



Correspondence is available for review  
in the Office of the City Clerk or on the  
City's Official Website at  
[http://sacramento.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view\\_id=21](http://sacramento.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=21)

# Correspondence

## Meeting of November 16, 2010

a. **Correspondence**

Item 17: Agreements City/County/BLT in-region municipal solid waste disposal

1. Power Inn Alliance – Email in support

b. **eComment**

1. Attached.

**City Council Agenda 11-16-2010**

**Item 17: Agreements City/County/BLT in-region municipal solid waste disposal  
Correspondence**

**From:** Jerry Vorpahl [<mailto:jerry@powerinn.org>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2010 3:03 PM

**To:** Shirley Concolino

**Cc:** Shawn Guttersen; Kevin McCarty; Maria Alvarez; [Dave@atlasdisposal.com](mailto:Dave@atlasdisposal.com)

**Subject:** Support for Item No. 17 on tonight's City Council Agenda

The Power Inn Alliance, a coalition of over 600 property and business owners, supports this agenda item in principal; in particular, using a north area transfer station for north area city residents, extending the contract for the Sacramento Recycling and Transfer Station, and sending non recyclable solid waste to the Kiefer Land Fill. In the interest of environmental enhancement, particularly reducing heavy truck traffic and improving air quality in the Sacramento area, we encourage the Council to support this measure.



**JERRY VORPAHL**

Executive Director/CEO

Power Inn Alliance

5310 Power Inn Road

Sacramento, CA 95820

916-453-8888

[www.powerinn.org](http://www.powerinn.org)

This email may contain information that is confidential or attorney-client privileged and may constitute inside information. The contents of this email are intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are directed not to read, disclose, distribute or otherwise use this transmission. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the transmission. Delivery of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privileges.

# City of Sacramento

City Council (Closed Session Starts at 5:30 P.M.)

Tuesday, November 16, 2010

## Top Discussion Item

Agenda Item	Position %
15. Estimated Time: 30 minutes    Central City Alley Names  Total Number of Comments: <b>3</b>	 <div style="display: flex; justify-content: center; gap: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"><span style="color: green;">■</span> Support 0%</div> <div style="text-align: center;"><span style="color: red;">■</span> Oppose 33%</div> <div style="text-align: center;"><span style="color: yellow;">■</span> None 66%</div> </div>

## Public Comments Summary

Opinion	Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
<span style="color: red;">—</span>	15. Estimated Time: 30 minutes    Central City Alley Names	0	1	2	3
<span style="color: green;">✓</span>	17. Estimated Time: 45 minutes    Agreements: City/County/BLT In-Region Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Disposal, Purchase of Recyclables; and Settlement and Release Agreement [2/3 vote on some items] (Continued from 11-9-10)	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>					<b>4</b>

## Public Comments Details

Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
-------------	---------	--------	------	-------

15. Estimated Time: 30 minutes Central City Alley Names	0	1	2	3
<p>Bruce Holmes zazzu@sbcglobal.net 2701 P St District 3 Sacramento CA</p>	<p>If approved, insure that in changing from "alley" to "row" the public understands the parking rules still apply. Businesses, customers &amp; residents fronting on "rows" will still not be allowed to park vehicles, other than commercial , on these "rows". eg: "Kondos Ave". This row between P &amp; Q , 12 to 14 St was transformed with a development project. It's (1) posted both sides, "No Parking Any Time" &amp; (2) Curbs are painted red. Message: "Keep it clear no parking." A clear message benefits all</p>			<p><b>None</b> 11/16/2010</p> 
<p>Bill Maynard sagc@ulink.net Citywide Sacramento</p>	<p>The City Council is scheduled to adopt names for the alleys tonight - names based on world cities - how relevant is that?</p> <p>What they should be doing is naming them after the fabulous fruits and vegetables that grow here in our region and which are featured in out great restaurants, farms, farmers' markets and community gardens.</p> <p>They are even going to call them "Rows" instead of "Alleys" ... a moniker which goes perfectly with the names of fruits and vegetables ..</p> <p>Why not:</p> <p>Asparagus, Artichoke or Avacado Row?</p> <p>Bean or Beet Row?</p> <p>Carrot or Cabbage Row?</p> <p>Daikon Radish Row?</p> <p>Egg Plant Row?</p> <p>Fig Row?</p> <p>Grape Row?</p> <p>Halapeno Row?</p> <p>I ??? Row?</p> <p>Jicama Row?</p> <p>Kale Row?</p> <p>Lemon Row?</p>			<p><b>None</b> 11/16/2010</p>

Melon Row?

Nectarine Row?

Okra or Onion Row?

Persimmon, Pear or Peach Row?

Quince Row?

Rutabaga Row? (My personal favorite)

Squash or Spinach Row?

Tomato Row?

U??? Row?

V???? Row?

Watermelon Row?

X Row?

Yam Row?

Z???? Row?

District 3	<p>1. What "outreach" was done to support this concept? Any outreach to neighborhood associations or NAG? Any actual public outreach or consultant and focus group only?</p> <p>2. Are foriegn city names a convenience to avoid duplicate names? Does this theme for a historic use actually resonate with ANYONE?</p> <p>3. Council hasn't approved this. Why has the City already mounted "Liestal Row" signs?</p> <p>4. If SPD prefers "alley" for public safety reasons, go with that. "Row sounds classier," is silly, Mr. Cohn.</p>	<p><b>Oppose</b> 11/16/2010</p> 
------------	--	---

Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
17. Estimated Time: 45 minutes Agreements: City/County/BLT In-Region Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Disposal, Purchase of Recyclables; and Settlement and Release Agreement [2/3 vote on some items] (Continued from 11-9-10)	1	0	0	1
Annette Deglow jadeglow@sbcglobal.net 8424 Olivet Court District 6 Sacramento CA	<p>The College Glen Neighborhood Association supports this agenda item in principal;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>. in particular, using a north area transfer station for north area city residents,</li> <li>. extending the contract for the Sacramento Recycling and Transfer Station, and</li> <li>. sending non recyclable solid waste to the Kiefer Landfill rather than trucking it to Lockwood Nevada.</li> </ul>			<p><b>Support</b> 11/16/2010</p> 