



# City of Sacramento City Council

7

915 I Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814  
[www.CityofSacramento.org](http://www.CityofSacramento.org)

**Meeting Date:** 8/9/2011

**Report Type:** Public Hearing

**Title:** Council Redistricting

**Report ID:** 2011-00676

**Location:** Citywide

**Recommendation:** Conduct a public hearing and upon conclusion provide direction to staff toward achieving final approval of Council District boundaries on or before September 6.

**Contact:** Scot Mende, Principal Planner, (916) 808-4756; Community Development Department, Maria MacGunigal, IT Manager, (916) 808-7998, Information Technology Department

**Presenter:** Scot Mende, Principal Planner, (916) 808-4756; Community Development Department, Maria MacGunigal, IT Manager, (916) 808-7998, Information Technology Department

**Department:** Community Development Dept / Information Technology

**Division:** New Growth

**Dept ID:** 21001223

**Attachments:**

---

- 1-Description/Analysis
- 2-Background
- 3-PlanA\_Set
- 4-PlanB\_Set
- 5-PlanC\_Set
- 6-PlanD\_Set
- 7-CohnPlan\_Set
- 8-SheedyPlan\_Set

---

## City Attorney Review

Approved as to Form  
Matthew Ruyak  
8/4/2011 11:15:22 AM

## City Treasurer Review

Prior Council Financial Policy Approval or  
Outside City Treasurer Scope  
Dawn Bullwinkel  
8/3/2011 4:41:18 PM

## Approvals/Acknowledgements

Department Director or Designee: Max Fernandez - 8/3/2011 5:17:48 PM

Assistant City Manager: Cassandra Jennings - 8/4/2011 9:35:22 AM

Eileen Teichert, City Attorney

Shirley Concolino, City Clerk  
**William H. Edgar, Interim City Manager**

Russell Fehr, City Treasurer



## Description/Analysis

**Issue:** Discuss the various redistricting proposals and provide direction to staff towards adoption on September 6th of amended Council Districts. On July 12<sup>th</sup>, the Redistricting Committee submitted four maps for Council's consideration. At the July 26<sup>th</sup> Council meeting, two additional maps were submitted by Councilmembers Cohn and Sheedy. The City Council directed staff to report back with analysis of these two new maps and to use additional analytical criteria. Adoption of final council district boundaries is targeted for September 6, 2011.

**Policy Considerations:** Section 23 of the City Charter provides that "Council districts shall be as nearly equal in population as required under the Federal and State Constitutions." In setting district boundaries, consideration must be given to the following factors:

- Topography
- Geography
- Cohesiveness
- Continuity
- Integrity and compactness of territory
- Community of interests of the districts
- Existing neighborhoods
- Community boundaries

These factors are occasionally in conflict – i.e., drawing a district to keep intact a community of interest may divide neighborhoods, or vice-versa. The Council should discuss which of these criteria are most compelling for the specific geographies in conflict.

### Environmental Considerations:

**California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA):** The subject of this report is not, in itself, a project. The subject of this report does not involve a project which requires compliance with the CEQA, inasmuch as it does not involve an activity which may cause a direct or indirect change in the environment (Public Resources Code Section 21065).

**Sustainability Considerations:** None.

**Commission/Committee Action:** Not applicable.

**Rationale for Recommendation:** The proposed Redistricting Committee recommendation discussion continues the process of broad civic engagement consistent with the City's culture of open and transparent government.

**Financial Considerations:** Many of the resources needed for redistricting will be provided by existing staff. On January 18, 2011, the City Council approved Resolution 2011-033 which established a multi-year CIP (I07000700) for redistricting activities and allocated \$160,000 to the effort. No additional funding is requested, however the additional staff resources may require the corresponding reduction of staff resources devoted to other City initiatives and activities.

**Emerging Small Business Development (ESBD):** Not applicable.



## Attachment 1

### Background:

The City of Sacramento must reapportion (redistrict) City Council district boundaries every ten years following the regular U.S. Census. The resulting district boundaries must be balanced in population in accordance with the local, state, and federal rules governing the redistricting process.

### Four Maps Recommended by SRCAC

The Sacramento Redistricting Citizens Advisory Committee presented its final recommendations to the City Council (see July 12<sup>th</sup> City Council agenda). The Committee recommended for Council's further consideration four plans: Plans A, B, C, and D. These recommended plans were created from four submissions: SR017, SR024, SR031, and SR035, which were culled and refined from the original 37 submissions made by the public to the City. Each of the four maps takes into consideration the intent of the original plan submission while providing refinements to better meet the objectives of the Committee. In the City Council's July 26<sup>th</sup> agenda (Item #24) the staff report identified strengths, weaknesses and trade-offs, and neighborhoods divided for each of the four plans.

### Two New Maps introduced July 26th

Councilmember Cohn introduced a new map entitled "Neighborhoods Together" (hereinafter CC\_Plan\_Cohn). According to Councilmember Cohn, this map strives to maintain the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Councilmember Sheedy introduced a new map (hereinafter CC\_Plan\_Sheedy). According to Councilmember Sheedy, this map strives to maintain the integrity of the North Sacramento and South Natomas communities.

### Criteria for Evaluating Maps

The following section of this report discusses the map evaluation criteria used by the SRCAC, followed by discussion of the additional criteria suggested by the City Council.

### Map Evaluation Criteria Used by the Redistricting Committee

The Committee used criteria to evaluate the 37 submissions made by the public and the final four recommended plans. These criteria included:

- Overall Range Deviation
- Continuity and Integrity
- Compactness of Territory
- Existing Neighborhoods (# split)
- Communities of Interest:
  - Valley View acres w/ North Natomas:
  - Latino North: generally east of I-5, south of I-80, west of Rio Linda Blvd., and north of the American River

- Latino South: generally south of Broadway, north of 47th Ave, east of Hwy-99, and west of Power Inn.
- Central City/LGBT Core:
- Meadowview: Meadowview Neighborhood boundary (excluding Delta Shores).
- Del Paso Heights: Generally the Del Paso Heights Redevelopment area boundaries; more precisely West Del Paso Heights and Del Paso Heights (i.e., excludes East Del Paso Heights neighborhood).
- Oak Park: Neighborhood boundaries of South Oak Park, Central Oak Park, plus North Oak Park.
- Extended LGBT:
- East Sacramento: Defined by public testimony
- River Oaks: south and east of I-80, north of Garden Highway, west of I-5

## Neighborhoods Split

### Plan A

Neighborhood
Cannon Industrial Park
Erikson Industrial Park
Greenhaven
Hagginwood
Parkway
Robla
South Natomas
Valley Hi / North Laguna

### Plan B

Neighborhood
College/Glen
Noralto
Old North Sacramento
Parkway
South Oak Park
Valley Hi / North Laguna
Willowcreek

### Plan C

Neighborhood
East Sacramento
Fairgrounds
Greenhaven
Hagginwood

Newton Booth
Parkway
Tahoe Park
Valley Hi / North Laguna
Willowcreek

Plan D

<b>Neighborhood</b>
Greenhaven
Hagginwood
Med Center
Old North Sacramento
Parkway
Valley Hi / North Laguna
Willowcreek

Cohn Plan

<b>Neighborhood</b>
Campus Commons
CSUS *
South Land Park
Parkway
Valley Hi / North Laguna

Note: The businesses between Elvas Avenue and the UPRR tracks are grouped as “CSUS” in the City’s neighborhoods map, although this area is not part of the CSUS campus.

Sheedy Plan

<b>Neighborhood</b>
East Sacramento
CSUS
South Land Park
Fruitridge Manor
Greenhaven
Parkway
Valley Hi / North Laguna

### Additional Analytical Criteria Suggested by the Council

Following public testimony at the City Council, the Council requested that staff evaluate the maps using the following additional criteria:

**Del Paso Heights:** The SRCAC defined Del Paso Heights to generally conform to the Del Paso Heights Redevelopment Area. At the July 26<sup>th</sup> Council hearing, Councilmember Sheedy requested that Del Paso Heights Community of Interest should include East Del Paso Heights.

Map	Del Paso Heights (w/o EDPH) COI intact?	Del Paso Heights (incl EDPH) COI intact?
A	Y	N
B	Y	N
C	Y	N
D	Y	N
Cohn	Y	Y
Sheedy	Y	Y

**Tahoe Park as a Community of Interest:** At the July 12<sup>th</sup> meeting, Councilmember McCarty requested that the 3 Tahoe Park neighborhoods be kept intact as a community of interest.

Map	Tahoe Park COI intact?
A	Y
B	Y
C	N
D	Y
Cohn	Y
Sheedy	Y

**NEMDC as natural geographic boundary:** The Natomas East Main Drainage Canal (NEMDC) is a geographic feature that separates the South Natomas community from the North Sacramento community. Councilmember Sheedy noted that connections across this barrier are limited to San Juan / Silver Eagle, West El Camino / El Camino, and Arden-Garden Connector. Using the NEMDC to define the Council boundaries is consistent with some of redistricting criteria in the City Charter (geography/topography/neighborhood / community).

The following matrix summarizes how the existing district boundaries and six maps score for the redistricting plan criteria.

Redistricting Plan Criteria

Plan	Overall Range Deviation %	Continuity & Integrity <sup>1</sup>	Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test) <sup>2</sup>	Existing Neighborhoods (#split)	Does plan keep these Communities of interest intact?										
					Latino North <sup>3</sup>	Latino South <sup>3</sup>	Meadowview <sup>5</sup>	Del Paso Heights <sup>3</sup>	Del Paso Heights (incl. East)	Oak Park <sup>3</sup>	Central City <sup>4</sup>	Extended LGBT <sup>6</sup>	East Sac <sup>5</sup>	River Oaks <sup>3</sup>	Tahoe Park
Existing Plan	104.7	Y	0.18 - 0.30	10	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N
Plan A	8.22	Y	0.16 - 0.61	8	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Plan B	6.7	Y	0.15 - 0.36	7	Y	Y	Y	Y*	N	N	Y	Y	Y*	Y*	Y
Plan C	4.74	Y	0.19 - 0.35	9	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y*	N
Plan D	5.99	Y	0.2 - 0.54	7	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y*	Y
Cohn	10.3	Y	0.18 - 0.33	5	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sheedy	7.5	Y	0.14 - 0.34	7	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y

<sup>1</sup> Each map has one area of non-contiguity: Valley View Acres

<sup>2</sup> Ranges reflect compactness scores of least compact district (0.00) to most compact district (1.00).

<sup>3</sup> Plan contains a district that encompasses the majority of area

<sup>4</sup> Includes LGBT, Downtown Partnership, & River District Testimony

<sup>5</sup> Y\*-majority of area within a district

<sup>6</sup> Extended LGBT is area identified in the Equality of California Map


## Boundary Adjustments to be Tested

### Modifications to District 8

Councilmember Pannell requested staff to analyze whether District 8 boundaries could be modified to include the area south of Calvine Road from Center Parkway to Franklin Blvd. This would require a modification to each of the 6 draft maps currently being considered by the City Council.

Map	D8 Population Base Map	D8 Population w/ modification	D7 Population Base Map	D7 Population w/ modification
A	57,498	63,795	56,188	49,891
B	60,029	63,795	60,037	56,271
C	58,650	62,224	58,536	54,962
D	57,498	63,795	58,184	51,887
Cohn	61,133	63,795	59,933	56,271
Sheedy	57,498	63,795	56,545	50,248

The proposed modification would cause D8 population to exceed the target average by 9.4%. Therefore, staff does not recommend that the District 8 boundaries be adjusted as proposed by Councilmember Pannell, unless other modifications are made to reduce the deviation.




One distinction between the CC\_Plan\_Cohn and CC\_Plan\_Sheedy is the boundary line for D8 in which the Sheedy map uses Center Parkway (resulting in a D8 population of 57,498 – a deviation of 1.4%) while the Cohn map extends westward to Carlin Avenue (resulting in a D8 population of 61,133 – a deviation of 4.8%).

## Fix East Sac Boundary?

A key distinction between CC\_Plan\_Cohn and CC\_Plan\_Sheedy is the configuration of District 3 (i.e., the latter map splits East Sacramento at J Street). Councilmember Ashby requested staff to analyze whether CC\_Plan\_Sheedy could be modified to keep the East Sacramento neighborhood intact. This map currently draws the D3 boundary at J Street, while the East Sacramento neighborhood boundary extends south to US50. The following are populations per the 2010 U.S. Census:


- Subtotal for D3 as currently drawn by CC\_Plan\_Sheedy = 59,364 people
- Population for the area south of J Street and north of Folsom Blvd. = 4,854; if the boundaries of D3 are modified to extend to Folsom Blvd., D3 would exceed the target average population by 10.1%.
- Population for the area south of J Street and north of US 50 = 7,542; the cumulative total of D3 with East Sacramento intact is 66,940 which exceeds the target average population by 14.8%.



Therefore, staff recommends that if the East Sacramento boundary is to be adjusted for the CC\_Plan\_Sheedy, then offsetting boundary adjustments are also necessary.


## Sierra Oaks

Councilmember Ashby requested staff to analyze whether in CC\_Plan\_Cohn, the Sierra Oaks neighborhood could be drawn into D6 rather than D3. In CC\_Plan\_Cohn, the D3 population is 60,919 (4.4% above target) while D6 population is 57,602 (1.2% below target). The population of Sierra Oaks is 1,572. Shifting Sierra Oaks to D6 would reduce D3 population to 59,347 (1.8% above target), while increasing D6 population to 59,174 (1.5% above target). This modification could be accommodated without serious impact to the population targets.



## River District Notch

Councilmember Ashby requested staff to analyze whether for CC\_Plan\_Cohn, the portion of the River District east of N 12<sup>th</sup> Street and north of the railroad tracks could be drawn into D3 rather than D4. The population of this area is 990 people. D3 population would increase to 61,901 (6.16% above target), while D4 population would decrease to 55,099 (5.51% below target). Staff recommends that if the boundary is adjusted to move the Dos Rios (Twin Rivers) neighborhood into D3, then other offsetting boundary adjustments also be made.




## River Oaks Community Association (ROCA) inclusion in D1?

Councilmember Ashby requested staff to analyze potential impacts to the maps if the entire River Oaks population is included in District 1. The geography of River Oaks (as defined by the River Oaks Community Association) includes all of the neighborhoods in South Natomas that are west of I-5. The Willowcreek neighborhood is west of the Main Drainage Canal while the neighborhoods east of the Main Drainage Canal include Metro Center, Gateway Center, and other unnamed neighborhoods. The following are populations per the 2010 U.S. Census:


- Subtotal for North Natomas = 55,141 [5.4% under 58,311 target]
- Willowcreek population is 4,050 [If Willowcreek is included with North Natomas, the D1 population = 59,191 which exceeds target by 1.5%]
- The entire River Oaks population is 7,633 [If River Oaks is included with North Natomas, the D1 population = 62,774 which exceeds target by 7.7%]

ROCA has requested that it remain intact as a community of interest in a single district – preferably in District 1. Staff recommends that D1 not include ROCA in that the 7.7% population variation exceeds the benchmark of less than 5% deviation for any one district.

### River Oaks Community of Interest – west South Natomas



Overall Range  
in Population  
Deviation: 8.22%




# City of Sacramento Redistricting 2011

## Population by Neighborhood

## Plan A

### Population by Neighborhood Legend


-  Neighborhoods
  -  City Boundary
  -  Streets
  -  Freeway
- N/A = Not an established neighborhood



This map represents approximate population totals by City neighborhood. This map is to be used for general reference purposes only and by no means represents exact population totals for neighborhoods. These estimates were developed from 2010 Census block data. Where neighborhood boundaries bisect Census blocks, the center point of the block was used to establish which neighborhood to assign the total population.

The neighborhoods represented in this map are defined by the City of Sacramento Neighborhood Services Division and are provided for general reference purposes only. Some areas of the City do not have specific neighborhood definitions.


Source: US Census Blocks 2010



## Plan A Population Summary Report


District No.	Population	Deviation	Deviation (%)	Total Hispanic (%)	White (%)	Black (%)	American Indian/Alaskan Native (%)			Asian (%)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (%)		Other (%)	Two or more races (%)
							Indian/Alaskan Native (%)	Native (%)	Asian (%)		Pacific Islander (%)	Other (%)		
1	58,448	137	0.23	19.7	43.0	14.8	0.7	0.7	24.6	1.1	1.1	8.0	7.9	
2	58,868	557	0.96	40.7	39.5	15.7	1.6	1.6	14.0	1.7	1.7	20.4	7.1	
3	58,958	647	1.11	19.7	68.6	7.1	1.1	1.1	8.5	0.8	0.8	8.1	5.8	
4	56,708	-1,603	-2.75	24.4	50.1	12.8	1.2	1.2	17.7	1.1	1.1	10.2	6.9	
5	58,836	525	0.9	23.2	59.0	12.3	1.4	1.4	9.9	0.6	0.6	9.7	7.1	
6	60,984	2,673	4.58	33.8	42.0	12.8	1.5	1.5	19.2	0.9	0.9	16.6	7.0	
7	56,188	-2,123	-3.64	21.5	33.1	18.4	0.7	0.7	28.4	2.0	2.0	9.8	7.6	
8	57,498	-813	-1.39	31.3	24.1	23.7	0.9	0.9	24.9	3.2	3.2	15.7	7.5	
<b>Total Population:</b>				466,488										
<b>Ideal Population:</b>				58,311										
<b>Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:</b>				8.22										

**Overall Range  
in Population  
Deviation: 6.7%**



### Population by Neighborhood Legend


- Neighborhoods
- City Boundary
- Streets
- Freeway
- N/A = Not an established neighborhood



This map represents approximate population totals by City neighborhood. This map is to be used for general reference purposes only and by no means represents exact population totals for neighborhoods. These estimates were developed from 2010 Census block data. Where neighborhood boundaries bisect Census blocks, the center point of the block was used to establish which neighborhood to assign the total population.

The neighborhoods represented in this map are defined by the City of Sacramento Neighborhood Services Division and are provided for general reference purposes only. Some areas of the City do not have specific neighborhood definitions.


Source: US Census Blocks 2010



## Plan B Population Summary Report


District No.	Total Population	Deviation	Deviation (%)	Total Hispanic (%)	American Indian/Alaskan Native (%)										Other (%)	Two or more races (%)
					White (%)	Black (%)	Asian (%)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (%)	Other (%)							
1	56,132	-2,179	-3.74	18.6	43.8	14.4	0.7	25.0	1.1	7.1	7.9					
2	57,741	-570	-0.98	29.4	54.0	11.4	1.4	11.4	1.4	13.9	6.6					
3	57,626	-685	-1.17	17.6	70.1	7.5	1.3	8.6	0.3	6.3	6.0					
4	58,618	307	0.53	38.1	40.3	17.1	1.4	13.0	1.6	19.1	7.5					
5	57,542	-769	-1.32	25.4	52.0	11.7	1.3	16.3	1.1	10.9	6.7					
6	58,763	452	0.78	33.2	42.3	12.8	1.5	19.4	0.9	16.2	7.0					
7	60,037	1,726	2.96	21.0	34.7	18.1	0.8	27.6	1.9	9.3	7.7					
8	60,029	1,718	2.95	31.0	24.2	23.7	0.9	24.9	3.1	15.6	7.5					
<b>Total Population:</b>				<b>466,488</b>												
<b>Ideal Population:</b>				<b>58,311</b>												
<b>Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:</b>				<b>6.7</b>												

Overall Range  
in Population  
Deviation: 4.74%



### Population by Neighborhood Legend


-  Neighborhoods
-  City Boundary
-  Streets
-  Freeway
- N/A = Not an established neighborhood



This map represents approximate population totals by City neighborhood. This map is to be used for general reference purposes only and by no means represents exact population totals for neighborhoods. These estimates were developed from 2010 Census block data. Where neighborhood boundaries bisect Census blocks, the center point of the block was used to establish which neighborhood to assign the total population.

The neighborhoods represented in this map are defined by the City of Sacramento Neighborhood Services Division and are provided for general reference purposes only. Some areas of the City do not have specific neighborhood definitions.


Source: US Census Blocks 2010



## Plan C Population Summary Report


District No.	Total Population	Deviation	Deviation (%)	Total Hispanic (%)	White (%)	Black (%)	American Indian/Alaskan Native (%)					Asian (%)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (%)		Other (%)	Two or more races (%)
							Indian/Alaskan Native (%)	Asian (%)	Pacific Islander (%)	Other (%)	Two or more races (%)					
1	56,132	-2,179	-3.74	18.6	43.8	14.4	0.7	25.0	1.1	7.1	7.9					
2	58,667	356	0.61	36.9	39.3	18.1	1.4	13.4	1.6	18.5	7.7					
3	58,271	-40	-0.07	30.7	55.8	10.6	1.4	10.1	1.3	14.2	6.6					
4	58,869	558	0.96	17.5	61.3	10.1	1.3	14.2	0.5	6.2	6.5					
5	58,895	584	1	29.8	52.5	13.5	1.5	11.0	1.0	13.6	7.0					
6	58,468	157	0.27	28.9	49.2	8.8	1.2	19.9	0.8	13.8	6.3					
7	58,536	225	0.39	22.5	34.3	17.7	0.7	27.6	1.9	10.3	7.5					
8	58,650	339	0.58	29.7	24.0	24.0	0.9	25.6	3.1	14.8	7.5					
<b>Total Population:</b>				<b>466,488</b>												
<b>Ideal Population:</b>				<b>58,311</b>												
<b>Overall Range In Deviation Percentage:</b>				<b>4.74</b>												

Overall Range  
in Population  
Deviation: 7.99%



### Population by Neighborhood Legend


-  Neighborhoods
  -  City Boundary
  -  Streets
  -  Freeway
- N/A = Not an established neighborhood



This map represents approximate population totals by City neighborhood. This map is to be used for general reference purposes only and by no means represents exact population totals for neighborhoods. These estimates were developed from 2010 Census block data. Where neighborhood boundaries bisect Census blocks, the center point of the block was used to establish which neighborhood to assign the total population.

The neighborhoods represented in this map are defined by the City of Sacramento Neighborhood Services Division and are provided for general reference purposes only. Some areas of the City do not have specific neighborhood definitions.


Source: US Census Blocks 2010



## Plan D Population Summary Report

District No.	Total Population	Deviation	Deviation (%)	Total Hispanic (%)	White (%)	Black (%)	American Indian/Alaskan Native (%)				Asian (%)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (%)		Other (%)	Two or more races (%)
							Indian/Alaskan Native (%)	Native (%)	Asian (%)	Pacific Islander (%)		Other (%)	Two or more races (%)		
1	56,132	-2,179	-3.74	18.6	43.8	14.4	0.7	25.0	1.1	7.1	7.9				
2	60,789	2,478	4.25	40.2	38.7	17.4	1.5	13.1	1.6	20.3	7.4				
3	60,700	2,389	4.1	25.6	61.0	9.4	1.2	9.4	1.2	11.4	6.4				
4	57,129	-1,182	-2.03	17.8	64.0	9.5	1.3	12.2	0.4	6.3	6.4				
5	56,826	-1,485	-2.55	30.8	44.0	16.5	1.5	15.2	1.1	14.3	7.5				
6	59,230	919	1.58	28.4	50.7	8.3	1.2	19.4	0.8	13.4	6.3				
7	58,184	-127	-0.22	21.3	33.4	18.4	0.7	28.2	2.0	9.7	7.6				
8	57,498	-813	-1.39	31.3	24.1	23.7	0.9	24.9	3.2	15.7	7.5				
<b>Total Population:</b>				466,488											
<b>Ideal Population:</b>				58,311											
<b>Overall Range In Deviation Percentage:</b>				7.99											

Overall Range  
in Population  
Deviation: 10.3%




### Population by Neighborhood Legend

- Neighborhoods
- City Boundary
- Streets
- Freeway
- N/A = Not an established neighborhood

This map represents approximate population totals by City neighborhood. This map is to be used for general reference purposes only and by no means represents exact population totals for neighborhoods. These estimates were developed from 2010 Census block data. Where neighborhood boundaries bisect Census blocks, the center point of the block was used to establish which neighborhood to assign the total population.

The neighborhoods represented in this map are defined by the City of Sacramento Neighborhood Services Division and are provided for general reference purposes only. Some areas of the City do not have specific neighborhood definitions.


Source: US Census Blocks 2010



## Cohn Plan Population Summary Report

District No.	Total Population	Deviation	Deviation (%)	Total Hispanic (%)	White (%)	Black (%)	American Indian/Alaskan Native (%)					Asian (%)	Pacific Islander (%)	Other (%)	Two or more races (%)
							Indian/Alaskan Native (%)	Asian (%)	Pacific Islander (%)	Other (%)	Two or more races (%)				
1	55,141	-3,170	-5.44	18.6	43.6	14.4	0.7	25.3	1.1	7.2	7.8				
2	60,885	2574	4.41	34.4	41.9	15.7	1.6	14.6	1.9	17.3	7.0				
3	60,919	2608	4.47	30.5	58.8	11.1	1.1	7.6	0.9	13.8	6.7				
4	56,081	-2230	-3.82	17.7	64.6	9.2	1.3	11.9	0.4	6.3	6.3				
5	55,794	-2517	-4.32	32.3	43.6	16.1	1.6	15.1	1.1	15.2	7.4				
6	57,602	-709	-1.22	28.4	49.9	8.5	1.2	19.9	0.8	13.4	6.3				
7	58,933	622	1.07	20.8	35.0	18.0	0.8	27.7	1.9	9.1	7.6				
8	61,133	2,822	4.84	31.0	24.2	23.8	0.9	24.8	3.1	15.7	7.6				
<b>Total Population:</b>				466,488											
<b>Ideal Population:</b>				58,311											
<b>Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:</b>						10.3									


Overall Range  
in Population  
Deviation: 7.5%



### Population by Neighborhood

#### Legend


-  Neighborhoods
-  City Boundary
-  Streets
-  Freeway
- N/A = Not an established neighborhood



This map represents approximate population totals by City neighborhood. This map is to be used for general reference purposes only and by no means represents exact population totals for neighborhoods. These estimates were developed from 2010 Census block data. Where neighborhood boundaries bisect Census blocks, the center point of the block was used to establish which neighborhood to assign the total population.

The neighborhoods represented in this map are defined by the City of Sacramento Neighborhood Services Division and are provided for general reference purposes only. Some areas of the City do not have specific neighborhood definitions.

Source: US Census Blocks 2010



## Sheedy Plan Population Summary Report

District No.	Total Population	Deviation	Deviation (%)	Total Hispanic (%)	American Indian/Alaskan Native (%)							Other (%)	Two or more races (%)
					White (%)	Black (%)	Asian (%)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (%)	Other (%)				
1	60,142	1,831	3.14	18.5	45.0	14.0	0.7	24.4	1.1	7.1	7.8		
2	60,885	2574	4.41	34.4	41.9	15.7	1.6	14.6	1.9	17.3	7.0		
3	59,364	1053	1.81	31.4	56.0	12.3	1.2	8.3	0.9	14.5	6.8		
4	56,511	-1800	-3.09	17.6	62.8	9.6	1.3	13.3	0.4	6.2	6.5		
5	56,860	-1451	-2.49	33.9	43.1	15.7	1.6	15.0	1.1	16.3	7.3		
6	58,683	372	0.64	26.0	53.9	7.9	1.1	18.3	0.8	11.7	6.3		
7	56,545	-1,766	-3.03	21.5	33.3	18.5	0.7	28.2	2.0	9.8	7.6		
8	57,498	-813	-1.39	31.3	24.1	23.7	0.9	24.9	3.2	15.7	7.5		
<b>Total Population:</b>				<b>466,488</b>									
<b>Ideal Population:</b>				<b>58,311</b>									
<b>Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:</b>				<b>7.5</b>									