



REPORT TO COUNCIL

City of Sacramento

915 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-2604
www.CityofSacramento.org

19

PUBLIC HEARING
May 18, 2010

**Honorable Mayor and
Members of the City Council**

Title: Citywide Fees and Charges Update

Location/Council District: All

Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing and upon conclusion adopt a **Resolution** approving proposed new fees and fee increases.

Contact: Leyne Milstein, Finance Director, 808-8491; Jason Bader, Senior Management Analyst, 808-5817

Presenter: Jason Bader, Senior Management Analyst, 808-5817

Department: Finance

Division: Budget

Organization No: 06001411

Description/Analysis

Issue: With the fiscal year (FY)2005/06 Midyear Report (Resolution 2006-106), the City Council formally adopted a citywide Fees and Charges Policy (Attachment 1). This policy provides the mechanism to ensure that fees and charges reflect the Council's direction related to recovery of costs to provide programs and services.

Consistent with the Fees and Charges Policy, proposed new fees and fee adjustments requiring Council approval are included in Exhibit A of the Resolution. The following departments have identified changes to the current fee schedule: Community Development; Convention, Culture & Leisure; Finance; Fire; General Services; Human Resources; Parks & Recreation, Transportation and Utilities.

Staff determined inflation adjustments for fees and charges would occur on a bi-annual basis. This reduces staff time and costs spent to produce documentation and make changes across the City. This year fees and charges will not be increased by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) since almost all current fees were updated in the FY2009/10 User Fee Study. As such, the next CPI adjustment will be with the FY2011/12 budget.

An online database and website has been developed to provide a single place to store information for all City fees and charges and provides the residents with easy access to information about departmental fees and charges. The database can be found on the City of Sacramento Finance Department website:
<http://www.cityofsacramento.org/finance/fees/index.cfm>

Policy Considerations: Maintaining the objectives outlined in the citywide Fees and Charges Policy is consistent with the Council's adopted budget principle to maintain a fiscally sustainable, balanced budget.

Committee/Commission Action: None.

Environmental Considerations: Approval of fees and the development of a website does not constitute a "project" and is therefore exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) according to Section 15601(b)(3) of the CEQA guidelines.

Rationale for Recommendation: The annual review of citywide fees and charges helps to ensure that the City's fees and charges keep pace with changes in the cost-of-living index, as well as changes in methods or levels of service delivery. In addition, recent service audits conducted by the Department of Utilities indicated that City Parks historically have not paid for City storm drainage service. Effective July 1, 2010, City Parks will be charged for City storm drainage service, so that the cost of providing storm drainage service to City Parks will no longer be borne by other City drainage rate payers. In connection with this change, staff is recommending the drainage rate schedule adjustment shown on Exhibit A to the Resolution, to bill City Parks for storm drainage service at the City's standard monthly non-residential rate based on impervious surface area, as is currently being done for cemeteries.

Financial Considerations: The City continues to face significant challenges with FY20010/11 budget development. The continued economic downturn, coupled with multi-year commitments, has created a growing gap between revenues and expenses. Staff is recommending approval of the fees and charges as outlined in Exhibit A. Consistent with the City Council's adopted Fees and Charges Policy, the review and adjustment of and addition to citywide cost recovery through fees and charges is an appropriate mechanism to offset General Fund expenditures.

Emerging Small Business Development (ESBD): There are no ESBD considerations with this report.

Submitted by: Jason Bader
Jason Bader
Senior Management Analyst

Approved by: Leyne Milstein
Leyne Milstein
Finance Director

Recommendation Approved:

Gus Vina
Gus Vina
Interim City Manager

Table of Contents:

Report Pg 1

Attachments

1	Fees and Charges Policy	Pg 4
2	Resolution	Pg 10
	Exhibit A New Fees and Fee Adjustments	Pg 11

Attachment 1

CITY OF SACRAMENTO FEES AND CHARGES POLICY

The City of Sacramento has the ability to determine the extent to which fees should be used to fund City facilities, infrastructure and services.

There are five main categories of fees that the City currently implements¹:

- ✓ **Impact/development fees** are typically one-time charges levied by the City against new development to generate revenue for the construction of infrastructure and capital facilities needed to offset the impacts of the new development.
- ✓ **Service fees** are charges imposed on persons or property that are designed to offset the cost of providing a government service. Sometimes these services are elective, such as fees for processing voluntary development permit applications, or providing service/recreation programs, while other service fees are not, such as mandatory service fees for trash or utility services. Such fees are typically reasonably related to the cost of providing the service for which the fee is imposed. Otherwise, the fee may constitute a special tax for which voter approval is required by Propositions 13, 62, and 218.
- ✓ **Regulatory fees** are imposed to offset the cost of a regulatory program, such as business regulatory fees, or to mitigate the past, present or future adverse impact of a fee payer's operations. While payment of a regulatory fee does not necessarily provide any direct benefit from payment of the fee, there must be a "nexus" between the activity and the adverse consequences addressed by the fee. Common examples of regulatory fees include inspection fees and business license fees designed to reimburse a local agency for the cost of monitoring the business and enforcing compliance with City code.
- ✓ **Rental fees** are charged for the rental of public property and include the rental of real property, parking spaces in a public parking lot, or the rental of community facilities such as a recreation or community room or picnic area. Rental fees are not subject to the general rule that the fee must bear a direct relationship to the reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged, however, rental fees must be fair and reasonable.
- ✓ **Penalties/Fines** are payment required for non-compliance or failure to adhere to specific rules and/or requirements.

1. League of California Cities Website: Spring Meeting May 13-15, 1998 Laurence S. Wiener, Esq. City Attorney of Beverly Hills and Westlake Village *THE CITY ATTORNEY'S ROLE IN EVALUATING FEE STUDIES.*

This document sets forth guidelines for:

- Establishing cost recovery goals;
- Determining the categories of cost recovery levels in which to categorize/organize fees;
- Methods for determining which category a fee falls under; and
- Establishment and modification of fees and charges.

A. Cost Recovery Goals

In setting user fees and cost recovery levels, the following factors will be considered²:

- 1) The amount of a fee should not exceed the overall cost of providing the facility, infrastructure or service for which the fee is imposed. In calculating that cost, direct and indirect costs may be included. That is:
 - Costs which are directly related to the provision of the service; and,
 - Support costs which are more general in nature but provide support for the provision of the service. For example, service fees can include reimbursement for the administrative costs of providing the service. Development fees can include the cost of administering the program to construct public facilities that are necessary to serve new development.
- 2) The method of assessing and collecting fees should be as simple as possible in order to reduce the administrative cost of collection.
- 3) Fees should be sensitive to the “market” for similar services.
In addition, in setting enterprise fund fees and cost recovery levels, the following factors will be considered:
- 4) The City will set fees and rates at levels which fully cover the total direct and indirect costs, including operations, capital outlay and debt service of the enterprise programs.
- 5) The City will review and adjust enterprise fees and rate structures as required to ensure that they remain appropriate and equitable.

B. Categories of Cost Recovery Levels in Which to Categorize/Organize Fees

There are five categories of cost recovery levels in which to classify fees:

² Government Finance Officers Association Website, Best Practices in Public Budgeting, City of San Luis Obispo: User Fee Cost Recovery Goals, 2005.

1. **Enterprise:** Full direct and indirect cost recovery (100% of total costs) for enterprise services such as water, sewer and solid waste, as well as impact/development fees.
2. **High:** Full direct cost recovery (81-100% of total costs).
3. **Medium:** Recovery between 41-80% of direct costs.
4. **Low:** Recovery between 0-40% of direct costs.
5. **Other:** Fees based on market, geography, assessment, project specific, legal limits or specific Council policy.

The City may choose, for policy reasons, to set fees at less than full recovery. For example, fees based on market, geography, assessment, project specific, statutory/legal limits or specific Council policy. In some cases, the City will acknowledge that a subsidy is acceptable, or even necessary to ensure program access and viability.

C. Methods for Determining Which Category a Fee Falls Under

Implementation of higher cost recovery levels is appropriate under the following conditions (up to 100% of the cost of the service or program):

- The service is regulatory in nature (i.e. building permits, plan check fees);
- The service is similar to services provided through the private sector;
- Other private or public sector alternatives could or do exist for the delivery of the service; and
- The use of the service is specifically discouraged (i.e., police responses to disturbances or false alarms might fall into this category).
- The service or facility is a specialized use that could be provided at a lower cost if not for specific nature or service (i.e. lighted fields).

Lower cost recovery levels are appropriate under the following conditions:

- There is no intended relationship between the amount paid and the benefit received. (It is likely that some recreation and human service programs fall into this category as it is expected that these programs will be subsidized by funds);
- Collecting fees is not cost-effective or will significantly impact the accessibility to the service;
- The service is non-recurring, generally delivered on a peak demand or emergency basis, cannot be planned for and is not readily available from a private sector source (i.e. public safety services);
- Collecting fees would discourage compliance with regulatory requirements and adherence is primarily self-identified, and as such, failure to comply would not be readily detected by the City.

Other:

- Market pricing requires that there be a direct relationship between the amount paid and the level and cost of the service received or a direct relationship to actual prices being charged for the service in the current market.
- Legal specifications and/or limitations to the amount that is charged.
- Adopted Council Policy setting specific fee.

Factors to Consider

The extent to which the total cost of service should be recovered through fees depends upon the following factors:

- ✓ The nature of the facilities, infrastructure or services;
- ✓ The nature and extent of the benefit to the fee payer;
- ✓ The effect of pricing on the demand for services; and
- ✓ The feasibility of collection and recovery.

The chart below reflects these factors and the potential options for higher or lower cost recovery³:

³ Government Finance Officers Association Website, Best Practices in Public Budgeting, City of Fort Collins, CO: User Fee Policies, 2005.

	The Nature of the Facilities, Infrastructure or Services	The Nature and Extent of the Benefit to The Fee Payers	Effect of Pricing on the Demand for Services	Feasibility of Collection and Recovery
Higher Cost Recovery	In the case of fees for facilities, infrastructure and proprietary services ⁴ , total cost recovery may be warranted.	When a particular facility or service results in substantial, immediate and direct benefit to fee payers, a higher percentage of the cost of providing the facility or service should be recovered by the fee.	Because the pricing of services can significantly affect demand, full cost recovery for services is more appropriate when the market for the services is strong and will support a high level of cost recovery.	In the case of impact fees, which can be collected at the time of issuance of a building permit, ease of collection is generally not a factor.
Lower Cost Recovery	In the case of governmental services ⁵ , it may be appropriate for a substantial portion of the cost of such services to be borne by the City's taxpayers, rather than the individual users of such services.	When a particular facility or service benefits not only the fee payer but also a substantial segment of the community, lower cost recovery is warranted.	If high levels of cost recovery affect accessibility to or negatively impact the delivery of services to lower income groups, this should be considered based on the overall goals of the program being implemented.	Some fees may prove to be impractical for the City to utilize if they are too costly to administer.

D. Establishment and Modification of Fees and Charges

Fees will be reviewed and updated on an ongoing basis as part of the annual budget process to ensure that they keep pace with changes in the cost-of-living as well as changes in methods or levels of service delivery. At the beginning of the budget process each department will submit a list of proposed adjustments to their section of the master fee schedule. Each service must be assigned a target cost recovery level as defined above.

Maintaining competitive status and comparability with other cities should be

⁴ Proprietary services are those which are provided for the benefit and enjoyment of the residents of the City

⁵ Governmental services are those which are provided by the City for the public good such as regulating land use, maintaining streets, and providing police and fire protection.

considered when determining new fee levels. Those fees that are proposed for adjustment should be benchmarked against neighboring jurisdiction fee schedules or appropriate service markets. The benchmark analysis should be taken into consideration when making final pricing decisions.

However, the City may choose, for policy reasons, to set fees at less than full recovery. (for example, fees based on market, geography, assessment, project specific, statutory/legal limits or specific Council policy). As stated above, in some cases, the City will acknowledge that a subsidy is acceptable, or even necessary to ensure program access and viability. Where appropriate, fees that have not been increased in some time should have increases phased in over several years to avoid 'sticker shock' increases.

If a particular fee is not adjusted in the budget process, to the extent feasible and/or appropriate, it should be increased bi-annually by a CPI factor to keep pace with inflation. For CPI adjustments the City will use the *Employee Cost Index for State and Local Government Employees, Total Compensation* as published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Bi-annually, the Finance Department shall determine the percentage change in this index and apply the increase or decrease to the master fee schedule, rounding up to the nearest whole dollar. Certain fees are exempt from an index adjustment, such as fees set by the State of California, percentage-based fees or those that have been identified as inappropriate for indexed fee increases (e.g. feasibility or fees that are based on market for services). Exempt fees are noted in the master fee schedule. Council may consider fee issues outside of the annual budget process on a case by case basis.

The City should conduct a comprehensive cost of service analysis every five to seven years to ensure fees and charges are set appropriately. Generally, fees may be adjusted based on supplemental analysis whenever there have been significant changes in the method, level or cost of service delivery. For example, changes in processes and technology change the staff time required to provide services to the public. A cost of service study will identify and quantify these changes.

RESOLUTION NO. 2010-XXX

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

May 18, 2010

APPROVING NEW CITYWIDE FEES AND FEE ADJUSTMENTS

BACKGROUND:

- A. On February 7, 2006, the City Council adopted the Citywide Fees and Charges policy (Resolution No. 2006-106).
- B. Implementation of the policy requires a necessary mechanism to ensure that the City's fees and charges reflect the City's current costs and that those fees and charges are reviewed on an annual basis by City Council. Staff has conducted the required annual review and recommends certain new fees and fee adjustments.
- C. Proposed new fees and fee adjustments are set forth in Exhibit A.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. The proposed new fees and fee adjustments as set forth in Exhibit A are hereby approved.
- Section 2. Exhibit A is part of this resolution.

Table of Contents:

Exhibit A - New Fees and Fee Adjustments

EXHIBIT A

NEW FEES AND FEE ADJUSTMENTS

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Note: All Planning Application Filing Fees listed in this Fee and Charge Report show only "initial filing fees". In the event that the actual cost of processing an application exceeds the staff processing component of the initial filing fee, the applicant shall pay an additional fee equal to this excess cost after receipt of written notice from the planning director specifying the additional amount due. (SCC 17.196.040.A.)

Fee Name: General Plan Consistency Review Fee

Current Fee: \$0

Proposed Fee: \$420 deposit, with cost recovery at \$140 per hour, except for minor alley abandonments, \$140 deposit, with cost recovery at \$140 per hour.

Justification: Recover cost to complete a 65402(a) review/General Plan Consistency Review. Whenever a public street or other public right-of-way (alley) is to be abandoned, or when the city proposes to sell city property, a 65402(a) review is required. The Planning Division reviews the proposed vacation to determine the vacation's consistency with the goals and policies of the City General Plan and local community Plan. These findings are then included in the staff report presented to City Council at the vacation hearing.

Fee Name: Home Occupation Permit

Current Fee: \$45

Proposed Fee: \$300

Justification: Recover cost to issue a home occupation permit. Preparation involves confirming compliance with the Zoning Code, issuing a permit, and maintaining the appropriate documents for official records.

Fee Name: Facility Permit Program (FPP) Fees and Billing

Current Fee:

Proposed Fee: All fees related to the FPP:

FPP Standard Hourly Rate

- \$140/hour with an one hour minimum and prorated in ½ hour increments thereafter (e.g. \$70 per ½ hour)

FPP Annual Registration Fee

- \$140 per building per year. The FPP Registration Fee is charged on a fiscal year basis and is not pro-rated for partial year registrations.

Minor Alterations & Improvements (less than \$250,000 valuation)

- This work will be subject to an initial deposit of \$560 for the initial plan review and/or inspections, with additional time charged and billed at the

FPP standard hourly rate. All plan review fees shall be collected prior to permit issuance.

Major Interior Tenant Improvements & Remodels (equal to and greater than \$250,000 valuation)

- This work will be subject to standard plan review and building permit (inspection) fees based on the estimated value of the work to be done, with an additional 50 percent expedited plan review fee. A phased permit fee will be charged when applicable. Fees are due and payable and will be collected at the time of application submittal. All Fire Department plan review and inspections will be billed at \$140 per hour. Fire plan review fees and a minimum \$280 for Fire inspection(s) will be collected at the time of permit issuance. All remaining Fire inspection fees will be assessed and paid prior to final Fire inspection. Should fees be required as a result of reviews outside of outlined FPP services, those fees shall be itemized and billed to the appropriate Project Reference Number.

Billing Procedures

- For all Minor Alterations and Improvements (less than \$250,000 valuation) fees shall be charged hourly and invoiced at the beginning of each month. All fees shall be paid within 30 days or a hold will be placed on the permitted work with no inspections or other work until the balance due is paid in full.

Justification: Recover cost to administer the new Facility Permit Program.

CONVENTION, CULTURE & LEISURE

Center for Sacramento History:

Fee Name: Photocopy Reproduction of Photographic Prints

Current Fee: \$0.25 per image (for prints not available via Center for Sacramento History (CSH) website)

Proposed Fee: \$0.50 per image (for prints not available via Center for Sacramento History (CSH) website)

Justification: Places fees at a comparable rate to other California institutions with similar missions. Previous fee structure under-recovered costs. Currently nearly 60,000 images are available on the CSH website for researchers to print reference copies.

Fee Name: Photocopy / Digital Reproduction of Oversize Items – Service Fee

Current Fee: \$13.00 per half hour, 1 hour minimum

Proposed Fee: \$15.00 per half hour, 1 hour minimum

Justification: Recover cost of taking oversize documents to a reproduction firm to get copies / scans made.

Fee Name: Photographing / Filming of Photographs and Artifacts – Service Fee

Current Fee: none

Proposed Fee: \$100.00 per hour, 1 hour minimum – Commercial Rate; \$50.00 per hour, 1 hour minimum – Non-Profit discount

Justification: Recover cost of assisting filmmakers in capturing images from the collections. The CSH reading room must be dedicated for the task, as well as retrieving the selected materials and supervising use by the filmmakers. These sessions average about four hours.

Fee Name: Research – Service Fee

Current Fee: \$26.00 per hour, 1 hour free

Proposed Fee: \$50.00 per hour, 1 hour free

Justification: Recover cost of conducting research in the archival collections for patrons unable to make onsite research appointments.

Fee Name: Advertising (includes print media, television, and web advertisements, billboards and in-store/restaurant display)

Current Fee: \$26.00 per use

Proposed Fee: \$100 minimum, rate dependent on use

Justification: Allows CSH to determine the cost of processing the order and ability to place fees at a comparable rate to other California institutions with similar missions. Allows flexibility when working with City / County residents and businesses, as well as non-profit agencies.

Fee Name: Editorial Use - Electronic/Film (film, television, video, CD-ROM, and web pages of each still image)

Current Fee: \$26.00 per use

Proposed Fee: \$50.00 per image – Commercial Rate, in Sacramento County; \$100.00 per image – Commercial Rate, outside Sacramento County; \$25.00 per image – Non-Profit, in Sacramento County; \$50.00 per image – Non-Profit, outside Sacramento County.

Justification: Places fees at a comparable rate to other California institutions with similar missions. Previous fee structure under-recovered costs. Allows flexibility when working with City / County residents and businesses, as well as non-profit agencies.

Fee Name: Editorial Use (books, periodicals, and other published works)

Current Fee: \$26.00 per use – Commercial Rate; \$6.50 per use – Non-Profit discount

Proposed Fee:

\$35.00 per image – Commercial Rate, in Sacramento County, circulation/print run less than 10,000 copies;

\$70.00 per image – Commercial Rate, outside Sacramento County, circulation/print run less than 10,000 copies;

\$50.00 per image – Commercial Rate, in Sacramento County, circulation/print run greater than 10,000 copies;

\$100.00 per image – Commercial Rate, outside Sacramento County, circulation/print run greater than 10,000 copies;

\$25.00 per image – Non-Profit, in Sacramento County, circulation/print run less than 10,000 copies;

\$50.00 per image – Non-Profit, outside Sacramento County, circulation/print run less than 10,000 copies;

\$40.00 per image – Non-Profit, in Sacramento County, circulation/print run greater than 10,000 copies;

\$80.00 per image – Non-Profit, outside Sacramento County, circulation/print run greater than 10,000 copies.

Justification: Places fees at a comparable rate to other California institutions with similar missions. Previous fee structure under-recovered costs. Allows flexibility when working with City / County residents and businesses, as well as non-profit agencies.

Fee Name: Non-Editorial Use (reference, office and home display)

Current Fee: \$6.50 per use – Non-Profit

Proposed Fee: \$10.00 per image, In Sacramento County; \$12.00 per image, outside Sacramento County

Justification: Places fees at a comparable rate to other California institutions with similar missions. Previous fee structure under-recovered costs. Allows flexibility when working with City / County residents and businesses, as well as non-profit agencies.

Fee Name: Non-Editorial Use - Merchandise (calendars, brochures, posters, postcards, etc.)

Current Fee: \$26.00 per use

Proposed Fee:

\$75.00 per image – Commercial Rate, in Sacramento County, print run less than 5,000 copies;

\$150.00 per image – Commercial Rate, outside Sacramento County, print run less than 5,000 copies;

\$100.00 per image – Commercial Rate, in Sacramento County, print run greater than 5,000 copies;

\$200.00 per image – Commercial Rate, outside Sacramento County, print run greater than 5,000 copies;

\$50.00 per image – Non-Profit, in Sacramento County, print run less than 5,000 copies;

\$100.00 per image – Non-Profit, outside Sacramento County, print run less than 5,000

copies;

\$65.00 per image – Non-Profit, in Sacramento County, print run greater than 5,000 copies;

\$130.00 per image – Non-Profit, outside Sacramento County, circulation/print run greater than 5,000 copies.

Justification: Places fees at a comparable rate to other California institutions with similar missions. Previous fee structure under-recovered costs. Allows flexibility when working with City / County residents and businesses, as well as non-profit agencies.

Convention Center Complex:

Fee Name: Equipment Rental

	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
Chairs (per chair, per day)	\$1.00	\$1.50
Cyber Key Recore (per room)	\$0.00	\$50.00
Cyber Key (first 5 keys complementary; each additional incurs charge) (per event)	\$0.00	\$10.00
Dance floor sections (per section, per day)	\$10.00	\$12.00
Flat bed cart (four hour rental)	\$0.00	\$25.00
Forklift (per hour)	\$75.00	\$80.00
Manlift (per hour)	\$80.00	\$85.00
Pallet removal (per pallet)	\$0.00	\$10.00
Piano, 9' Steinway Grand (per day)	\$300.00	\$350.00
Piano, 9' Baldwin Grand (per day)	\$300.00	\$350.00
Piano, Yamaha Upright (per day)	\$100.00	\$150.00
Pipe & Drape (per foot, per day)	\$3.00	\$4.00
Riser - Camera (4' x 4') heights 36", 48" or 54" (per section, per day)	\$20.00	\$25.00
Staging sections (4' x 8') heights 36", 48" or 54" (per section, per day)	\$20.00	\$25.00
Staging sections (6' x 8') heights 16", 24" or 32" (per section, per day)	\$20.00	\$25.00
Table (Exhibit Use, per day)	\$10.00	\$12.00
Table (Highboy, per day)	\$10.00	\$12.00

Justification: Comparable rates to other facilities.

Fee Name: Labor Rates

	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
Convention Center Attendant (per hour)	\$32.00	\$40.00
Crowd Control (per hour)*	\$17.00	\$20.00
Crowd Control Supervisor (per hour)*	\$20.00	\$25.00
Crowd Director (per hour)*	\$17.00	\$20.00
Door Guard (per hour)*	\$17.00	\$20.00
Fire Watch (per hour)*	\$40.00	\$45.00
Head Usher (per hour)*	\$20.00	\$25.00
House Staff (per hour)*	\$17.00	\$20.00
Moveable Airwalls (per panel)	\$0.00	\$5.00
Room Changeover (per room)	\$150.00	\$300.00
Stagehand (per hour)	\$70.00	\$75.00
Ticket Taker (per hour)*	\$17.00	\$20.00
TMP Guard (per hour)*	\$22.00	\$25.00
TMP Supervisor (per hour)*	\$27.00	\$30.00
Ushers (per hour)*	\$17.00	\$20.00

* indicates 4 hour minimum

Justification: Comparable rates to other facilities.

Fee Name: Exhibitor / Vendor Electrical Service - installed by Outside Contractor (per event; per outlet)

	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
120 Volt Electrical Outlets		
0-500 watts (5 amps)	\$12.50 per outlet	\$22.00 per outlet
500-1000 watts (10 amps)	\$16.50 per outlet	\$35.00 per outlet
1000-1500 watts (15 amps)	\$20.00 per outlet	\$43.00 per outlet
1500-2000 watts (20 amps)	\$22.50 per outlet	\$53.50 per outlet

Justification: Comparable rates to other facilities.

Fee Name: Exhibitor / Vendor Electrical Service - installed by City Staff (per event; per outlet)

	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
120 Volt Electrical Outlets		
0-500 watts (5 amps)	\$50.00 per outlet	\$71.50 per outlet
500-1000 watts (10 amps)	\$0.00	\$116.00 per outlet
1000-1500 watts (15 amps)	\$0.00	\$141.00 per outlet
1500-2000 watts (20 amps)	\$0.00	\$175.00 per outlet

Justification: Comparable rates to other facilities.

Fee Name: Production Power (Power & Motor Electrical Service) (per event; per outlet)

	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
<i>120 Volts</i>		
5 amps or 1/4 h.p.	\$0.00	\$26.50
10 amps or 1/2 h.p.	\$0.00	\$42.50
15 amps or 1 h.p.	\$0.00	\$52.00
20 amps or 2 h.p.	\$0.00	\$60.00
<i>208v Single Phase</i>		
10 amps or 1/2 h.p.	\$0.00	\$72.50
15 amps or 1 h.p.	\$0.00	\$74.50
20 amps or 2 h.p.	\$0.00	\$94.00
30 amps or 3 h.p.	\$0.00	\$115.00
40 amps or 5 h.p.	\$0.00	\$123.50
50 amps or 6 h.p.	\$0.00	\$146.50
60 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$163.00
100 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$229.50
200 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$392.00
400 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$738.00
<i>208v Three Phase</i>		
10 amps or 1/2 h.p.	\$0.00	\$92.50
15 amps or 1 h.p.	\$0.00	\$96.00
20 amps or 2 h.p.	\$0.00	\$121.50
30 amps or 3 h.p.	\$60.00	\$141.50
40 amps or 5 h.p.	\$70.00	\$154.50
50 amps or 6 h.p.	\$81.00	\$186.50
60 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$214.00
100 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$317.00
200 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$576.50
400 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$923.00
600 amps or 6 h.p.	N/A	\$1,380.00
<i>480v Three Phase</i>		
100 amps	N/A	\$502.50

Justification: Comparable rates to other facilities.

Old City Cemetery:

Fee Name: Burial and Cremation Administrative Service Fee

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$50.00

Justification: Fee will be applied to coordinate customer service requests at the Old City Cemetery for burials and cremations. Revenue will help off-set daily operations of maintaining the 28-acre site.

Fee Name: Burial Fee

Current Fee: \$200.00 for Weekday; \$300.00 for Saturday, N/A for Sunday

Proposed Fee: \$450.00 for Weekday; \$600.00 for Saturday; \$600.00 for Sunday

Justification: Recover cost to coordinate burial. Preparation involves identifying and preparing grave site, escorting funeral and family services, securing the burial plot upon completion of service, and filing appropriate documents for official records.

Fee Name: Cremation Fee

Current Fee: \$200.00 for Weekday; \$300.00 for Saturday, N/A for Sunday

Proposed Fee: \$350.00 for Weekday; \$500.00 for Saturday; \$500.00 for Sunday

Justification: Recover cost to coordinate cremation services. Preparation involves indentifying and preparing grave site, escorting funeral and family services, digging cremation plot, securing the burial plot upon completion of service, and filing appropriate documents for official records.

FINANCE (Revenue)

Fee Name: Unattended Donation Bins

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$200.00 (plus annual CPI adjustments for future years)

Justification: Property owners apply for placement of charitable organizations unattended donations bin. The fees cover permit processing, field inspections and record maintenance.

FIRE (Prevention)

Fee Name: Inspections – Hourly Day Rate (User Fee Study – Item 129)

Current Fee: \$110.00/hr

Proposed Fee: \$120.00/hr

Justification: Recover costs of inspections requested without permit. Proposed fee is modified from 100% recovery rate to reflect current market rates of other fire departments in the Sacramento area.

Fee Name: Inspections – Hourly After-Hours (User Fee Study – Item 130)

Current Fee: \$185.00/hr

Proposed Fee: \$200.00/hr

Justification: Recover costs of inspections requested to be performed after normal business hours and on weekends. These are typically requested to test alarm systems at a time that will not disrupt building occupants.

GENERAL SERVICES**Impound Fees - Dogs**

Fee Name: Altered, wearing current license

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$40 first occurrence, \$40 second occurrence, \$40 third occurrence, \$40 fourth occurrence. Unaltered civil penalties and hourly rate for veterinarian treatment will remain unchanged from FY2009/10.

Justification: Impound fees target pet owners who necessitate animal control services. The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. This fee differential is designed to encourage responsible pet ownership and contribute to the public's health and safety, the welfare of both the City's human and animal populations, and to target pet owners with the greatest likelihood of contributing to the animal control problem.

Fee Name: Altered, not wearing current license or not licensed

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$60 first occurrence, \$80 second occurrence, \$100 third occurrence, \$120 fourth occurrence. Unaltered civil penalties and hourly rate for veterinarian treatment will remain unchanged from FY2009/10.

Justification: Impound fees target pet owners who necessitate animal control services. The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. This fee differential is designed to encourage responsible pet ownership and to align fees with cost of service.

Fee Name: Unaltered, wearing current license

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$60 first occurrence, \$80 second occurrence, \$100 third occurrence, \$120 fourth occurrence. Unaltered civil penalties and hourly rate for veterinarian treatment will remain unchanged from FY2009/10.

Justification: Impound fees target pet owners who necessitate animal control services. The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. This fee differential is designed to encourage responsible pet ownership and to align fees with cost of service.

Fee Name: Unaltered, not wearing current license or not licensed

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$80 first occurrence, \$100 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence, \$140 fourth occurrence. Unaltered civil penalties and hourly rate for veterinarian treatment will remain unchanged from FY2009/10.

Justification: Impound fees target pet owners who necessitate animal control services. The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. This fee differential is designed to encourage responsible pet ownership and to align fees with cost of service.

Impound Fees – Cats

Fee Name: Altered, wearing current license

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$40 first occurrence, \$40 second occurrence, \$40 third occurrence, \$40 fourth occurrence. Unaltered civil penalties and hourly rate for veterinarian treatment will remain unchanged from FY2009/10.

Justification: Impound fees target pet owners who necessitate animal control services. The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. This fee differential is designed to encourage responsible pet ownership and to align fees with cost of service.

Fee Name: Altered, not wearing current license or not licensed

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$60 first occurrence, \$80 second occurrence, \$100 third occurrence, \$120 fourth occurrence. Unaltered civil penalties and hourly rate for veterinarian treatment will remain unchanged from FY2009/10.

Justification: Impound fees target pet owners who necessitate animal control services. The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. This fee differential is designed to encourage responsible pet ownership and to align fees with cost of service.

Fee Name: Unaltered, wearing current license

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$60 first occurrence, \$80 second occurrence, \$100 third occurrence, \$120 fourth occurrence. Unaltered civil penalties and hourly rate for veterinarian treatment will remain unchanged from FY2009/10.

Justification: Impound fees target pet owners who necessitate animal control services. The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound

occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. This fee differential is designed to encourage responsible pet ownership and to align fees with cost of service.

Fee Name: Unaltered, not wearing current license or not licensed

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$80 first occurrence, \$100 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence, \$140 fourth occurrence. Unaltered civil penalties and hourly rate for veterinarian treatment will remain unchanged from FY2009/10.

Justification: Impound fees target pet owners who necessitate animal control services. The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. This fee differential is designed to encourage responsible pet ownership and to align fees with cost of service.

Impound Fees – Biting or Intimidating Dogs

Fee Name: Altered, wearing current license

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$80 first occurrence, \$120 second occurrence, \$160 third occurrence, \$300 fourth occurrence

Justification: The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. A biting or intimidating and threatening animal is a greater animal control problem with an increased risk to public safety. The handling of an animal that has bitten necessitates additional staff time and / or an immediate response from an animal control officer.

Fee Name: Altered, not wearing current license or not licensed

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$120 first occurrence, \$160 second occurrence, \$200 third occurrence, \$340 fourth occurrence

Justification: The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. A biting or intimidating and threatening animal is a greater animal control problem with an increased risk to public safety. The handling of an animal that has bitten necessitates additional staff time and / or an immediate response from an animal control officer.

Fee Name: Unaltered, wearing current license

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$120 first occurrence, \$160 second occurrence, \$200 third occurrence, \$340 fourth occurrence

Justification: The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. A biting or intimidating and threatening animal is a greater animal control problem with an increased risk to public safety. The handling of an animal that has bitten necessitates additional staff time and / or an immediate response from an animal control officer.

Fee Name: Unaltered, not wearing current license or not licensed

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$160 first occurrence, \$200 second occurrence, \$240 third occurrence, \$380 fourth occurrence

Justification: The fees in place for FY2009/10 were flat fee amounts based on the number of impound occurrences. The proposed impound fees for FY2010/11 include a differential based on whether or not an animal is altered, licensed, or wearing a current license. A biting or intimidating and threatening animal is a greater animal control problem with an increased risk to public safety. The handling of an animal that has bitten necessitates additional staff time and / or an immediate response from an animal control officer.

Impound Fees – Potentially Dangerous or Dangerous Dogs

Fee Name: Potentially dangerous dog

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$200 first occurrence, \$400 second occurrence

Justification: The higher impound fee for a potentially dangerous dog reflects the higher cost of handling this type of case.

Fee Name: Dangerous dog

Current Fee: \$30 first occurrence, \$60 second occurrence, \$120 third occurrence

Proposed Fee: \$400 first occurrence, not redeemable upon second occurrence. Dangerous dogs are not redeemable by owners upon the second occurrence.

Justification: The higher impound fee for a dangerous dog reflects the higher cost of handling this type of case.

Confiscation Fees

Fee Name: Biting or intimidating dog

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$200

Justification: A confiscation fee will be applied when a dog that has been previously declared a biting or intimidating dog is in violation of the restrictions placed upon it and requires an animal control officer to remove the animal from the residence for further investigation.

Fee Name: Dangerous dog

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$300

Justification: A confiscation fee will be applied when a dog that has been previously declared dangerous is in violation of the restrictions placed upon it and requires an animal control officer to remove the animal from the residence for further investigation.

Permit Fees – Potentially Dangerous or Dangerous Dogs

Fee Name: Potentially dangerous dog

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$200 per year plus proof of insurance

Justification: The proposed fee increase is necessary to recover the cost of an animal control officer to inspect the premises and approve placing a potentially dangerous dog at that location.

Fee Name: Dangerous dog

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$300 per year plus proof of insurance

Justification: The proposed fee increase is necessary to recover the cost of an animal control officer to inspect the premises and approve placing a dangerous dog at that location.

Board Fees

Fee Name: Board

Current Fee: \$10 per day

Proposed Fee: \$12 per day or any portion thereof for all impounded and confiscated animals

Justification: The proposed fee increase is necessary to recover the cost of feeding and sheltering the animal. Other local jurisdictions charge daily rates from \$10 – \$25 depending on whether the impound is a “normal” impound, “bite/quarantine” impound, “protective custody” impound or an impound of livestock.

Miscellaneous Fees

Fee Name: Medication dispensing fee

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$2 per occurrence

Justification: The proposed fee is for stray animals only and is necessary to recover the cost of the medication and staff time to administer the medication.

HUMAN RESOURCES (Risk Management)

Fee Name: Robla Training Facility Rental

Current Fee: new

Proposed Fees:

\$300/day - non-profit City partners

\$450/day - other non-profit entities

\$650/day - for profit entities

Justification: The proposed rate, for facility rental to external for profit entities, is comparable to charges for use of auditorium space at a City community center. Safety program cost recovery offsets City expenses to maintain Cal OSHA regulatory compliance.

Fee Name: Safety Training Classes – all except driver training

Current Fee: new

Proposed Fees:

\$100/student/half day with instructor to student ratio of 1:10 or greater

\$200/student/full day with instructor to student ratio of 1:10 or greater

\$175/student/half day with instructor to student ratio of less than 1:10

\$350/student/full day with instructor to student ratio less than 1:10

Additional pro rata charges may be applied to recover cost of contract instructors, rental of specialized equipment or insurance for high risk activities.

Justification: Safety program cost recovery, from providing training to external participants, offsets City expenses to maintain Cal OSHA regulatory compliance.

Fee Name: Driver Safety Training - Police agencies

Current Fees: \$189 - \$250/day/student as regulated by CA Police Officer's Standards for Training (CA P.O.S.T) varying according to the specific class delivered.

Proposed Fees: \$189 - \$300/day/student as regulated by CA P.O.S.T. for the specific class delivered.

Justification: The driver safety training program is critical to managing the City's exposure to liability from vehicle accidents and maintaining regulatory

compliance. Cost recovery, from providing training to external participants, offsets expenses for training City employees. Due to the low fees allowed by CA P.O.S.T., training for external police agencies is limited to filling extra capacity.

Fee Name: Driver Safety Training – all except for Police agencies and defensive driver training for the public

Current Fees: \$350/day/student

Proposed Fees: \$350/day/student

Justification: The driver safety training program is critical to managing the City's exposure to liability from vehicle accidents and maintaining regulatory compliance. Cost recovery, from providing training to external participants, offsets expenses for training City employees.

Fee Name: Driver Safety Training – Public Defensive Driver Training

Current Fees: new

Proposed Fees: \$275/day/student

Justification: The driver safety training program is critical to managing the City's exposure to liability from vehicle accidents and maintaining regulatory compliance. Cost recovery, from providing training to external participants, offsets expenses for training City employees. This class is offered at a lower cost, because it will be under development for the first year.

PARKS & RECREATION

Fee Name: Park Facility Rental – Sports Field Use by Youth (Level 1 – Most Parks)

Current Fee: \$1 per hour

Proposed Fee: \$2 per hour

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Park Facility Rental – Sports Field Use by Youth (Level 2 – Specific Parks)

Current Fee: \$2 per hour

Proposed Fee: \$3 per hour

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Park Facility Rental – Covered Picnic Area

Current Fee: \$35 per 50 guests

Proposed Fee: \$40 per 50 guests

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Community Center Room Rental

Current Fee: \$30 - 150

Proposed Fee: \$30 – 200

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Street Closure Application for Parades, Runs, etc.

Current Fee: \$50 - \$200 depending on size of event

Proposed Fee: \$75 - \$350 depending on size of event

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Neighborhood Block Party Application

Current Fee: \$20

Proposed Fee: \$25

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Recreation Swim – Pool Entry Fees

Current Fee: \$1 up to age 17 - \$2 age 18 and up

Proposed Fee: \$3 for all ages

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Recreation Lap Swim

Current Fee: \$5

Proposed Fee: \$8

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Recreation Swim – Pool Entry Passes

Current Fee: \$4 – 21 depending on age and number of visits allowed by the pass

Proposed Fee: \$8 – 42 depending on age and number of visits allowed by the pass

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Children's Services Core Programming

Current Fee: \$5 - 25

Proposed Fee: \$5 - 45

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct cost).

Fee Name: Access Leisure Core Programming

Current Fee: \$8 - \$18

Proposed Fee: \$10 - 30

Justification: Increase cost recovery within range for this service (0% - 40% of direct

cost).

TRANSPORTATION

Fee Name: Tentative Maps (parcel, master parcel & subdivision)

Current Fee: Full cost recovery (\$700 Traffic Engineering Deposit)

Proposed Fee: Full cost recovery (\$1000 Traffic Engineering Deposit)

Justification: The fee is based on actual cost recovery. Increasing the deposit to typical project costs will make fees more predictable to customer and reduce the need for follow up invoices.

Fee Name: Department of Transportation Review of Planning Applications (All Other Entitlements)

Current Fee: Full cost recovery (\$250 deposit)

Proposed Fee: Full cost recovery (\$500 deposit)

Justification: The fee is based on actual cost recovery. Increasing the deposit to typical project costs will make fees more predictable to customer and reduce the need for follow up invoices.

Fee Name: Expedited Parking Meter Reservation Fee

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$175.00

Justification: The parking meter reservation process requests ten (10) business days notice to allow for administrative processing time, as well as, to provide 72 hours advanced notice for posting of the meters. Currently, only 24.9 % of the parking meter reservation requests are in compliance, which has undue impacts for properly informing vehicle owners of possible towing if their vehicle is parked in a reserved location based on the Special Event permit. The proposed \$175.00 fee represents the overtime costs for posting within required parameters. The goal of the Expedited Parking Meter Reservation Fee is to encourage compliance due to the 72 hours posting requirements.

Fee Name: Hollow Sidewalk Inspection

Current Fee: None

Proposed Fee: \$225; increase to full cost recovery amount of \$550 for FY2011/12

Justification: Recover costs associated with the inspection of hollow sidewalks in the downtown area. Given the amount of the fee, it is recommended that this fee be phased in over two fiscal years. Hollow sidewalks are inspected on a regular schedule by a licensed engineer to ensure public safety and the structural integrity of the supports. Hollow sidewalks were created in the late 1800's when the downtown area was raised to prevent flooding. The City is currently charged \$550 by a licensed engineer for the inspection and report for each property inspected.

Fee Name: Hollow Sidewalk Repairs**Current Fee:** Construction costs plus \$20 Administrative fee**Proposed Fee:** Actual cost of design, construction, inspection, project and construction management costs.**Justification:** Fully recover the costs associated with the repair of hollow sidewalks. Hollow sidewalks are inspected on a regular schedule by a licensed engineer to ensure public safety and the structural integrity of the supports. Each year several hollow sidewalks are repaired as a result of the inspections. Hollow sidewalks were created in the late 1800's when the downtown area was raised to prevent flooding.**Fee Name:** Tree Root Inspection**Current Fee:** \$95; Not previously in the schedule**Proposed Fee:** \$110**Justification:** Fully recover the costs associated with providing an arborist to inspect the roots of a tree causing damage to infrastructure. Inspection determines if roots can be cut without damaging the health of the tree.**Fee Name:** Sidewalk Repair Administrative Fee**Current Fee:** \$20**Proposed Fee:** \$40**Justification:** Pursuant to City Code 12.32 and Streets and Highway Code, the adjacent property owner is responsible for the repair costs to the sidewalk. This fee covers a portion of administrative staff costs to receive complaint, prepare estimate, prepare required noticing to property owner and billing to property owner. The existing administrative fee has not been changed in over 25 years.**Fee Name:** Tree Permit Application Fee (Non-refundable)**Current Fee:** None.**Proposed Fee:** \$50.00**Justification:** Pursuant to SCC §12.56.070 and §12.64.050, a permit is required to perform maintenance on or to remove any street tree or any heritage tree. Approximately 500 permit applications are processed annually. This non-refundable fee is to recover the cost of processing the application. The fee is based on staff time required to process the application and perform field inspections of the subject tree(s).**Fee Name:** Final Map/Parcel Map (1-4 lots)**Current Fee:** \$2,200.00**Proposed Fee:** Full cost recovery (\$2,200 Deposit)**Justification:** Adjusting the fee to full cost recovery will make it consistent with other transportation review fees related to private development. In addition, this will provide incentives for applicants to submit complete documentation and reduce staff review time.

Fee Name: Final Map/Parcel Map (5 or more lots)

Current Fee: \$2,800.00 + \$25.00 per lot

Proposed Fee: Full cost recovery (\$2,800.00 + \$25.00 per lot deposit)

Justification: Adjusting the fee to full cost recovery will make it consistent with other transportation review fees related to private development. In addition, this will provide incentives for applicants to submit complete documentation and reduce staff review time.

UTILITIES

Fee Name: Storm Drainage Service Rates – City Parks

Current Fee: Rate schedule established per City Council Resolution No. 2004-516

Proposed Fee Adjustment: Amend storm drainage service rate schedule specified in Resolution No. 2004-516 to bill City Parks for storm drainage service based on impervious surface area, the same as cemeteries:

I.	STORM DRAINAGE SERVICE RATES -- MONTHLY RESIDENTIAL	<u>RATE</u>
	Single-family residence:	
	1 - 3 rooms	7.53
	4 - 5 rooms	9.58
	6- 7 rooms	11.31
	8- 9 rooms	13.38
	10 - 15 rooms	15.25
	over 15, each additional room	1.19
	Multiple-family residence: Each dwelling unit charged the same as a single-family residence	
II.	STORM DRAINAGE SERVICE RATES -- MONTHLY NON-RESIDENTIAL	
	Non-residential, excluding cemeteries and City Parks	
	Each sq. ft. of gross surface area	0.001928
	Cemeteries and City Parks	
	Each sq. ft. of impervious surface area	0.001928
	Minimum Rate	8.39

Where non-residential property contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, the common facilities will be treated as one property and the owner shall be liable for payment of fees for the common facilities, notwithstanding the fact that other fees charged to the property may be charged to the tenants or owners of divided interests. Common areas in planned unit developments or condominium developments shall be treated as commercial property for the purposes of this rate schedule.

Justification: The City's storm drainage service rate schedule generally charges for non-residential storm drainage service based on the gross surface area of the non-residential parcel receiving storm drainage service. However, the City's rate schedule does not charge cemeteries for storm drainage service based on the gross surface area of the cemetery, but, instead, charges cemeteries based on the impervious surface area of the cemetery. The City's rate schedule treats cemeteries differently because the majority of the surface area of cemeteries is pervious surface area that does not generate surface runoff of storm drainage in the same manner as impervious surface area. City Parks also should be charged for storm drainage service based on impervious area rather than gross surface area, because the majority of surface area in City Parks is pervious surface area that does not generate surface runoff of storm drainage in the same manner as impervious surface area.