



Correspondence is available for review
in the Office of the City Clerk or on the
City's Official Website at
http://sacramento.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=21

Correspondence

Meeting of November 9, 2010

- a. **Correspondence**
 - 1. None

- b. **eComment**
 - 1. See attached

City of Sacramento

City Council Meeting

Tuesday, November 09, 2010

Top Discussion Item

Agenda Item	Position %
<p>16. Estimated Time: 1 hour and 30 minutes Ordinance Amendment: Medical Marijuana Dispensaries (M10-015) (Passed for Publication on 10-26-10, published on 10-29-10, Noticed on 10-27-10)</p> <p>Total Number of Comments: 9</p>	 <p> ■ Support 0% ■ Oppose 11% ■ None 88% </p>

Public Comments Summary

Opinion	Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
—	16. Estimated Time: 1 hour and 30 minutes Ordinance Amendment: Medical Marijuana Dispensaries (M10-015) (Passed for Publication on 10-26-10, published on 10-29-10, Noticed on 10-27-10)	0	1	8	9
✘	18. Estimated Time: 45 minutes City/County/BLT In-Region Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Disposal; Purchase of Recyclables; and Settlement and Release Agreement [2/3 Votes Required] [To Be Delivered] [Updated on 11-9-10 at 7:55 a.m.]	0	1	0	1
✘	18. Estimated Time: 45 minutes City/County/BLT In-Region Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Disposal; Purchase of Recyclables; and Settlement and Release Agreement [2/3 Votes Required] [To Be Delivered]	0	1	0	1
				Total	11

Public Comments Details

Agenda Item		Support	Oppose	None	Total
16. Estimated Time: 1 hour and 30 minutes Ordinance Amendment: Medical Marijuana Dispensaries (M10-015) (Passed for Publication on 10-26-10, published on 10-29-10, Noticed on 10-27-10)		0	1	8	9
Jeanne Larsson atherapeuticalternative@yahoo.com 3015 H Street District 3 Sacramento CA	As a dispensary operator I would like to be able to deliver under special circumstances. I DO NOT want to have a delivery service, but would like to be able to take existing members medication if they are unable to come in or not able to use public transit. This situation may occur 2-5 times a month at most. I am also concerned with the cost of the planning commission. Many Mom and Pop dispensaries may have to close due to cost. Thank you for your time and support.			None	11/9/2010 
Ryan Landers RPLanders@surewest.net Po Box 188056 District 3 Sacramento Ca	With having just defined the felony as having been expunged then you should give time for compliance as some have been 10, 20, or even 30 years ago and it can take a little time to get them expunged. We have worked extremely hard to make sure the city is least impacted by the dispensaries existence. You really should go back and listen to the comments made by stake holders at the last meeting.			None	11/9/2010 
Ryan Landers RPLanders@surewest.net Po Box 188056 District 3 Sacramento Ca	You are preventing someone like me from getting involved just to help the patients because I would have to pay the city so much it would be impossible. Your Turing this into corporate marijuana not compassionate marijuana as the State law I helped pass reads in its title. The City seems to be making money the deciding factor on our compassionate access which is absolutely wrong!			None	11/9/2010 
Ryan Landers RPLanders@surewest.net Po Box 188056 District 3 Sacramento Ca	I truly believe that making the fees so high will cause undue harm to Sacramento's most seriously ill citizens. You are potentially closing very good small dispensaries which are able or more willing to help the seriously ill patients to live and survive not just sell them marijuana. These operations have operated to the letter of the attorney general's guidelines of being Non-Profit! I think a few of the owners whom might be able to pay the fees are the ones who's focus is money and not the healing or treating of serious illness.			None	11/9/2010 
Ryan Landers RPLanders@surewest.net Po Box 188056 District 3 Sacramento Ca	Stating that you changed the ordinance to 600 feet to be in compliance with the new State law is horribly misstated at best. In the bill they tried to make the distance ban apply to many of the sensitive uses you are listed but even the city's is much longer. If you wanted to be in compliance with the State law you would only apply that distance to Schools. If you had followed the process of that law you would know that it started out with all of the sensitive uses but that got amended down to just schools! now how are you compliant if you are doing the exact things that got thrown out of the state law before it became law?? That is NOT COMPLIANCE!!			None	11/9/2010 
Ryan Landers RPLanders@surewest.net	Now for doing that I seem to be punished as 40 operators registered as an illegal business and with this ordinance I don't even have a chance of operating because I listened, waited, and			None	11/9/2010

Po Box 188056 District 3 Sacramento Ca	attempted to have my actions be legal in our city. So I am being punished for following the law?? That doesn't make rational sense and only tells people to go operate illegal businesses and hope they work things out. The Definition should be 10 patients can collectively cultivate without being a dispensary to be in compliance with the Kelley Decision about patient gardens.	
Ryan Landers RPLanders@surewest.net Po Box 188056 District 3 Sacramento Ca	When it was announced in the Sacramento Bee in January 1997, That I was going to try and open a dispensary in Sacramento I have worked with local officials at all levels to make safe access happen. I stepped back from being an operator to work with the officials to make this happen safely and correctly as I knew from the discussions that the money was influencing your thought process of the truth of medical marijuana's need. I knew the vested interest was working against the fight so I stepped back and set to do it right.	None 11/9/2010 
Ryan Landers RPLanders@surewest.net Po Box 188056 District 3 Sacramento Ca	I would like to thank you all for the time you have spent working on this issue. As well I would like to apologize for the time I have taken speaking in excess of my allotted time. I have had the lives and more importantly the deaths of so many thousands of seriously ill patients over the years and that had led to a certain passion for helping the patients needs and city's as well. I did not take this time out of disrespect of you or your time, but the knowledge of the pain and suffering which can be caused to patients in the worst times of their lives. I have appreciated my time and involvement in this process.	None 11/9/2010 
S.R. Wolk srwold@pacbell.net Briarcrest Way District 4 Sacramento CA	Please continue to ensure that marijuana patients have the same access to their medications as people who use more conventional perscription drugs. Personally, I have never seen loitering, drug use, littering, or any other problem associated with the "wellness" center in my neighborhood. I understand the need for some regulation, but no more than for businesses that sell other perscription medication. Thank you.	Oppose 11/9/2010 

Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
18. Estimated Time: 45 minutes City/County/BLT In-Region Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Disposal; Purchase of Recyclables; and Settlement and Release Agreement [2/3 Votes Required] [To Be Delivered]	0	1	0	1
Anonymous	This is to put the council on notice that the public needs to have time to review the 200+/- page document, and it is not even out as of 11/7/10. There is still many yrs left on the existing contract, and with so much development in the green energy it doesn't make common sense to extend the contract at this time, unless there is something hidden going on! There are other cities in the U.S. where the tip fee charged to the city will be 0 due to all of the recycles recovered! Use common sense!			Oppose 11/7/2010 

Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
18. Estimated Time: 45 minutes City/County/BLT In-Region Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Disposal; Purchase of Recyclables; and Settlement and Release Agreement [2/3 Votes Required] [To Be Delivered] [Updated on 11-9-10 at 7:55 a.m.]	0	1	0	1

<p>Kelly Smith Sacramento CA</p>	<p>Opposes the BLT contract due to financial burden to city residents who will have to pay more on their garbage rate if garbage is taken to Keifer landfill. There will be significant environmental consequence because landfill has groundwater contamination problems. There will also be air emission impacts by shuttling garbage back and forth to Keifer rather than loading it in big lumps and taking it over the hill out of the impacted air basin where Sacramento and Keifer landfill sits.</p>	<p>Oppose 11/9/2010 </p>
--------------------------------------	---	--