohibits them at the present time.
419	07/31/08	Mod	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	ER 6.1.8 – The City should discourage or prohibit certain types of development near major roadways rather than simply placing an arbitrary distance limit on certain types of development. This policy should be reconsidered.	2-310	**Addressed Elsewhere.** The City follows SMAQMD protocols for sensitive uses and conducts health risk assessments if necessary. The 500' distance is not arbitrary; it is recommended policy guidance from the CARB and SMAQMD.
420	07/31/08	Mod	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	ER 6.1.14 – The goal of encouraging 35% of City employees to use alternate transportation is too low. The City needs to set an example for residents, so this figure should be at least 50%.	2-311	**Recommended with the Following Modifications:** "ER 6.1.14 Transportation Systems Management and Trip Reduction. The City shall encourage ~~35% of~~ all employees to use means other than a single-occupant vehicle for their daily work commute."

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
421	07/31/08	New	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	Many general plans, including those for Solano and San Luis Obispo Counties, have general plan policies promoting the adoption of agricultural lands protection policies by the county Local Area Formation Commission (LAFCo). Having policies adopted by the county LAFCo, in addition to the general plans of cities and counties, is critical to effectiveness of general plan policies for the protection of agriculture. Otherwise, one jurisdiction's policies will be circumvented by annexations by other jurisdictions. The City of Sacramento's GP should include an explicit policy calling on the Sacramento County LAFCo to adopt policies for the protection of agriculture.		**Addressed Elsewhere.** While there is vestigial farmland within City limits, the only substantial farmland that could be annexed by the City lies in the Natomas Joint Vision Special Study Area. This area is covered by an MOU between the City and Sacramento County calling for open space (which could include agriculture) to be preserved at a ratio of at least 1 acre of open space for 1 acre of development.
422	07/31/08	New	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	The Environmental Resources policies in the City's GP also need to be expanded and strengthened. When the Sacramento County LAFCo considered adopting an agricultural and open space protection policy, the City's representative argued that LAFCo should rely on the policies of local jurisdictions instead. As discussed above, a LAFCo policy is needed to prevent a race to the bottom, where developers seek annexation by the jurisdictions with the weakest policies. Even in the absence of a LAFCo policy, however, and especially if the City continues to contend that a LAFCo policy is unnecessary because the issue can be addressed in local policies, the City should adopt policies that serve as a model for other jurisdictions. The policies in the proposed GP fall short in this regard.		**Addressed Elsewhere.** While there is vestigial farmland within City limits, the only substantial farmland that could be annexed by the City lies in the Natomas Joint Vision Special Study Area. This area is covered by an MOU between the City and Sacramento County calling for open space (which could include agriculture) to be preserved at a ratio of at least 1 acre of open space for 1 acre of development.

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
423	07/31/08	Mod	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	Several of the policies in the Environmental Resources Element call for the protection of resources "to the extent feasible" (e.g., Policies 2.1.5-2.1.8). There needs to be guidance as to what "to the extent feasible" means. Otherwise this language is an escape route for political expediency in decision-making.	2-296	**Recommended with the following modifications** to Policies ER 2.1.5, 2.1.6, 2.1.7, and 2.1.8: "ER 2.1.5 Riparian Habitat Integrity. The City shall preserve the ecological integrity of habitat areas, creek corridors, canals, and drainage ditches that support riparian resources by preserving native plants and~~, to the extent feasible,~~ removing invasive nonnative plants. If not feasible, the mitigation of all adverse impacts on riparian habitat shall comply with State and Federal regulations." "ER 2.1.6 Wetland Protection. The City shall preserve and protect wetland resources including creeks, rivers, ponds, marshes, vernal pools, and other seasonal wetlands~~, to the extent feasible~~. If not feasible, the mitigation of all adverse impacts on wetland resources shall be required in compliance with State and Federal regulations protecting wetland resources, and if applicable, threatened or endangered species."

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						"ER 2.17 Annual Grasslands. The City shall preserve and protect grasslands and vernal pools that provide habitat for rare and endangered species~~ to the extent feasible~~. If not feasible, the mitigation of all adverse impacts on annual grasslands shall comply with State and federal regulations protecting foraging habitat for those species known to utilize this habitat." "ER 2.1.8 Oak Woodlands. The City shall preserve and protect oak woodlands, and/or significant stands of oak trees in the city that provide habitat for common native, and special-status wildlife species~~, to the extent feasible~~. If not feasible, the mitigation of all adverse impacts on oak woodlands shall comply with the standards of the *Oak Woodlands Conservation Act*."
424	07/31/08	New	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	Additionally, certain policies call for the protection of biological resources — riparian habitat, annual grasslands, oak woodlands, wildlife corridors, and the like. Glaringly, there is no link in the policies to specific identified resources within the City limits. Such a connection needs to be made. There remain significant gaps in the policy framework of the		**Comment Noted.** The Draft EIR and the Technical Background Report identify biological resources and include maps of known biological resources (see Figures 6.3-1, 6.3-2 of the Draft EIR).

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				General Plan to guide a conservation strategy that ensures the policies are effectively implemented. ECOS would like to work directly with City staff to develop a comprehensive and viable resource protection plan relating to specific biological resources within the City. For example, the proposed policies do not include any special protection for prime farmlands, farmlands of statewide importance, unique farmland, farmland of local importance or grazing lands. The GP should include specific policies that will protect such areas.		General Plan policies on the protection of biological resources will be implemented through the CEQA process, Natomas Basin Habitat Conservation Plan and any future or amended Habitat Conservation Plans, and other regulatory processes, where appropriate.
425	07/31/08	New	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	Further, the GP does not include any policies requiring mitigation for loss of agricultural lands. A new policy should be added, comparable to the City of Davis' farmland mitigation ordinance, requiring that when farmland is converted to non-agricultural uses, the loss should be mitigated in the amount of two acres for every acre developed, with the mitigation required by either purchasing conservation easements or paying an in-lieu fee to a land trust that will purchase easements. The GP should also include policies designed to prevent subdivision of agricultural lands into parcels too small to support working agriculture, and to encourage consolidation of lands where small lot size may prove an obstacle to effective management of the land for agricultural uses.		**Not recommended.** Such policies could make development in areas closer to the urban core more costly, inadvertently pushing sprawl development to further outlying areas. Also, while there is vestigial farmland within City limits, the only substantial farmland that could be annexed by the City lies in the Natomas Joint Vision Special Study Area. This area is covered by an MOU between the City and Sacramento County calling for open space (which could include agriculture) to be preserved at a ratio of at least 1 acre of open space for 1 acre of development.

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
426	07/31/08	Mod	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	ER 4.2.2 Providing for a one-mile buffer along the Sacramento River and other areas outside the city is an excellent concept, but as drafted may be self-defeating. First, by its terms the buffer applies to areas "outside the city." Does this mean that if the City of Sacrament annexes lands within a mile of the Sacramento River that the policy no longer applies? Similarly, it is not clear how the policy applies to areas north of the city limits that are proposed for annexation.	2-304	**Recommended with the following modifications** to Policy ER 4.2.2: "ER 4.2.2 Permanent Preservation. The City shall work with the County, Natomas Basin Conservancy, and other entities to protect and permanently preserve a one-mile buffer outside of the current city limits as of adoption of the 2030 General Plan to preserve viable agricultural activities and as a community separator between Sutter and Sacramento Counties and along the Sacramento River."
427	07/31/08	New	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	With respect to climate change and greenhouse gas emissions, the Santa Cruz General Plan has the following policies which might serve as a basis for helpful language in the Sacramento General Plan. In particular, ECOS would like to note that the City of Sacramento needs to adopt policies addressing how it will deal with rising sea level. *NRC 4 Effective leadership and action in reducing and responding to global warming.* *NRC 4.1 Reduce community-wide greenhouse gas emissions 30 percent by 2020 and 80 percent by 2050 (compared to 1990 levels).* *NRC 4.2 By 2030, require that all new development be carbon neutral.*		**Comment Noted/Recommended with Modifications.** The City is committed to developing goals for greenhouse gas reduction (see Policy ER 6.1.3 and Implementation Program 11 in Table 4-10), and to developing a climate adaptation plan (see the Sustainability Master Plan, which includes a policy to develop a climate adaptation plan). Also, Appendix B of the General Plan contains a table that lists

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				NRC 4.3 Support initiatives, legislation, and actions for reducing and responding to climate change. *NRC 4.4 Encourage community involvement and public-private partnerships to reduce and respond to global warming.* *NRC 4.5 Minimize impacts of future sea level rise.* *NRC 4.6 Take early action on significant and probable global warming land use and development issues, including those that might arise after 2025.*		many policies that address climate change, either directly or indirectly. To strengthen climate change policies, add a new policy to the Environmental Resources Element, ER 6 (Air Quality Section): New Policy: "The City shall continue to assess and monitor the effects of climate change."
428	7/31/2008	Other	Rick Bettis	ER 6.1.7 (Protect all Residents Equally) Good air quality should be the goal for the entire City, Region and State. However, special consideration for at-risk groups such as children and seniors may be warranted in some circumstances.	2-310	**Addressed Elsewhere.** Policy ER 6.1.8 is intended to protect at-risk groups by use (schools etc.).
429	7/31/2008	Mod	Rick Bettis	ER 6.1.8 (Development near Major Roadways) Mention the special benefits of the use of trees as a screening mechanism for communities located near roadways. The 500-foot setback may not be adequate in some circumstances depending on terrain and wind direction and magnitude.	2-310	**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** Revise Implementation Measure #13 in Table 4-10 (Environmental Resources) to state: "The City shall require ~~establish a process to insure that~~ new development with sensitive uses ~~within 500 feet of a major roadway reduces potential health risks through such features as:~~

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						~~site and building orientation, vegetative screening, and appropriate technology for improved air flow, ventilation, and filtration~~ located adjacent to mobile and stationary toxic air contaminants (TAC) be designed with consideration of site and building orientation, location of trees, and incorporation of appropriate technology for improved air quality (i.e., ventilation and filtration) to lessen any potential health risks. In addition, the City shall require preparation of a health risk assessment, if recommended by Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, to identify health issues, reduce exposure to sensitive receptors, and/or to implement alternative approaches to development that reduce exposure to TAC sources." (RDR)
430	7/31/2008	Mod	Rick Bettis	ER 6.1.15 (Wood Stove/Fireplace Requirement) Acknowledge that SMAQMD recently adopted a rule limiting the use of wood burning devices on certain poor air quality days.	2-311	**Not Recommended.** The City acknowledges the new rule; however SMAQMD rules are not under the City's jurisdiction to enforce.
431	8/5/2008	Mod	Larry Greene SMAQMD	Modify ER 6.1.8 (Development near Major Roadways) as follows:	2-310	**Recommended with Modifications.** Modify Policy ER 6.1.8 as

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				Development near Major Roadways. The City shall require that new development with sensitive uses within 500 feet of a ~~major roadway~~ freeway consult with the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD). These projects shall be designed with consideration of site and building orientation and incorporate appropriate technology for improved air quality, flow, ventilation, and filtration to lessen any potential health risks due to the project's proximity to the roadway. *(RDR)*		follows: "Development near ~~Major Roadways~~ TAC Sources. The City shall ~~require~~ ensure that new development with sensitive uses ~~within 500 feet of a major roadway be designed with consideration of site and building orientation and incorporate appropriate technology for improved air quality, flow, ventilation, and filtration to lessen any potential health risks due to the project's proximity to the roadway.~~ located adjacent to toxic air contaminant sources, as identified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB), reduces potential health risks. In its review of these projects, the City shall consider current guidance provided by and consult with the CARB and the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD)." *(RDR)*
432	8/5/08	Mod	Larry Greene SMAQMD	ER 6.1.9 (Coordination with SMAQMD) The District recommends expanding the policy to identify the City's commitment to monitoring and enforcing all adopted mitigation. This amendment would be supported by implementation program 4.10-16 (page 4-49),	2-310	**Recommended with Modifications.** Rather than modifying the policy, add a new implementation measure to Table 4-10:

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				which calls on the City to "conduct a study to explore the development of new processes to improve monitoring and enforcement of all CEQA mitigation measures, including air quality measures."		"The City shall establish a plan and processes to improve monitoring and enforcement of all CEQA mitigation measures, including air quality measures."
433	8/5/08	Edit	Larry Greene SMAQMD	ER 6.1.5 (Greenhouse Gas Reduction in New Development) Add language such as "*but not limited to*" to this measure. In that way, new mitigation strategies could also be used as appropriate.	2-310	**Recommended with the following modifications** to Policy ER 6.1.5: "ER 6.1.5 Greenhouse Gas Reduction in New Development. The City shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions from new development by discouraging auto-dependent sprawl and dependence on the private automobile; promoting development that is compact, mixed use, pedestrian friendly, and transit oriented; promoting energy efficient building design, site planning, and improving the jobs/housing ratio in each community~~,~~; and other methods of reducing emissions."
434	8/5/08	Mod	Larry Greene SMAQMD	Policy ER 6.1.13 (Preference for Reduced-Emission Equipment) could be expanded to give preference to businesses which practice sustainable operations.	2-311	**Recommended with the following modifications** to Policy ER 6.1.13: "ER 6.1.13 Preference for Reduced-Emission Equipment. The City shall give preference to

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						contractors using reduced-emission equipment for City construction projects ~~as well as City~~ and contracts for services, as well as businesses which practice sustainable operations."
435	8/5/08	New	Larry Greene SMAQMD	The City has a great deal of influence over its residents, the businesses which conduct operations here, and the businesses with which it conducts business. The City could create several additional policies that influence the behavior of these groups. For example, there could be a policy about influencing residents and businesses with regard to energy or water conservation.		**Comment Noted/Addressed Elsewhere.** See Policies ER 1.1.7, ER 2.1.14, ER 2.1.15, ER 3.1.8, ER 6.1.16, ER 6.1.17, and U 6.1.14, ER 6.1.12, ER 6.1.13, U 2.1.9, U 2.1.10, and U 6.1.11
436	8/5/08	New	Larry Greene SMAQMD	The City could create a policy that addresses possible GHG mitigation from the existing residential stock. This policy could speak to the need to find funding such as grants to help existing homeowners retrofit existing housing.		**Addressed Elsewhere.** See Policies U 6.1.11, U6.1.12, U 6.1.13
437	8/5/08	Other	Larry Greene SMAQMD	In the absence of current, adopted guidance on how climate change and GHG mitigation should be treated in General Plans, we spoke with a representative of the Governor's Office of Planning and Research. That person supplied us with two documents as recent examples of good General Plans addressing climate Change and GHG. The two examples were from the City of Petaluma and Marin County. The City of Petaluma created a 17-page Greenhouse Gas Emissions chapter for their revised Draft General Plan 2025. Marin County adopted a		**Comment Noted.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				detailed countywide Plan in 2007 which has a variety of policies specifically addressing Greenhouse Gas emissions. Both of these documents were robust in their discussion of climate change and GHG mitigation.		
438	8/5/08	Other	Larry Greene SMAQMD	The District believes the General Plan would be stronger and clearer regarding policies on the climate change issue if it had a separate section for climate change/GHG reduction. A separate goal for GHG reduction, too, would indicate that the mitigation of GHG could help improve more than just the community's air quality. The policies chosen, too, could be more proactive in approach. Complying with state law and regulation is already required by law."		**Not Recommended.** City staff believe that climate change is most appropriately addressed if it is a theme woven through all of the Elements, and referenced in the introduction and Appendix B. Also, the City is committed to developing goals for greenhouse gas reduction. (See Policy ER 6.1.3 and Table 4-10 Environmental Resources Implementation Programs, Program 11.)
439	8/5/08	New	Larry Greene SMAQMD	There should be a formal policy in the General Plan that commits to the creation and implementation of a Climate Action Plan. Such a Plan should be created with the participation and buy-in of all levels of City government and not just left in the General Services Department.		**Addressed Elsewhere.** Table 4-10 Environmental Resources Implementation Programs, Program 11 commits the City to developing and adopting a climate action plan.
440	9/29/08	Mod	EIR Mitigation	Revise Policy ER 2.1.10 as follows for project mitigation: **Habitat Assessments.** The City shall consider the potential impact on sensitive plants for each		**Recommended.**

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				project requiring discretionary approval and shall require preconstruction surveys and/or habitat assessments for sensitive plant and wildlife species ~~for any project requiring discretionary approval~~. If the preconstruction survey and/or habitat assessment determines that suitable habitat for sensitive plant and/or wildlife species is present, then either (1) protocol-level or industry-recognized (if no protocol has been established) surveys shall be conducted; or (2) presence of the species shall be assumed to occur in suitable habitat on the project site. Survey Reports shall be prepared and submitted to the City and the CDFG or USFWS (depending on the species) for further consultation and development of avoidance and/or mitigation measures consistent with state and federal law.		
441	9/29/08	Mod	EIR Mitigation	Revise Policy ER 2.1.5 as follows for project mitigation: **Riparian Habitat Integrity.** The City shall preserve the ecological integrity of ~~habitat areas,~~ creek corridors, canals, and drainage ditches that support riparian resources by preserving native plants and~~, to the extent feasible,~~ removing invasive, non-native plants. If not feasible~~, the mitigation of all~~ adverse impacts on riparian habitat shall ~~comply with State and Federal regulations~~ be mitigated by the preservation and/or restoration of this habitat at a 1:1 ratio, in perpetuity.		**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
442	9/29/08	Mod	EIR Mitigation	Revise Policy ER 2.1.6 as follows for project mitigation: **Wetland Protection.** The City shall preserve and protect wetland resources including creeks, rivers, ponds, marshes, vernal pools, and other seasonal wetlands~~, to the extent feasible~~. If not feasible, the mitigation of all adverse impacts on wetland resources shall be required in compliance with State and Federal regulations protecting wetland resources, and if applicable, threatened or endangered species. Additionally, the City shall require either on- or offsite permanent preservation of an equivalent amount of wetland habitat to ensure no-net-loss of value and/or function.		**Recommended.**
443	9/29/08	New	EIR Mitigation	Add the following new policy to ER 1 (Water Resources) for project mitigation: **No Net Increase.** The City shall require all new development to contribute no net increase in stormwater runoff peak flows over existing conditions associated with a 100-year storm event.		**Recommended.**
444	9/29/08	Mod	EIR Mitigation	Revise Policy ER 6.1.8 as follows for project mitigation: Development near ~~Major Roadways~~ TAC Sources. The City shall ~~require~~ ensure that new development with sensitive uses ~~within 500 feet of a major roadway be designed with~~	2-310	**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				~~consideration of site and building orientation and incorporate appropriate technology for improved air quality, flow, ventilation, and filtration to lessen any potential health risks due to the project's proximity to the roadway.~~ located adjacent to toxic air contaminant sources, as identified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB), reduces potential health risks. In its review of these projects, the City shall consider current guidance provided by and consult with the CARB and the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District. *(RDR)*		

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: **PART 2, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS**

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
445	07/31/08	Mod	J. Glen Rickelton, Sacramento County Airport System	Revise EC 3.2.1 (Land Use Compatibility) as follows: The City shall limit residential development within the 60 ~~65~~ dBA CNEL airport noise contour, or in accordance with plans prepared by the Airport Land Use Commission, and shall only approve noise-compatible land uses. The County Airport System recommends that the limitation on residential development be consistent with current County policy prohibiting residential land use within the 60 CNEL airport noise contour. The County policy was established based on guidance provided by the 2002 California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook. (See comment document for more details.)	2-329	**Not Recommended.** A higher noise threshold has already been established for existing neighborhoods, like McClellan Heights. The higher noise threshold is needed to accommodate existing development and build-out of the existing communities.
446	07/31/08	Mod	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	EC 2.1.7 (Levee Setbacks for New Development) states that development may encroach within 50 feet of the landside toe of levees if "oversized" levee improvements are made to the standard levee section. Revise this policy to prohibit encroachment with the 50-foot area on the landside toe of the levees, regardless of whether or not certain improvements are completed.	2-322	**Not Recommended.** Such a revision would conflict with adopted City plans and policies (as well as proposed General Plan policies) relating to enhancing the riverfront in strategic areas of the city.
447	07/31/08	Other	Jodi Samuels, Planning	EC 3.1.8 (Alernatives to Sound Walls) – Alternatives to sound walls are definitely	2-328	**Comment Noted.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
			Commissioner	needed! The City needs to push developers to avoid sound walls and find other, more creative ways to either mitigate noise or propose different land uses that aren't as susceptible to noise irritation.		
448	7/25/08	New	Pete Ghelfi, SAFCA	Add the following policy: "For areas protected by levees, all new developments shall include a notice within the deed that the property is protected by flooding from levees and that the property can be subject to flooding if the levee fails or is overwhelmed."	2-323	**Recommended.**
449	7/25/08	Mod	Pete Ghelfi, SAFCA	EC 2.1.8 (Dedication of Levee Footprint): Change to read: The City shall require new development adjacent to the levee to dedicate the levee footprint, <u>including the 50-foot buffer</u>, to the appropriate flood control agency. (The City may wish to hold fee title to the land but the responsible flood control agency should have an easement dedicated to the levee maintaining agency.)	2-322	**Not Recommended.** EC 2.1.8 will continue to require dedication of the levee footprint to the appropriate flood control agency. However, dedication of the 50-foot buffer will occur on a case-by-case basis.
450	7/25/08	Other	Pete Ghelfi, SAFCA	Revise EC 2.1.17 (Dam Failure) to read: The City shall plan for the evacuation of people from areas subject to indundation from Folsom, ~~or~~ Nimbus<u>, or Oroville</u> dam failure. (Oroville dam failure could affect the northern parts of the city.)	2-323	**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
451	7/25/08	Mod	Pete Ghelfi, SAFCA	Revise second sentence of introductory paragraph to read: "New development will be evaluated for potential flood hazards prior to approval and will be set back a minimum distance from levees to minimize flooding risk and allow for future modifications of the system."	2-321	**Recommended.**
452	7/25/08	Mod	Pete Ghelfi, SAFCA	EC 2.1.7, 2.1.8, 2.1.9: Consider a revision to the 50-foot buffer from levees. The 50-foot buffer is a minimum and was intended to reflect a potential modification to the levee as a result of changed conditions/standards. This buffer would ideally be sized based on a cross-section of the levee which would be defined as follows: Raising the levee 1 foot from existing conditions with a water side levee slope of 3:1 (h:v), 20-foot top width, 4:1 (h:v) landside slope, with a 20-foot maintenance road at the toe of the levee or outside any relief wells.	2-322	**Comment Noted.** The 50-foot buffer is a minimum established by EC 2.1.7. Additional buffer width will be considered on a case-by-case basis.
453	08/21/08	Mod	Sarah Ropelato, Legal Services of Northern California	Change Goal EC 1.1 as follows: EC 1.1 Hazards Risk Reduction. Protect lives and property from seismic, gas, and geologic hazards and adverse soil conditions.	2-319	**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** Add new policy to PHS 3-Hazardous Materials after PHS 3.1.6: "**Risks from Hazardous Materials Facilities.** The City shall review proposed facilities that would produce or store hazardous materials, gas, natural gas, or other fuels to identify, and provide feasible

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						mitigation, for any risks. The review shall consider, at a minimum, the following: presence of seismic or geologic hazards; presence of hazardous materials; proximity to residential development and areas in which substantial concentrations of people would occur; and nature and level of risk and hazards associated with the proposed project. (RDR)" (Also, change the title of Policy 3.1.6 to "Compatibility with Hazardous Materials Facilities".)
454	08/21/08	Mod	Sarah Ropelato, Legal Services of Northern California	Change Policy EC 1.1.1 as follows: EC 1.1.1 Review Standards. The City shall regularly review and enforce all seismic, gas, and geologic safety standards and require the use of best management practices (BMPs) in site design, development, and operations, and in building construction methods. *(RDR)*	2-319	**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** Add new policy to PHS 3-Hazardous Materials after PHS 3.1.6: **"Risks from Hazardous Materials Facilities.** The City shall review proposed facilities that would produce or store hazardous materials, gas, natural gas, or other fuels to identify, and provide feasible mitigation, for any risks. The review shall consider, at a minimum, the following:

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						presence of seismic or geologic hazards; presence of hazardous materials; proximity to residential development and areas in which substantial concentrations of people would occur; and nature and level of risk and hazards associated with the proposed project. (RDR)" (Also, change the title of Policy 3.1.6 to "Compatibility with Hazardous Materials Facilities".)
455	08/21/08	Mod	Sarah Ropelato, Legal Services of Northern California	Change Policy EC 1.1.2 as follows: EC 1.1.2 Geotechnical Investigations. The City shall require geotechnical and geophysical investigations to determine the potential for ground rupture, ground-shaking, and liquefaction due to seismic events, as well as for gas migration and releases above the storage reservoirs, expansive soils and subsidence problems on sites where these hazards are potentially present. *(RDR)*	2-319	**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** Add new policy to PHS 3-Hazardous Materials after PHS 3.1.6: "**Risks from Hazardous Materials Facilities.** The City shall review proposed facilities that would produce or store hazardous materials, gas, natural gas, or other fuels to identify, and provide feasible mitigation, for any risks. The review shall consider, at a minimum, the following: presence of seismic or geologic hazards; presence of hazardous materials; proximity to

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						residential development and areas in which substantial concentrations of people would occur; and nature and level of risk and hazards associated with the proposed project. (RDR)" (Also, change the title of Policy 3.1.6 to "Compatibility with Hazardous Materials Facilities".)
456	08/21/08	New	Sarah Ropelato, Legal Services of Northern California	Add the following new policy: EC 1.1.4 Monitoring and Mitigation. The City shall promote the monitoring of gas storage facilities, their geological context, and potential gas migration and release pathways. When monitoring suggests that gas may have migrated or been released in the vicinity, the City shall also promote the relocation, renovation, and mitigation of all existing critical facilities (e.g., hospitals, schools, police stations, and fire stations) as well as other important public facilities, residential housing, and other sensitive receptors that do not meet current code standards or requirements and that are within areas susceptible to seismic, gas, or geologic hazards. *(RDR/SO/IGC)*		**Recommended to be Addressed Elsewhere.** Add new policy to PHS 3-Hazardous Materials after PHS 3.1.6: "**Risks from Hazardous Materials Facilities.** The City shall review proposed facilities that would produce or store hazardous materials, gas, natural gas, or other fuels to identify, and provide feasible mitigation, for any risks. The review shall consider, at a minimum, the following: presence of seismic or geologic hazards; presence of hazardous materials; proximity to residential development and areas in which substantial concentrations of people would

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						occur; and nature and level of risk and hazards associated with the proposed project. (RDR)" (Also, change the title of Policy 3.1.6 to "Compatibility with Hazardous Materials Facilities".)
457	9/3/08	New	Bill Busathe, City Utilities Dept.	New Policy under Goal EC 2.1 (best placed after EC 2.1.16): "The City shall encourage all residents protected by levees to purchase flood insurance."	2-323	**Recommended.**
458	9/29/08	New	EIR Mitigation	Add the following new policy to EC 3 (Noise) for project mitigation: "**Interior Vibration Standards.** The City shall require construction projects anticipated to generate a significant amount of vibration to ensure acceptable interior vibration levels at nearby residential and commercial uses based on the current City or FTA criteria."		**Recommended.**
459	9/29/08	New	EIR Mitigation	Add the following new policy to EC 3 (Noise) for project mitigation: "**Vibration Screening Distances.** The City shall require new residential and commercial projects located adjacent to major freeways, rail lines or light rail lines to follow the FTA screening distance criteria."		**Recommended.**
460	9/29/08	New	EIR Mitigation	Add the following new policy to EC 3 (Noise) for project mitigation:		**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				"**Vibration.** The City shall require an assessment of the damage potential of vibration-induced construction activities, highways, and rail lines in close proximity to historic buildings and archeological sites and require all feasible mitigation measures be implemented to ensure no damage would occur."		

Summary of Comments: **Part 3, Community Plan Areas**

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
461	07/31/08	Mod/Edit	J. Glen Rickelton, Sacramento County Airport System	NS.LU. 1.29 McClellan Heights Parker Homes Plan Area. The County Airport System appreciates the conditions incorporating sound insulation and disclosure, but feels compelled to point out that no mention is made of the proposed residential development being: 1) an incompatible land use based on the current McClellan Airport Compatible Land Use Plan 2) Inconsistent with the provisions of the County's McClellan Airport Planning Policy Area (APPA) which prohibits new residential land uses inside the 60 CNEL noise contour and requires insulation, disclosure, and the granting to the County of an avigation easement for new residential land uses beyond the 60 CNEL but still within the APPA boundary. The County recognizes that the City's prior action to override the Airport Land Use Commission's determination of incompatibility for the proposed land uses was consistent with State Public Utilities Code section 21670, and that the County APPA policies are only applicable to unincorporated areas of Sacramento County, but these noise and safety concerns still merit reconsideration as part of the GP update.	3-NS-12	1) **Comment noted.** The City of Sacramento previously took action to over-ride the existing Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) for McClellan. 2) **Comment noted.** The City of Sacramento has adopted equally effective measures (deed restrictions, land use restrictions etc.) to address these issues.
462	07/31/08	New	J. Glen Rickelton, Sacramento County Airport System	SN.PHS 1.2 Localized Noise Assessments. Appreciate City's commitment to provide notification to County Dept. of Airports when	3-SN-10	**Comment noted.** The City could consider this during a future planning

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				applications for residential entitlements west of I-5 are submitted. Recommend that this commitment be extended for all future entitlements contemplated for areas in the Natomas Joint Vision Study Area when it is ultimately annexed by the City.		process.
463	07/31/08	New	J. Glen Rickelton, Sacramento County Airport System	SN.PHS 1.3 Airport Overflight Notice. Support continuing disclosure of aircraft overflight impacts in South Natomas and recommend that it be extended to include North Natomas (if not already subject to a similar condition), as varying weather patterns often result in aircraft overflights occurring throughout the area.	3-SN-10	**Comment noted.** The City could consider this as a potential new policy for North Natomas during a future planning process and/or a North Natomas Community Plan update.
464	08/01/08	Mod	Long Range Planning Staff	Remove 65th Street/University Village Light Rail Station opportunity area text from the East Sacramento Community Plan and put it in the Fruitridge Broadway Community Plan instead. (The bulk of the opportunity area land is in the Fruitridge Broadway Community Plan area, and the relationship of the opportunity area to other places in the Fruitridge Broadway CP area (Granite Park, Army Depot, UC Med Center, etc.) is strong.)	3-ES-6 3-ES-7 3-ES-8 3-ES-9 3-ES-10	**Recommended.** Also continue to list in Table ES-1 in the East Sacramento Community Plan. The 65th St/University Village Opportunity Area text and graphics have been revised and embellished and will be included as a separate attachment to the General Plan matrix.
465	07/31/08	Other	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	Overall comment/lament related to the North Natomas Community Plan – What a shame that this lovely hasn't been implemented according to its lofty vision. What happened to the "fourteen neighborhoods [with] an elementary school that serves as a focal point with parks, transit, civic uses, and convenience commercial within close proximity"? What happened to the		**Comment noted.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				vision of the "Town Center… as an activity center which will become the focus and identity, both visually and geographically, of the community… [that is] pedestrian-oriented and reinforce[s] the use of transit… encourage[s] activity to create a 24-hour mixed use center… Public uses, such as the regional park and library, might incorporate private enterprises related to the use… A telecommuting center run as a profit generator could be located in the library." *AS A CITY, WE ABSOLUTELY **MUST** DO BETTER IN THE FUTURE TO IMPLEMENT THESE COMMUNITY PLANS TO ASSURE THAT OUR RESIDENTS HAVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE THEY EXPECT AND DESERVE. IF WE DON'T FOLLOW THROUGH, WE WILL **NEVER** REACH OUR GP GOAL.*		
466	07/31/08	Edit/Mod	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	Neighborhoods – The first sentence reads "Areas of the city that are primarily residential, but may, and frequently do, include other uses…" should be changed to read "…may, and frequently should, include other uses…" in order to be consistent with the City's vision for complete neighborhoods.	3-5	**Recommended.** Modify as follows: **"Neighborhoods.** Areas of the city that are primarily residential, but may, and frequently ~~do~~ should, include other uses such as schools, parks, community centers, and local-serving commercial centers."
467	07/31/08	Mod	Jodi Samuels, Planning	New Growth Areas – The first sentence reads "Identified greenfield areas adjacent to the city	3-6	**Recommended with the following modifications:**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
			Commissioner	where new growth will occur…" should be changed to "…may occur…" in order to avoid the assumption that New Growth Areas will actually be built out.		"New Growth Areas. Identified greenfield areas adjacent to the city where new growth is dependent upon ~~will occur based on~~ the availability of adequate water supplies, market forces, infrastructure financing capacity, and timing."
468	7/31/2008	Edit	Jay Narayan SE Village NA	Neighborhood known as South East should be called Southeast Village	3-FB-3	**Recommended.** The City of Sacramento's GIS database identifies the neighborhood as Southeast Village.
469	7/31/2008	New	Chris Paros	Need an Economic Plan for North and South Natomas. Empty buildings. Plan needs to compensate for flood insurance impact, home foreclosure challenges, economic vision for Natomas.	3-NN-25 3-SN-9	**Comment noted.** The City could consider this as a potential new policy for North and South Natomas during a future planning process and/or a Community Plan update.
470	7/31/2008	Other	Nicole Hara	Is this still happening?	3-NN-6 Sport Complex	**Comment noted.** While the entire Sports Complex concept has not been realized, this is still part of the vision for North Natomas. This vision may be revised as part of a future planning process and/or a North Natomas Community Plan update.
471	7/31/2008	Other	Nicole Hara	Is this still being built?	3-NN-6 Town Center	**Comment noted.** While the entire Town Center concept has not been realized, this is still part of the vision for North Natomas. This concept

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						may be revised as part of a future planning process and/or a North Natomas Community Plan update.
472	7/31/2008	Other	Nicole Hara	What is slated to be near the East Commerce/Club Center Drive transit spot? There is a K-8 school going in right there and it is in a neighborhood. Is this supposed to be across the street at the proposed employment center? I would hope you would not place this right next to the school.	NN.LU 1.10 3-NN-16	**Comment noted.** Please refer to the General Plan Land Use Diagram (Figure LU 1) for the proposed land uses in this area.
473	7/31/2008	Other	Nicole Hara	Please do not build more of these apartment complexes. Smaller more integrated options are what is needed now. The concentration of very low- and low-income housing in such tight quarters is not the best environment. Give people the option to buy.	NN.LU 1.14 3-NN-17	**Addressed elsewhere.** This topic is addressed in General Plan Policy LU 4.1.9 in the Land Use Element. Also, please refer to comments and responses for the Housing Element.
474	7/31/2008	Other	Nicole Hara	Stay consistent with this statement. How can the General Plan Update double the number of residents a community center should serve? The GP update needs to be revised back to community centers serving 15,000 residents.	NN.ERC.1 .6 3-NN-35	**Comment noted.** The General Plan identifies a citywide service goal for community centers as 1 per 30,000 residents. This is a minimum level of service goal, not a maximum, and will be the goal in some of the city's more established neighborhoods. Where there is a higher goal, such as in the North Natomas Community Plan, the City will aim for the higher goal.
475	7/31/2008	Other	Nicole Hara	Where is this? I live in North Natomas and I can tell you it is a great community that needs	NN PHS 1.2	**Comment noted.** While the entire North Natomas

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				permanent police stationed in the area with services available.	3-NN-40	Community Plan has not yet been realized, it is still part of the vision for North Natomas.
476	7/31/2008	Other	No Name	Finish local and regional parks	NN.ERC.1 .7 3-NN-36	**Comment noted.** While the entire North Natomas Community Plan has not yet been realized, it is still part of the vision for North Natomas.
477	7/31/2008	Other	No Name	Provide Police and Fire Protection	NN.PHS 1.1 & 1.2 3-NN-39-40	**Comment noted.** While the entire North Natomas Community Plan has not yet been realized, it is still part of the vision for North Natomas.
478	7/21/08	New	Regional Transit/Jeanne Corcoran (City DOT)	South Area Community Plan: Provide language about establishing Transit Oriented Development around Light Rail Stations.		**Recommended.** Add new policy (after SA.LU 1.15) to read: "Development Around South Line Phase 2 Light Rail Stations. The City shall work with Regional Transit to support higher density, transit-oriented uses that include a mix of retail, residential, and office around future light rail stations."
479	7/21/08	New	Council Member Pannell's Office	Concerned about the possibility of declining revenues along Mack Road with Florin Road being revitalized.		**Recommended.** Add new policy (insert behind SA.ED 1.4): "Mack Road Business Improvements. The City shall support the existing and new businesses along the corridor by streetscape revitalization and

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
						business programs."

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: **PART 3, SPECIAL STUDY AREAS**

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
480	7/28/08	Other	Roy Imai, Fulton-El Camino Recreation and Park District	Concerned about possibility of annexation of Arden Arcade area since it is a designated Special Study Area. The General Plan should mention how special districts would be treated if the area was annexed. The residents of the area would probably rather be part of the City of Arden Arcade than the City of Sacramento. Supports more infill development in Sacramento to prevent the city from growing outward.	3-SSA-4	**Comment noted.** Please refer to Policy LU 1.1.8 in the Land Use Element.
481	7/25/08	Other	Pete Ghelfi, SAFCA	Coordinate early with SAFCA regarding the potential annexation of the Town of Freeport. The land between Freeport Blvd. and the levee should be identified as Special Planning Area (SPA) or Open Space to account for the need to incorporate potential levee improvements included in a study sponsored by the Corps of Engineers, CA Dept. of Water Resources/Central Valley Flood Protection Board, and SAFCA. This study, "Common Features General Re-evaluation Report", is currently underway and scheduled for completion in 2010. The study will identify features needed to provide 200-year protection to our community. Potential land use planning should allow the study to be completed before any land use zoning or entitlements are granted.	3-9	**Comment Noted.** The City will continue to coordinate with SAFCA in future annexation efforts regarding the town of Freeport.

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: **PART 4, GENERAL PLAN ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION**

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
482	03/20/08	Other	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	Explain the revenue sources listed under "Financing and Budgeting" to provide the public with a better understanding of the City's limited means.	4-7	**Comment Noted** Revenue sources are addressed separately in the General Plan Financing Strategy.
483	03/20/08	Mod	Michael Notestine, Planning Commissioner	Under "Priority Implementation Programs", on the item "Updating the Zoning Ordinance and other development review guidelines", make it clear that the guidelines are for design review.	4-2	**Not Recommended** Guidelines may include more than design guidelines (e.g. Parking Lot Shading Manual, Transportation Programming Guide).
484	03/20/08	Mod	Michael Notestine, Planning Commissioner	Change as follows: Administration Implementation Program # 12: The City shall review and update the Sacramento Code, Zoning Ordinance, and applicable design guidelines, consistent with the policies and diagrams of the General Plan.	4-12	**Not Recommended** Guidelines may include more than design guidelines (e.g. Parking Lot Shading Manual, Transportation Programming Guide).
485	03/20/08	New	Michael Notestine, Planning Commissioner	Add an Administration implementation program about providing ongoing staff training with regards to planning and design.		**Recommended** Language will be added to Implementation #17 to read: "The City shall develop and implement an education program to train staff and inform the development community and other community groups about the new land use and urban form guidelines and standards and policies of the General Plan."
486	03/20/08	New	Michael Notestine, Planning	Add an Administration implementation program to merge Transportation Planning, Development		**Comment Noted** However, Development Services

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
			Commissioner	Services, and Planning into one department.		and Planning have recently been placed under the same Assistant City Manager.
487	07/14/08	Mod	Bill Crouch, DSD	Change Land Use and Urban Design Implementation Program #15 to read: "The City shall conduct a feasibility study to evaluate the development and implementation of citywide urban design ~~review~~ standards".	4-17	**Comment Noted** Design standards will be addressed in the zoning code update.
488	03/20/08	New	Michael Notestine, Planning Commissioner	Add a Public Health and Safety implementation program regarding promoting good diet and exercise.		**Not Recommended** Implementation programs and policies address health and safety in the Mobility, Environmental, and Education, Recreation and Culture Elements.
489	03/20/08	Other	Michael Notestine, Planning Commissioner	Environmental Resources Implementation Program #9: The City shall establish a process to require the completion of an Air Quality Management Plan for proposed development projects that would result in substantial air quality impacts exceeding the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, ROG, and Nitrous Oxide operational threshold. The Plan shall outline how a project will incorporate design or operational features that reduce emissions by 15 percent from the level that would be produced by an unmitigated project. Question: What is the emission baseline for an unmitigated project?	4-47	**Comment Noted** The City will continue to work with the Sacramento Air Quality Metropolitan District to ensure air quality plans are proposed as early as is possible. These efforts include joint project review and coordination meetings.
490	03/20/08	Other	Michael Notestine,	Environmental Resources Implementation	4-2,	**Recommended**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
			Planning Commissioner	Program #11 (about developing and adopting a Climate Action Plan) should be included as a priority implementation program.	4-48	
491	03/20/08	New	Michael Notestine, Planning Commissioner	Utilities Implementation Programs: Add an implementation program addressing potential future utilities services, such as WiFi.		**Addressed Elsewhere** Potential future utility service programs are captured in Implementation Program U 25.
492	Spring 2008	Mod	Planning Staff	Public Health and Safety Implementation Program #11 (fire protection development impact fee): Change so that Planning (not Fire) is the responsible department, and so that Fire and DSD act as the supporting departments. Also, consider whether Planning should take the lead in the impact fee implementations for other departments.	4-42	**Comment Noted** Planning will continue to assist other departments in updating or establishing fees.
493	07/31/08	Mod	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	Administration Programs Table 4-1, Item 10 – Add "Parking Standards" to the list of items that need to be updated within the Code to be consistent with the GP.	4-12	**Recommended**
494	07/31/08	New	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	Mobility Programs Table 4-6, Item 12 – Can a similar program be added for working with cycling advocates, such as SABA, to develop materials for cyclists?	4-29	**Comment Noted** The Bikeways Master Plan has an education component for cyclists. The intent of this implementation measure is to bring attention to the specific need for an education program for pedestrians.
495	07/31/08	Mod	Jodi Samuels, Planning Commissioner	Environmental Resources Programs Table 4-10, Item 16 – The wording of this program needs to be stronger and should be changed to read:	4-49	**Recommended**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				"The City shall conduct a study to recommend and develop ~~explore the development of~~ new processes to improve monitoring and enforcement of all CEQA mitigation measures, including air quality measures."		
496	07/31/08	Mod	Ron Maertz, MENA	Mobility Table 4-6, Item 2. Change to read: The City shall update its Traffic Impact Analysis guidelines to reflect the Level of Service (LOS) policies, the Complete Streets policies and the Neighborhood Traffic policies ~~standards~~ in the General Plan, including the establishment of a maximum acceptable traffic capacity for local residential streets. RDR	4-27	**Comment Noted** LOS will be addressed in the following new Mobility implementation program: "The City shall prepare and adopt a methodology to measure neighborhood level of service."
497	07/31/08	Other	Graham Brownstein, ECOS	Of the most critical importance is the need to put in place as soon as possible the necessary implementation tools to support the GP's usage as the required guide for all future development actions. This must include not only Zoning Code updates but also any necessary regulatory changes or additions to enable the preservation and enhancement of Sacramento's environmental resources, cultural diversity, and economic and social equity. Taken as a whole, the Draft GP is an impressive document. Again, ECOS commends City staff for their efforts. But this document should be seen as merely the beginning of a new approach to planning in this City. For this effort to achieve its full potential and bear its fullest fruit, the entire City must be		**Comment Noted**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				engaged in the process of turning these plans into reality. ECOS looks forward to an ongoing relationship with the City as this process unfolds.		
498	7/31/2008	New	Chris Paros	General comments: 2) I request the City establish a quota that requires a majority of City employees live within the city limits. My goal would be 80% minimum. It's critical that people who make decisions for the city also live here too so they experience the consequences.		**Not Recommended** Cannot be enforced.
499	8/5/08	Edit	Larry Greene SMAQMD	The Implementation Section of the Draft General Plan states "The City shall develop and adopt a Climate Action Plan that monitors climate change impacts, outlines a strategy for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to climate change, and describes how to improve air quality." The District suggests that the creation of such a Plan should be elevated as a priority.		**Recommended**
500	8/29/08	Mod	LAFCO	Revise Administrative Implementation Program #4 as follows: The City shall work with Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) to prepare and adopt a Municipal Service Review (MSR) to identify the existing capacity and means of financing the ~~of and~~ probable demand ~~need~~ for services based on the build out of ~~growth planned for in~~ the General Plan.	4-10	**Recommended**
501	8/29/08	Mod	LAFCO	Revise Land Use and Urban Design Implementation Program #6 as follows: The City shall work with Sacramento County to	4-15	**Recommended**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				develop a Master Property Tax ~~master revenue~~ sharing agreement for annexation areas.		
502	9/10/08	Edit	Planning Staff	Land Use Implementation Table 4-2: #15 and #16 are duplicates. Remove one.		**Recommended** Remove #15 and make the following modifications to Implementation Measure # 16 in Table 4-2 Land Use and Urban Design Implementation Programs: "16. The City shall ~~conduct a feasibility study to evaluate the development and implementation of citywide design review.~~ prepare and adopt citywide Design Guidelines or Comprehensive Design Guidelines that identify the City's expectations for planning, designing, and reviewing development proposals. *(RDR/MPSP)*"
503	9/10/08	Edit	Planning Staff	Environmental Resources Implementation Table 4-10, #16. Transportation is listed as the responsible department. Change the responsible department to Development Services.		**Recommended**
504	9/10/08	Mod	Planning Staff	Add a Land Use and Urban Design implementation program to update the zoning code to define "significant benefits" required for a project to exceed the maximum FAR. (See Land Use Element comments, response # 2).		**Recommended**
505	9/10/08	Mod	Transportation, Joe Benasinni	Table 4-10, #3: Updating the Forest Mgmt Plan. Move time frame for completion from 2008-2010 to 2011-2015.		**Recommended**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
506	9/10/08	New	Transportation, Sparky Harris	Add the following new implementation program to address barriers to accessibility: "The City should develop prioritization guidelines for removal of pedestrian barriers to accessibility."		**Recommended**
507	9/10/08	New	Transportation, Sparky Harris	Add the following new Mobility implementation program to address LOS in neighborhoods: "The City shall prepare and adopt a methodology to measure neighborhood level of service."		**Recommended**
508	9/10/08	New	Transportation, Sparky Harris	Add the following new Mobility implementation program for the development of connectivity standards: "The City shall prepare and adopt connectivity standards based on a review of standards applied by other municipalities with similar policy goals."		**Recommended**
509	9/23/08	Edit	Planning Staff	Add "Citywide Financing Strategy" to the list of City Master Plans, Strategies, and Programs.	4-6	**Recommended.**
510	9/23/08	New	Planning Staff	Add an implementation measure to Table 4-1 (Administration Implementation Programs) to read: "The City shall review master plans and service level goals and standards to ensure they achieve the highest level of services the City can reasonably afford, using the tools and methods described in the Citywide Financing Strategy."	4-13	**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
511	9/29/08	Mod	EIR Mitigation	Modify Implementation Program #13 as follows: "The City shall require ~~establish a process to insure that~~ new development with sensitive uses ~~within 500 feet of a major roadway reduces potential health risks through such features as: site and building orientation, vegetative screening, and appropriate technology for improved air flow, ventilation, and filtration~~ located adjacent to mobile and stationary toxic air contaminants (TAC) be designed with consideration of site and building orientation, location of trees, and incorporation of appropriate technology for improved air quality (i.e., ventilation and filtration) to lessen any potential health risks. In addition, the City shall require preparation of a health risk assessment, if recommended by Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, to identify health issues, reduce exposure to sensitive receptors, and/or to implement alternative approaches to development that reduce exposure to TAC sources. (RDR) Implements Which Policy(ies) ER 6.1.~~9~~8 Responsible Department Development Supporting Departments Planning	4-48	**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				Timeframe 2008-2010"		
512	9/30/08	New	Planning Staff, in response to Cal Expo's comment on the Land Use and Urban Form Diagram	Add the following new implementation program to the Land Use and Urban Design Implementation Table (Table 4-2): "The City shall work cooperatively with Cal Expo to plan future development on the State Fair grounds, including consideration of the proposed development of an 18-20,000 seat indoor multi-purpose arena." Implements which Policy: LU 5.1.1, LU 8.1.7 Timing: 2008 - 2010 Responsibility: Planning Supporting Departments: Economic Development; DOT; Utilities; Parks and Recreation"		**Recommended.**
513	10/1/08	New	EIR Mitigation	Add new Implementation Program to Table 4.6 Mobility IP: "The City shall conduct additional studies to identify the location of future river crossings and shall amend the Street Classification Diagram to include new bridge locations." Implements which Policy: M 4.1.5 Timing: 2011-2015		**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				Responsibility: Transportation Supporting Departments: Planning"		
514	10/1/08	New	Planning Staff	Add new Implementation Program to Table 4.6 (Mobility): "The City shall continue its efforts to manage neighborhood traffic by incorporating traffic control measures in new residential neighborhoods and through the Neighborhood Traffic Management Program (NTMP) in existing residential neighborhoods." Implements which Policy: M 4.3.1 Timing: Ongoing Responsibility: Transportation Supporting Departments: Planning" (Note: This language was formerly in the policies under Goal M 4.3 (Neighborhood Traffic). It was revised and moved to the implementation programs.)		**Recommended.**
515	9/25/08	New	EIR Mitigation	Add the following implementation program to Table 4-2 (Utilities): "The City shall conduct a study to evaluate the feasibility of creating a local assessment district or other financing mechanism to fund installation of energy efficiency measures,		**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				including rooftop solar systems or other renewable energy technology. Implements which Policy: U 6.1.6 (Renewable Energy)"		
516	9/25/08	New	EIR Mitigation	Add the following implementation program to Table 4-2 (Utilities): "The City shall conduct a study to review and update the existing residential energy conservation ordinance (RECO) in Title 15.76 of the Sacramento City Code to be consistent with targets and programs established in the City's Green Building Program, Climate Action Plan, and other related programs or policies. Implements which Policy: U 6.1.11 (Energy Efficiency Improvements) and U 6.1.12 (Energy Efficiency Audits). Timing: 2008-2010"		**Recommended.**
517	9/25/08	Mod	EIR Mitigation	Modify Land Use and Urban Design Implementation Program 9 in Table 4-2 to read: "The City shall, in concert with its regional partners, develop, adopt, and maintain a green building rating program and ordinance (e.g., LEED/GreenPoint Rated) which will establish green building ~~with~~ standards for private residential and commercial development, ~~and~~ provide incentives such as reduced fees, expedited entitlement processing, and density bonuses, ~~for new construction~~ and establish a	4-15	**Recommended.**

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				mandatory compliance phase-in period as determined to be feasible, effective, and appropriate."		
518		New	Planning Staff	Add an implementation measure to define "significant community benefit" as referenced in the following new Land Use policy: **Exceeding Floor-Area-Ratio.** New development may exceed the maximum FAR if it is determined that the project provides a significant community benefit.		Add a new implementation measure to Table 4-2, Land Use and Urban Design, to update the zoning code to identify a process to determine "significant benefit".
519	10/9/08	Mod	EIR Mitigation	Change Environmental Resources Implementation Program #11 (in Table 4-10) as follows: "The City shall develop and adopt a climate action plan that: monitors climate change impacts; outlines a strategy for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to climate change; and describes how to improve air quality. The climate action plan will include the following: * a clear timeline for completion (2008-2010); * an inventory of emissions; * reduction targets consistent with the requirements set forth in AB 32 and with the direction set forth in the City's' Sustainability Master Plan;	4-48	Recommended.

Edit: Modification to existing policy or text that will not change intent; **Mod:** Modification to existing policy or text that will change intent; **New:** Addition of a new goal, policy, or implementation program; **Delete:** Removal of a goal, policy, or implementation program; **Other:** miscellaneous comments, questions, or changes

#	Date	Type	Source	Comment	Page	Staff Comments/ Recommendation
				* specific reduction strategies that will help to achieve reduction targets; * monitoring and reporting requirements, to ensure that reduction targets are updated over time; * adaptive management strategies that respond to the changing environment associated with global warming. The City shall work with the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, the California Air Resources Board, and other appropriate agencies to ensure that the City's climate action plan is regionally consistent, and pursue state funding to implement the plan."		