



City of Sacramento City Council

915 I Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814
www.CityofSacramento.org

Meeting Date: 10/18/2011

Report Type: Consent

Title: (Pass for Publication) Ordinance Amendment: Cardroom Ordinance Changes

Report ID: 2011-00883

Location: Citywide

Recommendation: 1) Review an ordinance amending Chapter 5.32 of the Sacramento City Code, relating to cardroom operations and licenses, and 2) pass for publication the ordinance title as required by Sacramento City Charter section 32(c) to be adopted October 25, 2011.

Contact: Brad Wasson, Revenue Manager, Finance Department (916) 808-5844; Matthew Ruyak, Supervising Deputy City Attorney (916) 808-5346

Presenter: None

Department: Finance

Division: Revenue Administration

Dept ID: 06001211

Attachments:

- 1-Description/Analysis
- 2-Background
- 3-Ordinance Redlined - Attachment 1
- 4-Ordinance Final - Attachment 2
- 5-Attachment 3 Exhibit A
- 6-Attachment 4 Exhibit_B.docx

City Attorney Review

Approved as to Form
Matthew Ruyak
10/12/2011 2:05:43 PM

Approvals/Acknowledgements

Department Director or Designee: Brad Wasson - 10/12/2011 10:52:40 AM



Description/Analysis

Issue: On September 15, 2010, a request was made by Council Member Sheedy to review the cardroom regulations that prohibit a person's association with more than one cardroom. Since this request, this subject has come before the Law and Legislation Committee and the Mayor and City Council, a history of which is provided in the background section of this report.

Under current City Code, City of Sacramento cardroom owners can only have a financial interest in one cardroom. The State of California Gaming Commission, and most surrounding jurisdictions, allow for multiple ownership. The City's restriction limits the cardroom operators' ability to be competitive with surrounding jurisdictions. Should multiple ownerships be allowed, then the co-location of cardrooms becomes an issue. Along with co-location, City Code needs to be clarified regarding the City's ability to place conditions on a cardroom license and the physical location of the cardrooms.

Additional clarifying language to address derogatory references directed at cardroom operators, as well as extraneous language regarding the limitation on the number of tables should also be addressed.

Policy Considerations: City Code restricts the number of cardrooms in the City of Sacramento to four. The State of California has a moratorium on new cardroom licenses until 2015. It is likely that the State will extend this moratorium until 2020. The cardroom industry is heavily regulated by the Gaming Commission and the Gaming Commission performs extensive background checks on cardroom owners. The State allows individuals to have an interest in more than one cardroom license.

The City of Sacramento also places numerous regulations on cardrooms, owners, and employees to ensure the public's safety and to prevent nuisances in neighborhoods, including necessary limits and controls on cardroom operations. However, the Code needs to be updated to reflect current conditions in the City of Sacramento, including the ability for the Mayor and Council to "call up" the City Manager's decision on a cardroom license. Additionally, the Mayor and Council may want to direct staff to update the Zoning Code in Chapter 17 to specify where cardrooms can locate or require land use permits.

The cardroom operators are concerned about competition within the region and between themselves. Allowing co-location of cardrooms in the City of Sacramento can provide the City an advantage in the region, but it may create a disadvantage to stand alone cardrooms.

Environmental Considerations: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA): Under the CEQA guidelines, continuing administrative activities do not constitute a "project" as defined in Section 15378 of the CEQA Guidelines and are therefore exempt from review.

Sustainability: None

Commission/Committee Action: On August 16, 2011 the Law & Legislation Committee approved the proposed ordinance and directed staff to forward to the State Gaming Commission for review and then bring the item before the Mayor and City Council.

Rationale for Recommendation: Due to the State's extensive background checks of cardroom owners and the State's willingness to allow ownership interest in more than one cardroom, the City of Sacramento could follow suit and adjust its Code accordingly to allow for regional competition.

However, to eliminate the possibility of multiple cardrooms in one location, staff is recommending limiting ownership interest to a maximum of two cardrooms, and co-location to a limit of two. This will allow for up to two cardrooms to combine to achieve efficiencies, and become competitive within the region.

In addition, Council members have expressed concerns over the potential location of cardrooms and have requested a “call up” feature similar to one used in the City’s Zoning Code (Chapter 17). Additionally, staff is requesting direction on whether the Mayor and Council would like staff to bring back draft Zoning Code changes to call out cardrooms and require a land use permit.

Finally, the cardroom ordinance should be updated to remove derogatory language and simplify the language setting the maximum number of tables per cardroom.

Financial Considerations: At this time there is not a fiscal impact to the City.

Emerging Small Business Development (ESBD): No goods or services are being purchased under this report.



On September 15, 2010, a request was made by Council Member Sheedy to review the cardroom regulations that restrict a person's ownership or other financial interest to one cardroom. Staff has surveyed other local jurisdictions and checked with the State of California Gaming Commission on ownership restrictions. Staff confirmed that the state does not restrict the ownership of cardrooms to one establishment. Rancho Cordova has two cardrooms and does not allow multiple ownership. Citrus Heights allows multiple ownership, but restricts it to minority share owners. The County of Sacramento does not restrict ownership.

A stakeholder meeting was conducted on October 19, 2010, with the four cardroom owners regarding this issue. All cardroom owners agreed that the ownership should not be restricted to one cardroom.

During the stakeholder meeting, the cardroom owners brought up other issues regarding City Code, Chapter 5.32. The owners were asked to put their concerns and suggestions in writing. Below is a summary of the items brought forth by the owners:

- Language in the code referring to the industry in a negative manner
- Dollar amount limits on individual betting not consistent with surrounding jurisdictions
- Require a minimum physical distance between cardrooms
- Remove the restriction of fifteen tables per cardroom and let the State's limit dictate the maximum
- Remove the restriction that requires the gaming activities to be separated from other activities such as restaurant or bar

On February 1, 2011, the cardroom issues were brought before the Law and Legislation Committee for direction. The Committee directed staff to return with draft code changes for consideration.

On April 13, 2011, staff met with State Gaming Commission and Department of Justice representatives. The State indicated that two cardrooms could co-locate, but that their gaming operations must be clearly separated. They further indicated that they would audit co-locations thoroughly. Presently, no two cardrooms in the State share the same address or are located at side-by-side addresses.

On April 14, 2011, staff met with the cardroom operators again to discuss proposed changes for an upcoming Law and Legislation meeting. The four operators continue to agree that ownership should not be limited to one cardroom. However, they are split on the issue of co-location. The cardroom owners that are looking at combining ownership would like to be able to co-locate. The other two claim that their situation does not allow them to combine, creating an unfair advantage.

Staff has looked into the issue of co-location and anticipates some potential outcomes:

- Efficiencies created with sharing non-gambling activities (bar, restaurant, security and advertising)
- Increased competitiveness within the region for the City of Sacramento

- Decreased competitiveness within the City limits
- Increase in crime due to concentration of cash in a co-location
- Mitigation of crime with increased security by implementing conditions

On August 4, 2011, the Law and Legislation Committee heard this Item. The Committee directed staff to:

- Remove negative language regarding cardroom owners from the ordinance.
- Remove extraneous language regarding the number of card tables allowed.
- Modify the code to allow multiple ownership of up to two cardrooms.
- Add language to allow two cardrooms to operate next to each other, but include a proximity requirement to prohibit more than two operating in close proximity.
- Add the ability to approve the physical location, obtain feedback from the public, and place conditions on the license.
- Separate the policy question regarding the possibility of reactivating a fifth cardroom.
- Bring the specific ordinance changes back to the Committee for approval, and bring the fifth-cardroom policy issues before the Mayor and Council.

On August 16, 2011, the Law and Legislative Committee heard this item again. The committee discussed concerns about potential locations of cardrooms. The concept of changing the City's Zoning Code (Chapter 17) to include a category specific for cardrooms and clarifying where they can go or requiring a special use permit was discussed as one possibility. The Committee asked staff to include a "call up feature" to review any condition on the license and whether to issue the license or not. This call up feature could be used to address potential location concerns.

On August 22, 2011, the City submitted the proposed changes to the state for review and comment, as required by the Gambling Control Act. (See attached Exhibit A.) On September 6, 2011, the State of California, Department of Justice, Bureau of Gambling Control approved the draft changes to City Code Chapter 5.32 in the attached draft ordinance. (See attached Exhibit B.)

On September 20, 2011, the City Council discussed an item to increase the number of cardrooms from four to five. This item was not approved. However, at the meeting members again expressed their concern with the lack of regulation on cardroom locations and directed staff to bring this issue back to the Mayor and Council when ordinance changes come for discussion.



ORDINANCE NO.

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

Date Adopted

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5.32 OF THE SACRAMENTO CITY CODE RELATING TO CARDROOMS

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

SECTION 1. Section 5.32.030 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

The regulatory provisions of this title are necessary to ensure that cardrooms are operated reasonably for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare and to conform to state-mandated requirements set by the Gambling Control Act. ~~found in the Business and Professions Code commencing with Section 19800, et seq. Although many operators are highly reputable, some are not. Gaming can bring enjoyment and entertainment for patrons, but also undesirable elements such as compulsive gambling, cheating, dishonesty, criminal violations and peace disturbances.~~

~~If all licensees were reputable and vigilant, very little supervision would be necessary. However, the city has found that some licensees require exhaustive monitoring and enforcement. Thorough screening of applicants prior to licensure is desirable.~~ The City endeavors to ensure that gambling is conducted honestly, competitively, and free of criminal and corruptive elements. Pursuant to Section 5.32.060 of this chapter, the city manager, with the assistance of the chief of police, will conduct a thorough investigation into the background of applicants in order to assure that potential licensees will not foster criminal activity, will not be detrimental to the health, safety, and welfare of the city, are of sound moral character, and that licensure is in the public interest.

Section 5.32.070 of this chapter limits the number of cardroom licenses issued to one for each one hundred thousand (100,000) residents of the city, with a maximum of four. The purpose of this limitation is to limit the gambling within this community to a level which will be a source of entertainment and recreation for local citizens while preventing a casino-like atmosphere in this community. A casino-like atmosphere, where gambling becomes a major industry or attraction and the community a center for gamblers from other jurisdictions, is detrimental to the development of this community. Increased and unrestrained gambling creates greater law enforcement problems, thereby compromising the ability of law enforcement to control the criminal and peace-disturbing effects thereof and diverting police resources from other law enforcement activity. Limiting the number of licenses and cardrooms to one for each one hundred thousand (100,000) residents, with a maximum of four, assists in the accomplishment of these goals as opposed to permitting a greater number of licensed cardrooms.

Accordingly, the chief of police has determined, and the city council finds, that a limitation of one cardroom per one hundred thousand (100,000) residents of the city, with a maximum of four, will minimize many of the abovementioned problems without undue burden on stable and reputable cardrooms. The purpose of this title is to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the community, to assure that city law enforcement resources are efficiently allocated, and to provide cardrooms where citizens of this city can safely enjoy the entertainment provided by reputable cardrooms.

SECTION 2. Section 5.32.060 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

A. An application for a cardroom license shall be investigated by the city manager who shall obtain recommendations and reports from the chief of police concerning the character of the applicant or any individual listed in Section 5.32.040(A), and any law enforcement problems which the issuance of the license might tend to create, from the planning director concerning the compatibility of the proposed use of the premises with existing zoning regulations and with neighborhood land uses, and from such other sources, including residents and businesses in the area, as the city manager may deem appropriate.

B. The city manager may deny an application for a cardroom license if, in the city manager's opinion, the cardroom is to be located in an area of the city where, or operated under circumstances in which it might tend to create a public nuisance, where the granting of the license would be contrary to the public interest, or issuance of a license to the applicant is, in the opinion of the city manager, contrary to the interests and health, safety and welfare of the city.

C. In granting a cardroom license, the city manager may impose conditions on the license. Violations of license conditions shall be grounds for suspension or revocation of the license pursuant to Section 5.32.100(A).

D. The city manager shall make a report to the city council of any decision to grant a cardroom license as soon as reasonably practicable after the decision is made. Any decision by the city manager to grant a cardroom license may be called up for city council review by the mayor or councilmember in whose district the proposed license would be located. To initiate a call-up of a decision, the mayor or councilmember in whose district the license would be located shall file a written request with the city manager, within ten (10) days of the date of the city manager's decision. If the tenth day falls on a non-business day, the last day to call-up is the next business day. Upon the filing of a request by the mayor or the city councilmember in whose district the license would be located, the council shall notice and set the matter for the hearing before it. Notice of the hearing shall be given in the manner provided in subsection (C)(2)(a) of Section 17.200.010. The city council may act upon the license in the same manner as the city manager is authorized to do under this chapter. The councilmember or mayor requesting call-up review of a particular decision may withdraw that request, provided

that the withdrawal shall be noted on the next regularly scheduled meeting of the city council and shall be considered to have occurred on that date. The mayor or district councilmember not making the request shall have ten (10) days from the date of the meeting at which the application is considered withdrawn to file a request for call-up review.

SECTION 3. Section 5.32.070 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

5.32.070 Limitation on the number of cardroom licenses.

No cardroom license shall be granted when the number of cardroom licenses in the city exceeds the ratio of one cardroom for every one hundred thousand (100,000) population of the city according to the latest population figures from the California Department of Finance; provided, however, that the maximum number of cardroom licenses which may be issued shall not exceed four.

The number of cardroom licenses shall be reduced whenever any cardroom license is revoked, surrendered, expires without renewal or the licensee is no longer licensed by the state of California. No current licensee whose license is hereafter revoked or surrendered, or expires without renewal shall be eligible for a new license until the number of cardroom licenses in the city is fewer than four and he or she is selected according to the procedure described in this title for selection of new licensees.

SECTION 4. Section 5.32.180 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

Except as hereinafter provided, no person shall operate a cardroom in violation of any of the following regulations:

A. No person shall be permitted to hold or be associated with more than ~~one~~two cardroom licenses issued by the city. For purposes of this section, "associated with" means and includes, but is not limited to, having any financial interest whatsoever in any other cardroom premises (for example, lessor or owner); being a partner; affiliated with the cardroom business in any manner; and/or being an officer, director, stockholder, or otherwise associated with a corporation holding a cardroom license.

B. Not more than fifteen (15) ~~nine~~ card tables shall be permitted in any cardroom. ~~However, should judicial or legislative action alter the restrictions or limitations in the Gambling Control Act, Business and Professions Code section 19800 et seq., to allow for an increase in the current number of tables without voter approval, the maximum number of tables shall be increased up to the amount such judicial or legislative action allows, not to exceed a maximum of fifteen (15) tables.~~

C. Not more than one cardroom shall be located at any one address. For purposes of this subsection, different commercial suite numbers shall be considered separate addresses if the suite numbering has been previously approved in compliance with the city council's adopted addressing standards. If any two cardrooms are located

within 500 feet of each other, no other cardroom may be located within 1000 feet of either of the first two cardrooms.

D. Not more than twelve (12) players may participate at any one card table. All participating players and dealers must be seated at the table. The only exception to this is when the chief of police approves a card game whose stated rules include provisions allowing "back-line betting." This approved game may allow an additional twelve (12) players who may be unseated. These additional players shall not stand more than one behind each seated player. A back line bettor may place a wager without all seats being occupied.

E. Cardrooms shall be located on the ground floor of the premises.

F. No minor shall be permitted at any card table or to participate in any game played thereat, nor shall any card table be exposed to viewing from other areas of the premises which are open to and frequented by minors.

G. All cardrooms shall be open to police inspection during all hours of operation.

H. Each cardroom licensee or licensees shall post in a conspicuous place on the premises a copy of the house rules, regulations and authorized card game rules, and give notice that all persons will be required to comply with them.

I. Each cardroom shall be separated from other activities on the premises.

J. Cardrooms licensed to conduct gaming in the city shall be permitted to conduct all card games lawful in the state of California subject to the following provisions:

1. The rules of all authorized card games shall be on file with the chief of police;

2. If the game is patented the licensee shall obtain written permission from the patent owner prior to offering the game to patrons and prior to acquiring the approval of the chief of police to conduct the game;

3. Written rules for each card game offered by a cardroom shall be provided to any patron upon request;

4. All other gaming (including but not limited to gaming played with dice or any device for money) not otherwise prohibited by California law is prohibited. Nothing contained in this chapter shall be construed to permit the licensing of any gambling declared illegal in the state of California. The only exception to this is when the chief of police approves a card game whose stated rules include provisions allowing for the use of dice for the express purpose of positioning the first hand dealt.

K. Any deviation or change in the rules of any card game or any new card game from that on file with the chief of police shall adhere to all provisions in this title and require the following:

1. A written set of game rules;
2. A letter from the California Division of Gambling Control advising that the game/rules have been reviewed and are legal in the state of California;
3. Upon receipt of these documents, the chief of police shall review any deviation or change and within ninety (90) days approve the request so long as the rules are in compliance with state law and this chapter.

L. No person shall operate more card tables than the maximum amount specified on his or her cardroom license except during promotional or tournament play as set forth in Section 5.32.050 of this chapter and upon full compliance with the notification provision contained therein. In no event shall be number of tables operated at one time exceed that as provided in subsection B of this section, including during promotional or tournament play.

M. No person shall operate, at any time, a cardroom without having paid all fees, including the quarterly table operation fee and business operations taxes currently due.

N. Cardrooms may operate twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven days a week.

O. No player shall be permitted to wager or raise a wager more than forty-nine dollars (\$49.00). Should judicial or legislative action alter the restrictions or limitations in the Gambling Control Act, Business and Professions Code section 19800 et seq., to allow for an increase in this wager limit without voter approval, the wager limit shall be increased up to the amount such judicial or legislative action allows, not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1000.00) per wager, provided, however, that no cardroom may increase its wager limit until it has received from the chief of police prior approval of the cardroom's security plan. No person other than seated players actively participating in the game shall be permitted to place a wager on any card game, and a player shall only place a wager on his or her own card hand. Back-line betting or side-betting is prohibited. The only exception to this is when the chief of police approves a card game whose stated rules include provisions allowing "back-line betting" as noted in subsection D of this section. No player shall be permitted to bet with cash money, markers, or anything other than poker chips. Notice of all the provisions and restrictions provided in this section shall be posted in a conspicuous place in the cardroom. A player in a card game that allows multiple betting spots may, consistent with the rules of the card game, take those multiple bets and before placing them individually may consolidate them into one stack and move the stack forward of the betting spots.

P. No cardroom shall extend credit to any patron in order for the patron to participate in a card game.

Q. No person shall employ a cardroom employee, or work as a cardroom employee, as defined in Section 5.32.080 of this chapter, who does not have a valid work permit as required by Section 5.32.080 of this chapter issued by the chief of police.

Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on _____ by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

MAYOR

Attest:

City Clerk

Passed for Publication:

Published:

Effective:



ORDINANCE NO.

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

Date Adopted

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5.32 OF THE SACRAMENTO CITY CODE RELATING TO CARDROOMS

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

SECTION 1. Section 5.32.030 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

The regulatory provisions of this title are necessary to ensure that cardrooms are operated reasonably for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare and to conform to state-mandated requirements set by the Gambling Control Act.

The City endeavors to ensure that gambling is conducted honestly, competitively, and free of criminal and corruptive elements. Pursuant to Section 5.32.060 of this chapter, the city manager, with the assistance of the chief of police, will conduct a thorough investigation into the background of applicants in order to assure that potential licensees will not foster criminal activity, will not be detrimental to the health, safety, and welfare of the city, are of sound moral character, and that licensure is in the public interest.

Section 5.32.070 of this chapter limits the number of cardroom licenses issued to one for each one hundred thousand (100,000) residents of the city, with a maximum of four. The purpose of this limitation is to limit the gambling within this community to a level which will be a source of entertainment and recreation for local citizens while preventing a casino-like atmosphere in this community. A casino-like atmosphere, where gambling becomes a major industry or attraction and the community a center for gamblers from other jurisdictions, is detrimental to the development of this community. Increased and unrestrained gambling creates greater law enforcement problems, thereby compromising the ability of law enforcement to control the criminal and peace-disturbing effects thereof and diverting police resources from other law enforcement activity. Limiting the number of licenses and cardrooms to one for each one hundred thousand (100,000) residents, with a maximum of four, assists in the accomplishment of these goals as opposed to permitting a greater number of licensed cardrooms.

Accordingly, the chief of police has determined, and the city council finds, that a limitation of one cardroom per one hundred thousand (100,000) residents of the city, with a maximum of four, will minimize many of the abovementioned problems without undue burden on stable and reputable cardrooms. The purpose of this title is to protect

the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the community, to assure that city law enforcement resources are efficiently allocated, and to provide cardrooms where citizens of this city can safely enjoy the entertainment provided by reputable cardrooms.

SECTION 2. Section 5.32.060 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

A. An application for a cardroom license shall be investigated by the city manager who shall obtain recommendations and reports from the chief of police concerning the character of the applicant or any individual listed in Section 5.32.040(A), and any law enforcement problems which the issuance of the license might tend to create, from the planning director concerning the compatibility of the proposed use of the premises with existing zoning regulations and with neighborhood land uses, and from such other sources, including residents and businesses in the area, as the city manager may deem appropriate.

B. The city manager may deny an application for a cardroom license if, in the city manager's opinion, the cardroom is to be located in an area of the city where, or operated under circumstances in which it might tend to create a public nuisance, where the granting of the license would be contrary to the public interest, or issuance of a license to the applicant is, in the opinion of the city manager, contrary to the interests and health, safety and welfare of the city.

C. In granting a cardroom license, the city manager may impose conditions on the license. Violations of license conditions shall be grounds for suspension or revocation of the license pursuant to Section 5.32.100(A).

D. The city manager shall make a report to the city council of any decision to grant a cardroom license as soon as reasonably practicable after the decision is made. Any decision by the city manager to grant a cardroom license may be called up for city council review by the mayor or councilmember in whose district the proposed license would be located. To initiate a call-up of a decision, the mayor or councilmember in whose district the license would be located shall file a written request with the city manager, within ten (10) days of the date of the city manager's decision. If the tenth day falls on a non-business day, the last day to call-up is the next business day. Upon the filing of a request by the mayor or the city councilmember in whose district the license would be located, the council shall notice and set the matter for the hearing before it. Notice of the hearing shall be given in the manner provided in subsection (C)(2)(a) of Section 17.200.010. The city council may act upon the license in the same manner as the city manager is authorized to do under this chapter. The councilmember or mayor requesting call-up review of a particular decision may withdraw that request, provided that the withdrawal shall be noted on the next regularly scheduled meeting of the city council and shall be considered to have occurred on that date. The mayor or district councilmember not making the request shall have ten (10) days from the date of the meeting at which the application is considered withdrawn to file a request for call-up review.

SECTION 3. Section 5.32.070 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

5.32.070 Limitation on the number of cardroom licenses.

No cardroom license shall be granted when the number of cardroom licenses in the city exceeds the ratio of one cardroom for every one hundred thousand (100,000) population of the city according to the latest population figures from the California Department of Finance; provided, however, that the maximum number of cardroom licenses which may be issued shall not exceed four.

The number of cardroom licenses shall be reduced whenever any cardroom license is revoked, surrendered, expires without renewal or the licensee is no longer licensed by the state of California. No current licensee whose license is hereafter revoked or surrendered, or expires without renewal shall be eligible for a new license until the number of cardroom licenses in the city is fewer than four and he or she is selected according to the procedure described in this title for selection of new licensees.

SECTION 4. Section 5.32.180 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

Except as hereinafter provided, no person shall operate a cardroom in violation of any of the following regulations:

A. No person shall be permitted to hold or be associated with more than two cardroom licenses issued by the city. For purposes of this section, "associated with" means and includes, but is not limited to, having any financial interest whatsoever in any other cardroom premises (for example, lessor or owner); being a partner; affiliated with the cardroom business in any manner; and/or being an officer, director, stockholder, or otherwise associated with a corporation holding a cardroom license.

B. Not more than fifteen (15) card tables shall be permitted in any cardroom.

C. Not more than one cardroom shall be located at any one address. For purposes of this subsection, different commercial suite numbers shall be considered separate addresses if the suite numbering has been previously approved in compliance with the city council's adopted addressing standards. If any two cardrooms are located within 500 feet of each other, no other cardroom may be located within 1000 feet of either of the first two cardrooms.

D. Not more than twelve (12) players may participate at any one card table. All participating players and dealers must be seated at the table. The only exception to this is when the chief of police approves a card game whose stated rules include provisions allowing "back-line betting." This approved game may allow an additional twelve (12) players who may be unseated. These additional players shall not stand more than one behind each seated player. A back line bettor may place a wager without all seats being occupied.

E. Cardrooms shall be located on the ground floor of the premises.

F. No minor shall be permitted at any card table or to participate in any game played thereat, nor shall any card table be exposed to viewing from other areas of the premises which are open to and frequented by minors.

G. All cardrooms shall be open to police inspection during all hours of operation.

H. Each cardroom licensee or licensees shall post in a conspicuous place on the premises a copy of the house rules, regulations and authorized card game rules, and give notice that all persons will be required to comply with them.

I. Each cardroom shall be separated from other activities on the premises.

J. Cardrooms licensed to conduct gaming in the city shall be permitted to conduct all card games lawful in the state of California subject to the following provisions:

1. The rules of all authorized card games shall be on file with the chief of police;

2. If the game is patented the licensee shall obtain written permission from the patent owner prior to offering the game to patrons and prior to acquiring the approval of the chief of police to conduct the game;

3. Written rules for each card game offered by a cardroom shall be provided to any patron upon request;

4. All other gaming (including but not limited to gaming played with dice or any device for money) not otherwise prohibited by California law is prohibited. Nothing contained in this chapter shall be construed to permit the licensing of any gambling declared illegal in the state of California. The only exception to this is when the chief of police approves a card game whose stated rules include provisions allowing for the use of dice for the express purpose of positioning the first hand dealt.

K. Any deviation or change in the rules of any card game or any new card game from that on file with the chief of police shall adhere to all provisions in this title and require the following:

1. A written set of game rules;

2. A letter from the California Division of Gambling Control advising that the game/rules have been reviewed and are legal in the state of California;

3. Upon receipt of these documents, the chief of police shall review any deviation or change and within ninety (90) days approve the request so long as the rules are in compliance with state law and this chapter.

L. No person shall operate more card tables than the maximum amount specified on his or her cardroom license except during promotional or tournament play as set forth in Section 5.32.050 of this chapter and upon full compliance with the notification provision contained therein. In no event shall be number of tables operated at one time exceed that as provided in subsection B of this section, including during promotional or tournament play.

M. No person shall operate, at any time, a cardroom without having paid all fees, including the quarterly table operation fee and business operations taxes currently due.

N. Cardrooms may operate twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven days a week.

O. No player shall be permitted to wager or raise a wager more than forty-nine dollars (\$49.00). Should judicial or legislative action alter the restrictions or limitations in the Gambling Control Act, Business and Professions Code section 19800 et seq., to allow for an increase in this wager limit without voter approval, the wager limit shall be increased up to the amount such judicial or legislative action allows, not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1000.00) per wager, provided, however, that no cardroom may increase its wager limit until it has received from the chief of police prior approval of the cardroom's security plan. No person other than seated players actively participating in the game shall be permitted to place a wager on any card game, and a player shall only place a wager on his or her own card hand. Back-line betting or side-betting is prohibited. The only exception to this is when the chief of police approves a card game whose stated rules include provisions allowing "back-line betting" as noted in subsection D of this section. No player shall be permitted to bet with cash money, markers, or anything other than poker chips. Notice of all the provisions and restrictions provided in this section shall be posted in a conspicuous place in the cardroom. A player in a card game that allows multiple betting spots may, consistent with the rules of the card game, take those multiple bets and before placing them individually may consolidate them into one stack and move the stack forward of the betting spots.

P. No cardroom shall extend credit to any patron in order for the patron to participate in a card game.

Q. No person shall employ a cardroom employee, or work as a cardroom employee, as defined in Section 5.32.080 of this chapter, who does not have a valid work permit as required by Section 5.32.080 of this chapter issued by the chief of police.

Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on _____ by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Attest:

MAYOR

City Clerk

Passed for Publication:

Published:

Effective:



OFFICE OF THE
CITY ATTORNEY

EILEEN M. TEICHERT
CITY ATTORNEY

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEYS
SANDRA G. TALBOTT

SUPERVISING DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEYS
GERALD C. HICKS
GUSTAVO L. MARTINEZ
MATTHEW D. RUYAK
BRETT M. WITTER

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

915 I STREET, FOURTH FLOOR
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-2604
PH 916-808-5346
FAX 916-808-7455

MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. BOX 1948
SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-1948

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEYS
MICHAEL J. BENNER
KOURTNEY BURDICK
JOSEPH P. CERULLO
SHERI M. CHAPMAN
SARI MYERS DIERKING
LAWRENCE J. DURAN
MICHAEL A. FRY
PAUL A. GALE
SABINA D. GILBERT
SUSAN E. HAYES
JEFFREY C. HEEREN
STEVEN Y. ITAGAKI
MARCOS A. KROPF
GARY P. LINDSEY JR.
SHERYL N. PATTERSON
JOE ROBINSON
KATHLEEN T. ROGAN
JANETH D. SAN PEDRO
MICHAEL T. SPARKS
CHANCE L. TRIMM
LAN WANG
DAVID S. WOMACK

August 22, 2011

Barbara Weygandt
Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
PO Box 168024
Sacramento CA 95816-8024

Re: City of Sacramento Cardroom Ordinance Amendments
Matter ID: 10-7070
Document No.: 196906

Dear Ms. Weygandt:

Please find enclosed a working copy of the City of Sacramento's proposed changes to its Cardroom Ordinance (Sacramento City Code Chapter 5.32). These proposed amendments are presented to your office for comment and review, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19961.1. You will note I have presented a "marked-up" version of Chapter 5.32: changes are in red, with deletions noted by strike-out and additions by underline.

The City of Sacramento's Law and Legislation Committee has approved the proposed changes. However, no date has been set for presentation to the full City Council for consideration or adoption, as the City must await the State's review and comment. Naturally, the City would appreciate any comments about the proposed amendments as soon as possible.

In sum, the proposed changes address the following:

Barbara Wegrandt
August 22, 2011
Page 2


- (1) Deletion of derogatory language in the "Statement of purpose" section (§ 5.32.030).
- (2) Addition of language regarding the city manager's authority to impose condition on a city license, and the consequences for violating those conditions (§ 5.32.060(C)). While the City's current Cardroom Ordinance recognizes the imposition of license conditions (see § 5.32.100(A)), the City wishes to add an express statement about the City Manager's authority to impose conditions.
- (3) Addition of language regarding the ability of the Sacramento City Council to "call-up" the city manager's action on a license application (§ 5.32.060(O)).
- (4) Allowing a person to be "associated with" two city licenses (§ 5.32.180(A)). This is similar to other local ordinances (e.g, Citrus Heights).
- (5) Simplifying the table limit section (§ 5.32.180(B)). This is just a clean-up, as the 15 tables, through the escalating language, are permissible through various state law changes: the 2006 increase (from 8 to 9) was pursuant to B&P Code section 19961.05; the limit increased to 13, pursuant to B&P Code section 19965; and the current maximum of 15 also was pursuant to B&P Code section 19961.06.
- (6) Amending the section regarding location of more than one cardroom at any one address (§ 5.32.180(C)). This would allow no more than two cardrooms to be located within 1000 feet of each other.

For your reference, the City's current Cardroom Ordinance (and the entire City Code) can be found online at <http://www.qcode.us/codes/sacramento/>.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

EILEEN M. TEICHERT
City Attorney



MATTHEW D. RUYAK
Supervising Deputy City Attorney

MDR/paz

cc: Brad Wasson, Revenue



BUREAU OF GAMBLING CONTROL
P. O. Box 168024
Sacramento, CA 95816-8024
Public: (916) 263-3408
Fax: (916) 263-3403

September 6, 2011

Ms. Eileen M. Teichert
City Attorney
P.O. Box 1948
Sacramento, California 95812-1948

Re: City of Sacramento's Gambling Ordinance

Dear Ms. Teichert:

On August 22, 2011, the Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau) received the following proposed amendments to various Sections of Chapter 5.32 of the City of Sacramento's Municipal Code relating to cardrooms in accordance with Business and Professions (B&P) Code section 19961.1:

- 5.32.030 Statement of purpose
- 5.32.060(C) City manager's authority to impose conditions on a city license
- 5.32.00(D) City manager's action on a license application
- 5.32.180(A) Allowing a person to be "associated with" two city licenses
- 5.32.180(B) Simplifying the table limit section
- 5.32.180(C) Allowing no more than two cardrooms to be located within 1000 feet of each other


The Bureau has concluded that the proposed amendments comply with the applicable provisions of the Gambling Control Act, but with the following qualification. The ordinance specifies the maximum number of tables (15) that may be operated in a gambling establishment. It further specifies the maximum number of gambling establishments permitted in the jurisdiction (4). Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19860, subsection (a)(5), a state gambling license shall not be issued if the local gaming ordinance does not govern, among other things, "The number of gambling tables in each gambling establishment and in the jurisdiction". Although Sacramento's ordinance does not explicitly establish the jurisdiction's table limit per se, we find that it provides the information necessary to clearly calculate this limit (60) and, therefore, considers it compliant with section 19860.

Exhibit B

Eileen Teichert
September 6, 2011
Page2

Upon approval and adoption of the proposed amendments, please provide the Bureau with a signed, certified copy of the amendments. If you have any questions, please contact Analyst Brenda Weygandt of my staff at (916) 263-5413. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Norm Pierce".

NORM PIERCE Assistant
Bureau Chief Bureau of
Gambling Control

For KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General