

RESOLUTION NO. 2009-047

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

January 27, 2009

APPROVING THE PROJECT SCORING AND RANKING OF PROJECTS CRITERIA FOR THE FACILITIES PROGRAMMING GUIDE

BACKGROUND

- A. On August 8, 2002, City Council adopted Resolution No. 2002-526, approving the execution of a professional services contract with Smith-Culp Consulting for an assessment/evaluation of the City's public facilities delivery process.
- B. In February 2003, Smith-Culp Consulting presented its findings in a Public Facility Project Delivery Process Assessment Study Report. The number one recommendation of the report stated that the City should develop an overall Capital Improvement Project (CIP) strategic planning and prioritizing process.
- C. On August 19, 2008, City Council adopted Resolution No. 2008-582, approving the development of the Facilities Programming Guide (FPG) and directed staff to return to Council for approval of project scoring and ranking of projects criteria.
- D. On September 16, 2008, City Council approved an intent motion to approve staff recommendation with direction to staff to return with examples of implementation.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The project scoring and ranking criteria for inclusion in the Facilities Programming Guide (FPG) is approved.

Table of Contents:

Exhibit A - Project Scoring Sheet


Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on January 27, 2009 by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Cohn, Fong, Hammond, McCarty, Pannell, Sheedy, Tretheway, Waters, and Mayor Johnson.

Noes: None.

Abstain: None.

Absent: None.



Mayor Kevin Johnson


Attest:



Shirley Concolino, City Clerk

Exhibit A

Facilities Programming Guide Project Ranking Sheet



Project Scoring

Community	Neighborhood / Interest Group Support 00 01
	0 The Neighborhood / Interest Group is not aware of project or opposes the project
	1 The Neighborhood / Interest Group supports the project
	Community Benefit 00 01 02
	0 The project will provide limited or no community benefit
	1 The project will enhance an existing program or service
	2 The project will support a new program or service
	Service Level Deficiencies 00 02 04
	0 There are NO deficiencies identified
	2 There are deficiencies identified and some are rectified by this project
4 There are deficiencies identified and all are rectified by this project	
NET Revenue 00 01	
0 The completed project will not generate NET revenue	
1 The completed project will generate NET revenue	
Life safety 00 03	
0 The project has no Life Safety issues	
3 The project addresses significant Life Safety issues	
Mandates / Legal / Policy / Code 00 02 04	
0 There are no mandates, legal, policy or code requirements	
2 There are mandates, legal, policy or code requirements that require this project be completed	
4 A City function must be modified until project proceeds to satisfy mandates, legal, policy or code requirements	
Facility Condition 00 01	
0 The project is a new or fully refurbished facility	
1 The project is an existing facility and requires repairs / refurbishment	

Asset	Historic Designation 00 01 02
	0 The project is new or has no historic designation
	1 The project has historic value, but is not currently on a historic register
	2 The project is on a historic register
	Operational Savings / Efficiencies 00 01 02
	0 The project will result in additional ongoing costs to the city or is cost neutral
	1 The project will result in minor long-term or ongoing savings/efficiencies to the city
	2 The project will result in significant long-term or ongoing savings/efficiencies to the city
	Sustainability 00 01 02
	0 The project does not meet any of the City's Sustainability Master Plan goals
1 The project meets 1 or 2 of the Sustainability Master Plan targets or goals	
2 The project meets 3 or more of the Sustainability Master Plan targets or goals	
Long Range Facilities Planning 00 01	
0 The project is not included in an approved Master plan or the City General Plan	
1 The project is included in an approved Master plan or the City General Plan	
Environmental Suitability 00 02	
0 The site and/or existing facility requires environmental remediation or the site is "unknown"	
2 The site and/or existing facility is suitable for the intended use "as is"	
Project Scope / Cost Estimate 00 01 03	
0 The project has NO detailed project scope or cost estimate	
1 The project has a detailed project scope OR cost estimate	
3 The project has a detailed project scope AND cost estimate	
Capital Budget 00 01 02	
0 There is no funding for this project	
1 The project is up to 25% funded and/or will generate other matching funds/in-kind support	
2 The project is more than 25% funded and/or will generate other matching funds/in-kind support	

1 Thursday, January 15, 2009 10:41:48 AM

Criteria Total