

RESOLUTION NO. 2010-119

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

March 9, 2010

APPROVING SELECTION CRITERIA AND ESTABLISHING A PRIORITY LIST FOR THE SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT (SMUD) SYSTEM ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

BACKGROUND

- A. The relocation or undergrounding of primary voltage overhead power lines is very desirable to the City as it can help to improve aesthetics, economic vitality, safety and disabled access along corridors.
- B. The Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) recognized this and established a System Enhancement Program in order to fund selected distribution system enhancements by relocating or undergrounding power lines below 69 kV.
- C. SMUD, where feasible, will fund the cost to relocate or underground its facilities provided that the City has identified a project, determined that the project is in the public interest, has ensured that the relocation of all telecommunication companies with leases and rights to the project joint pole facilities is funded and completed, has completed plans and specifications for the relocation of SMUD's facilities and has constructed the underground improvements to SMUD's satisfaction, and has procured all required building permits and executed easement and service relocation agreements with all affected property owners.
- D. Funding for the non-participating work for the two top ranked projects, the Richards Blvd between North 7th Street and North 5th Street project, and the 16th Street between N Street and O Street project, has been identified and will be funded through private development or other interests.
- E. Although funding for the non-participating work for the third and fourth ranked projects, Florin Road between Tamoshanter and Franklin Boulevard and R Street between 10th and 13th Streets, has not been identified, the City Council desires that staff continue to work on preparing feasibility reports, costs estimates and identifying possible funding.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. The SMUD System Enhancement program priority selection criteria shown in Exhibit A is approved.
- Section 2. The scored and ranked project list, shown in Exhibit B, based on the priority criteria is approved.

- Section 3. Exhibits A and B are part of this resolution.
- Section 4. Staff is directed to work with the private project sponsors to submit applications to the SMUD System Enhancement Program for the Richard Blvd. between North 7th Street to North 5th Street, and the 16th Street between N Street and O Street projects.
- Section 5. Staff is directed to identify funding and prepare a preliminary feasibility report and cost estimate for both the R Street between 10th and 13th Street and the Florin Road between Tamoshanter and Franklin Boulevard projects and return to Council for approval to establish a Capital Improvement Program Project for the preliminary engineering phase of the work for these two projects. If the fourth project is unable to meet the internal May 2010 deadline to submit an application based on the preliminary feasibility report, staff is authorized to evaluate the next ranked project.

Table of Contents:

Exhibit A: SMUD System Enhancement Program Priority Criteria

Exhibit B: SMUD System Enhancement Program scored and ranked list

Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on March 9, 2010 by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Cohn, Fong, Hammond, McCarty, Pannell, Sheedy, Tretheway, Waters, and Mayor Johnson.

Noes: None.

Abstain: None.

Absent: None.

Attest:


Shirley Concolino, City Clerk



Mayor Kevin Johnson

Exhibit A
SMUD Undergrounding Project Ranking Criteria

Qualification

Is the project in the Shovel Ready Program/Priority Area?

Yes or **No** (if no – does not qualify)

Ranking (maximum of 100 points)

1. Project Readiness (Have planning and feasibility studies been completed) – **20 pts**
2. Shovel Ready Program Tier 1 – **20 pts** or Shovel Ready Program Tier 2 – **10 pts**
3. Is the project within a Redevelopment Area – **10 pts** or Commercial Corridor – **10 pts**
4. Are there outside resources to help pay for the design and negotiation efforts – **15 pts**
5. Does the project leverage other undergrounding efforts (fiber optic, etc.) **10 pts**
6. Is there Community and Property Owner Support for the project – **25 pts**

There is no match required by SMUD but if there are any non-eligible costs, they must be identified and there must be a plan to pay for these costs.

Exhibit B
SMUD System Enhancement Ranked Project List

1. **Richard Blvd (North 7th Street to North 5th Street) – District 1 100 points**
Project has underground application into SMUD already but needs funding to do the actual work. There will already be underground trenching along this street to install fiber optic cables hopefully decreasing the cost related to the project. In addition, funds have already been identified by the developers of Township 9 to pay for any additional costs related to this project, including fees and the undergrounding of non-SMUD lines. The project is currently being designed and will have an estimate in short order.
2. **Across 16th Street between N Street and O Street - Districts 1,3 and 4 90 points**
Project has been designed and currently has an estimate of approximately \$314,000 for the entire undergrounding. Of this \$314,000, the developer will need \$217,000 for just the SMUD portion. CADA has pledged the additional funds needed for the non-SMUD portion
3. **Florin Road (Tamoshanter to Franklin Boulevard) – District 8 75 points**
The Florin Road Partnership has done extensive research into undergrounding the utility lines in this area. However, there is not currently any outside financing identified to assist the City with the design efforts and negotiations with the other owners of the poles.
4. **R Street (10th Street to 13th Street) – District 4 75 points**
This undergrounding project can leverage the trenching from the streetscape project that will begin in Summer 2010. However, there is not currently any outside financing identified to assist the City with the design efforts and negotiations with the other owners of the poles. Additionally, if the design, negotiations and the SMUD funding determination is not determined by May 2010 (when DOT is scheduled to begin the streetscape project), this project will not be feasible and must be removed from the list.
5. **Jibboom Street – District 1 55 points**
Further research still needs to be completed on this project, however there is wide-spread community support for projects along Jibboom and the City is the property owner of most of the property.
6. **1st Street (near Docks Project) – Districts 1 & 4 55 points**
Further research still needs to be completed on this project, however there is wide-spread community support for projects near docks and the City is the property owner of most of the property.
7. **Stockton Boulevard - Fruitridge Road to the City/County limits – District 6 30 points**

Further research still needs to be completed on this project. The various property owners and community has not been notified of a potential project yet, so it is unknown if they will support a project. In addition, there is not currently any outside

financing available to assist the City with the design efforts and negotiations with the other owners of the poles.