


City of Sacramento

City Council Meeting-Closed Session begins at 5:00 pm

Tuesday, June 19, 2012

Top Discussion Item

Agenda Item	Position %
<p>16. Appeal [Applicant] McDonald's on Stockton Boulevard and 2nd Avenue (Noticed on 6-1-12) Report # 2012-00442 Estimated Time: 60 Minutes</p> <p>Total Number of Comments: 8</p>	 <p> ■ Support 25% ■ Oppose 62% ■ None 12% </p>

Public Comments Summary

Opinion	Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
✘	16. Appeal [Applicant] McDonald's on Stockton Boulevard and 2nd Avenue (Noticed on 6-1-12) Report # 2012-00442 Estimated Time: 60 Minutes	2	5	1	8
✔	26. Ordinance: Elected Charter Commission Campaign Contribution Limits [2/3 Vote Required] [To Be Delivered] Report # 2012-00576 Estimated Time: 5 Minutes	1	0	0	1
Total					9

Public Comments Details

--	--	--	--	--

Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
<p>16. Appeal [Applicant] McDonald's on Stockton Boulevard and 2nd Avenue (Noticed on 6-1-12) Report # 2012-00442 Estimated Time: 60 Minutes</p>	2	5	1	8
<p>Jason Tescher jdtesch@gmail.com 3552 6th Ave District 5 Sacramento ca</p>	<p>I am opposed to a McDonald's restaraunt at this location. I am in SUPPORT of the staff's recommendation to deny the special permit for a drive through.</p>			<p>Support 6/19/2012 </p>
<p>Richard Vennel vennelr@yahoo.com 3202 33rd Street Multiple Districts Sacramento CA</p>	<p>As a resident of Oak Park, I SUPPORT DENYING THE PERMIT to McDonalds for Stockton Blvd due to increased traffic, litter in surrounding streets and neighborhoods, and health problems related to eating fast food. Furthermore, McDonalds is rated as one of the worst employers in the country due to exploitative treatment of employees. These are NOT good jobs and McDonalds does not benefit our community. Oak Park deserves better: a locally owned healthful restaurant keeping money in the community.</p>			<p>Support 6/19/2012 </p>
<p>L R Roberts lroberts58@att.net 3519 - 7th Avenue District 5 Sacramento Ca</p>	<p>I am against the Mc Donalds on Stockton Blvd. I was already against this evil corporation selling yet more junk food in our neighborhood. But, 1000 guest per day?? open very late at night?? a brick wall for drug dealers to sit on???. Also the design is really ugly. If you want to see one like this go to the one on La Riviera and Howe. Also, see all the out of control kids hanging out in the parking lot.</p>			<p>None 6/19/2012 </p>
<p>Tamika L'Ecluse Tstrachan916@yahoo.com 2nd Ave District 5 Sacramento Ca</p>	<p>My family and I are opposed to the development for the following reasons: 1) We believe in opening up establishments in our area that promote healthier eating and humane practices. The fine print on their products show high cholesterol, sugars, and fat 2) There are many corporate fast food restaurants available on the main streets that run through Oak Park (Broadway, Stockton, Fruitridge.) We would like to see a "home grown" presence in our neighborhood with local products and ownership.</p>			<p>Oppose 6/19/2012 </p>
<p>Monica Hernandez monicahernandez25@gmail.com 2458 41st Street District 5 Sacramento CA</p>	<p>Honorable Mayor and Council, I live within one mile of the proposed drive through. I strongly urge you to deny the special use permit at Stockton Blvd. and 2nd Avenue. To approve the special use permit would be in conflict with policy steps set forth to reduce our city's GHG emissions as part of the Cool Cities Challenge, would place undue health negative impacts to a low-income community, endanger and deter bicyclist, and will worsen air quality for nearby residents.</p>			<p>Oppose 6/19/2012 </p>
<p>Kimberley 1st Avenue District 5 Sacramento</p>	<p>I am against McDonalds coming into my neighborhood. I would rather see a Delancey Street style project go onto this vacant lot. It would provide housing and jobs for the people in our community that need a chance to recreate their lives after falling into drugs, alcohol, or crime. This style project in San Francisco provides individuals with hope and skills that can change their lives forever. Delancey Street has a restaurant, bookstore and art gallery in its space along with apts.</p>			<p>Oppose 6/19/2012 </p>
<p>Esteban McCubbin blazingson@gmail.com</p>	<p>Re: McDonald's drive-thru, Oak Park needs business development, but we do not need the noise, traffic, and trash and pollution that would accompany a drive-thru fast-food outlet.</p>			<p>Oppose 6/18/2012</p>

41st Street District 6 Sacramento CA	The health costs would also be not worth the cost. We face an obesity epidemic, and the the calorically dense, fat-saturated, sodium-laced items that McDonald's passes as food have no place in our community. We advocate for access to more fresh, sustainable food. Please vote NO against McDonalds.	
Martha Ann Blackman yodabaxter@sbcglobal.net 3909 - 2nd Avenue District 5 Sacramento CA	As a resident of Oak Park since 1975, I support the Planning Commission proposal to deny the McDonald's drive-thru operation at Stockton Blvd. and 2nd Ave. The drive-thru does not meet the General Plan guidelines and is inappropriate for that site, which backs up to a large residential neighborhood and the Crestview Manor residence facility. This corner is a highly congested area; with McDonald's expectation of more than 1,000 cars a day, traffic and pedestrians would be at even higher risk.	Oppose 6/18/2012 

Agenda Item	Support	Oppose	None	Total
26. Ordinance: Elected Charter Commission Campaign Contribution Limits [2/3 Vote Required] [To Be Delivered] Report # 2012-00576 Estimated Time: 5 Minutes	1	0	0	1
Mike Whiteside mikensac@me.com 4631 South Land Park Dr. District 4 Sacramento CA	I am completley in support of the Elected Charter Commission & of having strict campaign limits. It allows citizens to decide whether the charter needs revision; the commission will have the support of the people due to it's elected nature; and, the commission can take time, deliberate, and interact with citizens. Strict limits are needed to prevent the process from being hijacked by a few wealthy individuals or businesses. This change must come from the citizens, not special interests.			Support 6/19/2012 