


City of Sacramento

City Council Meeting

Tuesday, April 05, 2011

Top Discussion Item



Public Comments Summary

Opinion	Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
—	Public Comments-Matters Not on the Agenda	0	0	1	1
✗	Consent Items (1-17)	0	1	0	1
—	21. Green Waste Collection Service Options: Containerized and Loose in the Street	0	2	2	4
				Total	6

Public Comments Details

Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total

Public Comments-Matters Not on the Agenda		0	0	1	1
Anonymous	If we have a City Attorney's office, why do we need to contract out for an attorney to review the contract with the Maloofs to make sure their debt is paid? That \$150k can help offset some of our budget deficit. Also, in the same light, why are we contracting out with a law firm to negotiate with the employee unions when we have a labor relations office that did that very competently for years? It seems that the city council is just wasting money instead of taking care of priorities.				None 4/5/2011 

Agenda Item		Support	Oppose	None	Total
Consent Items (1-17)		0	1	0	1
Julie Murphy julieamurphy28@gmail.com 2731 G Street District 3 Sacramento CA	My husband and I live in Midtown at the corner of 28th and G Streets. We have four 80-year old Chinese Elms that produce a tremendous amount of leaves. It will be burdensome to us and our neighbors if the City Council decides to levy a \$40.00 per month charge for the continued street collection of yard waste. The full containers are also burdensome for the elderly in the neighborhood to maneuver. Please don't shift the entire burden on the yard waste collection on the homeowners. Thank you.				Oppose 4/5/2011 

Agenda Item		Support	Oppose	None	Total
21. Green Waste Collection Service Options: Containerized and Loose in the Street		0	2	2	4
Walt Seifert bikeguy@sirewest.net 877 53rd Street District 3 Sacramento CA	With every other week loose in the street collection, green waste piles could remain in the street for long periods. This would exacerbate all the negative effects of dumping. Please ask the Utility Dept. to create a policy so residents limit dumping their trash to the day before pick up. This policy would be difficult to enforce, but at least some would comply. Please put repeal of Measure A on the ballot. Dumping is a medieval practice that should be eliminated/minimized.				None 4/5/2011 
Dale Kooyman kooyman801@gmail.com 801 21st Street District 3 Sacramento CA	I sent each of you an email on this topic. Please read it for all of what you need to know. Following is a partial summary: Solid Waste has proposed that containerized waste be city-wide and apparently most council have agreed BUT it does not seem SW or CM's (except possibly CM. Cohn) are aware or understand the ramifications. Certainly SW did little or no analysis to enable CM's to know the fiscal consequences for parking enforcement and the lack of practicality of much of the central city.				None 4/5/2011 
Phil Perry pdperry@winfirst.com 2346 La Lima Way District 1 Sacramento CA	While loose pick-up may entail increased costs, in neighborhoods where containerized collection is in place loose pick-up is greatly curtailed thereby lowering costs. Perhaps if the program had been honestly presented I'd have a different opinion of it. It is not a voluntary program. These cans show-up and you need to work hard to get them taken away.				Oppose 4/5/2011

	I know I did...	
<p>Joe DiGiorgio jbjk@sirewest.net Callister Ave District 3</p>	<p>I find the staff report tone objectionable and will fight implementation of extra fees to "support" LITS, or ending the service.</p> <p>The service (for Prop 218) is the pick-up of green waste, not how it is done. LITS didn't become expensive because of LITS, it became expensive because of the CW experiment lessening the economies of scale for LITS (per your own staff report). Therefore the burden of extra costs should be assessed on that experiment, or the general fund.</p> <p>CEQA findings issues too!!!!</p>	<p>Oppose 4/5/2011</p> 