

McKinley Village: Findings Table

**McKINLEY VILLAGE PROJECT
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
TABLE A TO CEQA FINDINGS**

TABLE OF IMPACTS, MITIGATION MEASURES AND CEQA FINDINGS

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
AIR QUALITY AND CLIMATE CHANGE			
<p>4.1-1: The proposed project would result in short-term (construction) emissions of NOX above 85 pounds per day. (S)</p>	<p>4.1-1(a) The following Enhanced Exhaust Control Practices shall be implemented to minimize NOX emissions during all construction activities associated with the proposed project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The project shall provide a plan for approval by the lead agency and the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District demonstrating that the heavy-duty (50 horsepower [hp] or more) off-road vehicles to be used during construction, including owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles, shall achieve a project-wide fleet-average 20% NOX reduction and 45% particulate reduction compared to the most recent California Air Resources Board (CARB) fleet average. Acceptable options for reducing emissions may include use of late model engines, low-emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, after-treatment products, and/or other options as they become available. The Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District's Construction Mitigation Calculator shall be used to identify an equipment fleet that achieves this reduction. <input type="checkbox"/> The project representative shall submit to the lead agency and the Air District a comprehensive inventory of all off-road construction equipment, equal to or greater than 50 horsepower, that will be used an aggregate of 40 or more hours during any portion of project construction. The inventory shall include the horsepower rating, engine model year, and projected hours of use for each piece of equipment. The inventory shall be updated and submitted monthly throughout the duration of the project, except that an inventory shall not be required for any 30-day period in which no construction activity occurs. At least 48 hours prior to the use of subject heavy-duty off-road equipment, the project representative shall provide the Air District with the anticipated construction timeline including start date, and name and phone number of the project manager and on-site foreman. The District's Model Equipment List can be used to submit this information. 	<p>Less than Significant</p>	<p>Implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.1-1(a) and 4.1-1(b), which have been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that these mitigation measures be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)</p>

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The project shall ensure that emissions from all off-road diesel-powered equipment used on the project site do not exceed 40% opacity for more than 3 minutes in any 1 hour. Any equipment found to exceed 40% opacity (or Ringelmann 2.0) shall be repaired immediately. Noncompliant equipment will be documented and a summary provided to the lead agency and Air District monthly. A visual survey of all in-operation equipment shall be made at least weekly, and a monthly summary of the visual survey results shall be submitted throughout the duration of the project, except that the monthly summary shall not be required for any 30-day period in which no construction activity occurs. The monthly summary shall include the quantity and type of vehicles surveyed as well as the dates of each survey. The Air District and/or other officials may conduct periodic site inspections to determine compliance. Nothing in this section shall supersede other Air District, state, or federal rules or regulations.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> If at the time of construction, the Air District has adopted a regulation applicable to construction emissions, compliance with the regulation may completely or partially replace this mitigation. Consultation with the Air District prior to construction shall be required to make this determination.</p> <p>4.1-1(b) At the time grading permits are issued, the project applicant shall pay the SMAQMD off-site mitigation program fee, which shall be calculated based on the estimated amount of NOx emissions that exceed 85 pounds per day during each day of project construction after onsite construction mitigation (both the Basic Construction Emission Control Practices and the Enhanced Exhaust Control Practices) is applied. In consultation with the SMAQMD staff, and prior to the issuance of a grading permit, a construction mitigation fee and associated administrative fee shall be calculated and paid to the SMAQMD. Fees shall be calculated using the Carl Moyer cost effectiveness rate as determined at the time grading permits are issued (currently \$17,460 per ton of NOx) plus a 5% administrative fee, or the applicable fee amounts in effect at the time of permit/plan issuance.</p> <p>(DEIR, pp. 4.1-40 to 4.1-42.)</p>		
4.1-2: The proposed project could result in long-term	None required (DEIR, p. 4.1-44.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
(operational) emissions of NOx or ROG above 65 pounds per day. (LS)			are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.1-3: The proposed project could violate an air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, or result in PM10 concentrations equal to or greater than 5% of the state ambient air quality standard (i.e., 50 micrograms/cubic meter for 24 hours) during project construction. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.1-44.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.1-4: The proposed project could result in CO concentrations that exceed the 1-hour state ambient air quality standard (i.e., 20.0 ppm) or the 8-hour state ambient standard (i.e., 9.0 ppm). (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.1-45.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.1-5: The proposed project could result in the exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.1-46.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.1-6: The proposed	None required (DEIR, p. 4.1-51.)	Less than	Under CEQA, no

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
project could result in increased exposure to TACs from mobile sources, potentially increasing the lifetime cancer risk of future residents. (LS)		significant	mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
Cumulative Impact 4.1-7: The proposed project could impede the City or state efforts to meet AB 32 standards for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions or conflict with the City's Climate Action Plan. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.1-55.)	Less than significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.1-8: The proposed project could result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project area is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including the release of emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors). (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.1-57.)	Less than significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES			
<p>4.2-1: The proposed project could have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on a species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the CDFW or USFWS; or substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a special-status species. (PS)</p>	<p>Birds</p> <p>4.2-1(a) Should construction activities begin during the breeding season (March 1 through September 15), a qualified biologist shall conduct appropriate pre-construction surveys for Swainson’s hawk, Cooper’s hawk, white-tailed kite, burrowing owl, purple martin, and other raptor and native bird nests within or immediately adjacent to the project site and all off-site improvement areas no more than 30 days before any construction activity commences. The pre-construction surveys shall be conducted between March and September and shall follow accepted survey protocols for these species. The purpose of the surveys will be to determine if active nests of special-status birds are present in the disturbance zone or within 500 feet of the disturbance zone boundary (and within 0.25 mile for Swainson’s hawks). If active nests are found, ground-disturbing activities within 300 feet of the nest (and up to 500 feet for most raptors, depending upon specific site conditions) shall be postponed or halted, at the discretion of the qualified biologist, until the nest is vacated and juveniles have fledged, as determined by the biologist. Limits of construction to avoid impacts to an active nest during construction activities shall be established in the field with flagging, fencing, or other appropriate barriers, and construction personnel shall be instructed on the sensitivity of nest areas. If active Swainson’s hawk nests are located within 0.25 mile of proposed construction activities, construction shall not begin, or shall be discontinued, until the project applicant has consulted with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) to determine the appropriate course of action, consistent with the guidance provided in the 1994 Staff Report Regarding Mitigation for Impacts to Swainson’s Hawks in the Central Valley of California (CDFG 1994), to reduce potential impacts on nesting Swainson’s and to determine under what circumstances construction activities can occur. Possible measures to reduce potential impacts could include creation of buffers, limits on the timing or location of use of construction equipment, limits on the types of equipment used to reduce noise intensity, etc. Equipment operation and construction activities shall be suspended until CDFW provides direction. If ground-disturbing activities are delayed, then additional pre-disturbance surveys shall be conducted such that no more than 7 days elapse between the survey and ground-disturbing activities. The qualified biologist shall serve as a construction monitor during those periods when construction activities are to occur near active nest areas to avoid inadvertent</p>	<p>Less than Significant</p>	<p>With respect to Mitigation Measure 4.2-1(b), the final EIR states that the project applicant identified a property located in the Yolo Bypass just west of the City of West Sacramento (known as the “Notch” property – APN 033-300-021-000) as a proposed mitigation site for Swainson’s hawk foraging habitat. The final EIR states the land consists of habitat considered by the CDFW as suitable for Swainson’s hawk, is located within a 10-mile radius of the project site, and can be managed in perpetuity as Swainson’s hawk foraging habitat. (FEIR, p. 3-152.) While the final EIR identifies the Notch property as a potential foraging habitat mitigation site, the Notch property (or any other property) is only sufficient as mitigation pursuant to Mitigation Measure 4.2-1(b) to the extent all the requirements of the mitigation measure are satisfied including the requirements that (1) the</p>

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
	<p>impacts to these nests. (DEIR, p. 4.2-35.)</p> <p>Swainson's Hawk Foraging Habitat</p> <p>4.2-1(b) Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the project applicant shall provide the City with evidence that the applicant has compensated for the loss of Swainson's hawk foraging habitat. Compensation shall provide suitable foraging habitat and shall be consistent with guidance provided in the 1994 <i>Staff Report Regarding Mitigation for Impacts to Swainson's Hawks in the Central Valley of California</i> (CDFG 1994). Suitable foraging habitat includes fallow land, alfalfa or other low growing crops, as defined in CDFG 1994 and Estep 2007.</p> <p>Consistent with the 1994 CDFG staff report, habitat shall be provided at the ratio of 1:1 (mitigation: impact). The habitat provided shall be of equal or greater quality than that lost as a result of the proposed project which includes the extension of A Street and 40th Street. A detailed description of the location and boundaries and a copy of the proposed easements to be maintained and managed as Swainson's hawk foraging habitat shall be provided by the project applicant. The project applicant shall coordinate with the City's Environmental Services Department to ensure the land meets the City's requirements as well as current California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) criteria.</p> <p>The project applicant shall record one or more conservation easements consistent with the above standards. The conservation easement(s) shall be executed by the project applicant and a conservation operator and shall satisfy the requirements of applicable state law. The conservation easement(s) shall be reviewed by CDFW prior to the recordation. The conservation easements shall prohibit planting or maintenance of vineyards or orchards, corn, rice, or safflower and other crops inconsistent with the foraging value of the project area.</p> <p>The project applicant shall comply with and complete the above requirements, including City review and approval of a Swainson's hawk habitat management and monitoring plan in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife prior to the issuance of grading permits. The plan shall address, at a minimum, the following: crops and/or habitat types that will be planted and managed on the parcel; rotation and harvest schedule if crops are planted; and monitoring that will occur to ensure that the parcel is managed as Swainson's hawk habitat. The plan operator shall prepare and submit a report to the Director, Community Development Department, City of Sacramento regarding habitat and operations of</p>		<p>project applicant coordinate with the City's Environmental Services Department to ensure the land meets the City's requirements as well as current California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) criteria, and (2) the City reviews and approves the Swainson's hawk habitat management and monitoring plan in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife.</p> <p>With respect to Mitigation Measure 4.2-1(c), the required VELB habitat credits were purchased from a USFWS approved conservation bank (the Sacramento River Ranch Conservation Bank) on January 29, 2014 and the affected elderberry bushes were transplanted to such conservation bank on February 13, 2014 in accordance with mitigation measure 4.2-1(c). In addition to these efforts, the project applicant must comply with all other terms set forth in Mitigation Measure 4.2-1(c).</p>

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
	<p>the mitigation site on an annual basis. (FEIR, pp. 2-15 to 2-16.)</p> <p>VELB 4.2-1(c) The project applicant shall implement avoidance, minimization, and compensation measures for VELB consistent with the Biological Opinion (June 2008) and Memorandum of Understanding (May 2008) with USFWS. These measures include the following:</p> <p>Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) Training shall be conducted for all construction personnel by a USFWS-approved biologist prior to start of construction. WEAP shall include information on responsibilities regarding VELB, the life-history of the species, protections afforded under the FESA and potential penalties, and the protection measures identified in the Biological Opinion.</p> <p>A USFWS-approved biological monitor(s) shall inspect construction-related activities at the proposed site to ensure that no unauthorized take of federally listed VELB or destruction of their habitat occurs. The name(s) and resume(s) of the monitor(s) shall be submitted to USFWS 30 days prior to the start of construction. The monitor shall have the authority through communication with the resident engineer to stop all construction activities in the immediate area if a VELB is encountered during construction until appropriate corrective measures have been completed or until the VELB is determined to be unharmed. VELB encountered during construction activities shall be allowed to move away from the area on their own volition. The monitor shall notify USFWS immediately if any listed species are found on site.</p> <p>Project construction within 100 feet of elderberry shrubs shall be prohibited during the beetle emergence and mating period (March 15 through June 15) to eliminate any indirect effects on the beetle or its eggs.</p> <p>Measures consistent with the current Construction Site Best Management Practices (BMPs) shall be implemented to minimize effects to the VELB during construction. BMPs shall be implemented to prevent sedimentation from entering environmentally sensitive areas (ESAs) and to reduce erosion, dust, noise and other deleterious aspects of construction-related activities. These BMPs may include, but are not limited to, silt fencing, temporary berms, restrictions on cleaning equipment in or near ESAs, installation of vegetative strips, and temporary sediment disposal. Runoff from dust control and hazardous materials shall be</p>		<p>Implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.2-1(a) through 4.2-1(c), which have been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that these mitigation measures be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the potentially significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)</p>

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
	<p>retained on the construction site and prevented from flowing into the ESAs.</p> <p>Roadways and areas disturbed by project activities within 100 feet of elderberry shrubs shall be watered at least twice a day to minimize dust emissions.</p> <p>During construction operations, the number of access routes, number and size of staging areas, and the total area of the proposed project activity shall be limited to the minimum necessary. Routes and boundaries shall be clearly demarcated. Movement of heavy equipment to and from the project site shall be restricted to established roadways to minimize habitat disturbance. Project-related vehicles shall observe a 20-miles-per-hour speed limit within construction areas, except on City and county roads and on state and federal highways. All heavy equipment, vehicles, and supplies shall be stored at the designated staging area at the end of each work period.</p> <p>During construction operations, stockpiling of construction materials, portable equipment, vehicles, and supplies shall be restricted to the designated construction staging areas and exclusive of the ESAs. The project applicant (or construction contractor) shall ensure contamination of habitat does not occur during such operations. All workers shall be informed of the importance of preventing spills and appropriate measures to take should a spill occur.</p> <p>No application of herbicides, insecticides, and/or other chemical agents shall occur within 100-feet of the elderberry plants or where they might drift or wash into the area of the elderberry plants.</p> <p>The project applicant shall require documentation from the contractor that aggregate, fill, or borrow material provided for the project was obtained in compliance with the Act.</p> <p>Prior to the commencement of construction activities, high visibility fencing shall be erected around the VELB habitat to identify them and protect designated ESAs from encroachment of personnel and equipment. These areas shall be avoided by all construction personnel. The fencing shall be inspected before each work day maintained by the project applicant until completion of the project. The fencing may be removed only when the construction of the project is complete.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Fencing shall be established at a minimum setback of 20 feet from the dripline of</p>		

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
	<p>each elderberry shrub that is between 20 and 100 feet of the proposed project construction activity. These shrubs shall not be removed or transplanted. There shall be no physical alterations of any type within the area enclosed by the fencing.</p> <p>Signs shall be posted every 50 feet along the edge of the ESA, with the following information: "This area is habitat of a federally threatened and/or endangered species, and must not be disturbed. These species are protected by the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. Violators are subject to prosecution, fines, and imprisonment." The signs shall be clearly readable from a distance of 20 feet, and must be maintained for the duration of the construction.</p> <p>A post construction walk-through shall be conducted to assess whether any damage occurred to vegetation within the buffer areas. Damage may include accidental cutting of vegetation or visible physical damage to roots, stems, and leaves. If damage is observed, vegetation within the buffer areas shall be restored with appropriate native plant species. Erosion control measures and exotic weed abatement measures shall be implemented. If unanticipated damage is done to elderberry shrubs, USFWS shall be notified and appropriate compensation shall be implemented.</p> <p>After construction activities are complete, any temporary fill or construction debris shall be removed and disturbed areas restored to their pre-project conditions. An area subject to "temporary" disturbance includes an area that is disturbed during the project, but that, after project completion, shall not be subject to further disturbance and has the potential to be re-vegetated.</p> <p>Prior to the commencement of construction activities, the project proponent shall compensate for the temporary and permanent loss of habitat of the VELB as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Shrubs that cannot be preserved in place shall be transplanted to an area that will have minimal human use and where associated native riparian species are located or an alternative USFWS-approved mitigation site. <input type="checkbox"/> Elderberry shrubs shall be transplanted when the plant is dormant (November 1 through February 14) to increase the success of the transplanting, if feasible. A qualified biologist shall be available to monitor transplanting activity. 		

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact																																																	
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> If transplantation is not feasible during the dormant period (i.e., because of timing constraints), the number of elderberry seedlings and associated native plants shall be increased to an appropriate amount, based on consultation with USFWS.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Each elderberry stem measuring 1 inch or greater in diameter at ground level that is adversely affected (i.e., transplanted or destroyed) shall be replaced with elderberry seedlings and seedlings of associated species, in accordance with the Conservation Guidelines. Elderberry seedlings or cutting shall be replaced at ratios ranging from 1:1 to 6:1 (see below).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Associated native plants shall be planted at 1:1 or 2:1 ratios (see below). Stock of seedlings and/or cutting should be obtained from local sources.</p> <p>Table 4.2-5 Approved Elderberry Mitigation Ratios</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">Stem Size</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Exit Holes</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Stem Count</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Ratio</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Assoc. Native Ratio</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Plantings No. of Seedlings</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Plantings No. of Assoc. Natives</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>≥1" and <3"</td> <td>No</td> <td>13</td> <td>1:1</td> <td>1:1</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥3" and <5"</td> <td>No</td> <td>1</td> <td>2:1</td> <td>1:1</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥ 5"</td> <td>No</td> <td>1</td> <td>3:1</td> <td>1:1</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥1" and <3"</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>25</td> <td>2:1</td> <td>2:1</td> <td>50</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥3" and <5"</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>14</td> <td>4:1</td> <td>2:1</td> <td>56</td> <td>112</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥ 5"</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>12</td> <td>6:1</td> <td>2:1</td> <td>72</td> <td>144</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Stem Size	Exit Holes	Stem Count	Ratio	Assoc. Native Ratio	Plantings No. of Seedlings	Plantings No. of Assoc. Natives	≥1" and <3"	No	13	1:1	1:1	13	13	≥3" and <5"	No	1	2:1	1:1	2	2	≥ 5"	No	1	3:1	1:1	3	3	≥1" and <3"	Yes	25	2:1	2:1	50	100	≥3" and <5"	Yes	14	4:1	2:1	56	112	≥ 5"	Yes	12	6:1	2:1	72	144		
Stem Size	Exit Holes	Stem Count	Ratio	Assoc. Native Ratio	Plantings No. of Seedlings	Plantings No. of Assoc. Natives																																														
≥1" and <3"	No	13	1:1	1:1	13	13																																														
≥3" and <5"	No	1	2:1	1:1	2	2																																														
≥ 5"	No	1	3:1	1:1	3	3																																														
≥1" and <3"	Yes	25	2:1	2:1	50	100																																														
≥3" and <5"	Yes	14	4:1	2:1	56	112																																														
≥ 5"	Yes	12	6:1	2:1	72	144																																														

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact					
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">66</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">196</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">374</td> </tr> </table> <p>Sources: Biological Opinion (USFWS 2008b) and Memorandum of Understanding (USFWS 2008a).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Prior to ground-breaking activities at the project site, the project applicant shall purchase the required beetle habitat credits at a USFWS-approved conservation bank. Each credit purchased shall provide for the planting of five elderberry seedlings and five associated native plant seedlings. The project applicant proposed to purchase credits from Wildlands Inc., River Ranch Conservation Bank or another approved mitigation bank.</p> <p>(DEIR, pp. 4.2-37 to 4.2-40.)</p>		66		196	374		
	66		196	374				
4.2-2: The proposed project could interfere with the movement of native resident or migratory wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p 4.2-41.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)					
4.2-3: The proposed project could cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels or threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community. (LS)	None required (DEIR, 4.2-42.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)					
Cumulative Impact 4.2-4: The proposed project could contribute to a cumulative loss of habitat for common and special-status	4.2-4 Implement Mitigation Measure 4.2-1(b). (DEIR, p. 4.2-43.)	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.2-4, which has been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this potential cumulative impact to a less than					

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
wildlife species. (PCS)			cumulatively considerable level. The City Council hereby directs that this mitigation measure be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the potentially significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
CULTURAL RESOURCES			
4.3-1: Project construction could disturb, damage or destroy unidentified subsurface archaeological or historical resources as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. (PS)	<p>4.3-1(a) If any cultural resources, such as structural features, unusual amounts of bone or shell, artifacts, human remains, or architectural remains are encountered during any construction activities, the Contractor shall implement measures deemed necessary and feasible to avoid or minimize significant effects to the cultural resources including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Suspend work within 100 feet of the find; and, <input type="checkbox"/> Immediately notify the City’s Community Development Director and coordinate any necessary investigation of the site with a qualified archaeologist as needed to assess the resources (i.e., whether it is a “historical resource” or a “unique archaeological resource”); and, <input type="checkbox"/> Provide management recommendations should potential impacts to the resources be found to be significant; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Possible management recommendations for historical or unique archaeological resources could include resource avoidance or data recovery excavations, where avoidance is infeasible in light of project design or layout, or is unnecessary to avoid significant effects. 	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.3-1(a) through 4.3-1(c), which have been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this potential impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that these mitigation measures be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the potentially significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR.

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
	<p>□ In addition, the Contractor in consultation with the Preservation Director, State Historic Preservation Officer, and if applicable, Tribal representatives, may include preparation of reports for resources identified as potentially eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources.</p> <p>4.3-1(b) If a Native American site is discovered, the evaluation process required by Mitigation Measure 4.3-1(a) shall include consultation with the appropriate Native American representatives. If Native American archaeological, ethnographic, or spiritual resources are discovered, all identification and treatment shall be conducted by a qualified archaeologist, who is certified by the Society of Professional Archaeologists (SOPA) and/or meets the federal standards as stated in the Code of Federal Regulations (36 CFR 61), and by Native American representatives, who are approved by the local Native American community as scholars of the cultural traditions.</p> <p>In the event that no such Native American representative is available, persons who represent tribal governments and/or organizations in the locale in which resources could be affected shall be consulted. If historic archaeological sites are involved, all identified treatment (e.g., conduct additional archaeological surveys and provide measures to preserve the integrity or minimize damage or destruction of significant resources) is to be carried out by qualified historical archaeologists, who shall meet either Register of Professional Archaeologists (RPA) or 36 CFR 61 requirements.</p> <p>4.3-1(c) If a human bone or bone of unknown origin is found during earth-moving activities, all work shall stop within 100 feet of the find, and the County Coroner shall be contacted immediately, pursuant to Section 5097.98 of the State Public Resources Code and Section 7050.5 of the State Health and Safety Code. If the remains are determined to be Native American, the Coroner shall notify the Native American Heritage Commission, who shall notify the person most likely believed to be a descendant. The most likely descendant shall work with the contractor to develop a program for re-interment of the human remains and any associated artifacts. No additional work is to take place within the immediate vicinity of the find until the identified appropriate actions have taken place.</p> <p>(DEIR, pp. 4.3-15 to 4.3-16.)</p>		(CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
4.3-2: Project construction could	None required (DEIR, p. 4.3-17.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature. (LS)			required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.3-3: Construction of off-site infrastructure could damage or destroy previously undiscovered prehistoric or historic-period archaeological resources or human remains. (PS)	4.3-3 Implement Mitigation Measures 4.3-1(a) through 4.3-1(c). (DEIR, p. 4.3-17.)	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.3-3, which has been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this potential impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that this mitigation measure be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the potentially significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
4.3-4: Modifications to the A Street Bridge could disturb, damage, or destroy unidentified historical resources as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.3-18.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
Cumulative Impact 4.3-5: The proposed	4.3-5 Implement Mitigation Measures 4.3-1 (a) through (c). (DEIR, p. 4.3-20.)	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.3-5,

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
project could contribute to cumulative losses of historic and prehistoric resources in the greater Sacramento region. (PCS)			which has been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this potential cumulative impact to a less than cumulatively considerable level. The City Council hereby directs that this mitigation measure be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the potentially significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
HAZARDS AND PUBLIC SAFETY			
4.4-1: The proposed project could expose people (e.g., residents, pedestrians, construction workers) to existing contaminated soil during construction activities. (LS)	<p>4.4-1(a) In the event that grading or construction of the proposed project reveals evidence of soil contamination, underground storage tanks (USTs), or other environmental concerns, a Construction Management Plan shall be prepared. The plan shall be prepared by a qualified environmental professional registered in California. The plan shall identify specific measures to take to protect worker and public health and safety and specify measures to identify, manage, and remediate wastes. The plan shall include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Accident prevention measures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Summary of known site history and site concentrations. o Appropriate work practices necessary to effectively comply with the applicable environmental laws and regulations, including, without limitation, hazardous substance management, handling, storage, disposal, and 	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15091.) A so-called “mitigation measure” has been included in the Project plans, however, in order to reduce even further the potential less than significant impacts associated with exposure of people to existing

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
	<p>emergency response. These work practices include the following: an on-site hazardous material spill kit shall be provided for small spills; totally enclosed containment shall be provided for all trash; and all construction waste, including trash and litter, garbage, other solid waste, petroleum products, and other potentially hazardous materials, shall be removed to an appropriate waste facility permitted or otherwise authorized to treat, store, or dispose of such materials.</p> <p>o Instructions for marking/protecting the groundwater wellheads and gas probes so that they are protected from destruction during construction activities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Contamination evaluation and management procedures:</p> <p>o Identification of air monitoring procedures and parameters and/or physical observations (soil staining, odors, or buried material) to be used to identify potential contamination.</p> <p>o Procedures for temporary cessation of construction activity and evaluation of the level of environmental concern if potential contamination is encountered.</p> <p>o Procedures for limiting access to the contaminated area to properly trained personnel.</p> <p>o Procedures for notification and reporting, including internal management and local agencies (fire department, SCEMD, etc.), as needed.</p> <p>o A worker health and safety plan for excavation of contaminated soil.</p> <p>o Procedures for characterizing and managing excavated soils in accordance with CCR Title 14 and Title 22.</p> <p>o Procedures for certification of completion of remediation.</p> <p>(DEIR, pp. 4.4-36 to 4.4-37.)</p>		contaminated soil.
4.4-2: The proposed project could expose	Asbestos/Construction Activities 4.4-2(a) Implement Mitigation Measure 4.4-1(a).	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.4-

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
people (e.g., residents, construction workers) to asbestos-containing materials or other hazardous materials or situations. (PS)	<p>Former Landfill 4.4-2(b) New residents shall be notified in writing of the proximity to the former 28th Street Landfill, the existence of landfill gas, the presence of a landfill gas collection system on the former 28th Street Landfill property, monthly landfill gas monitoring within and around the project site, details for how to obtain the landfill gas monitoring reports, and the potential for odors and other nuisances originated from activities on the former Landfill.</p> <p>(DEIR, p. 4.4-42.)</p>		2(a) and 4.4-2(b), which have been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this potential impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that these mitigation measures be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the potentially significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
4.4-3: The proposed project could expose people (e.g., construction workers) to existing contaminated groundwater during dewatering activities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.4-44.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.4-4: The proposed project could substantially increase the risk of exposure of site occupants to inadvertent or accidental releases of hazardous	None required (DEIR, p. 4.4-46.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
substances transported on adjacent roadways or rail lines near the site. (LS)			
4.4-5: The proposed project could impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.4-47.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
Cumulative Impact 4.4-6: The proposed project could contribute to cumulative increases in the potential exposure of people to sites where soil and/or groundwater contamination could be present from past or current uses. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.4-48.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
HYDROLOGY, WATER QUALITY AND DRAINAGE			
4.5-1: Construction activities associated with the project could generate increases in sediment and/or other contaminants which could violate water quality objectives and/or waste discharge	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-37.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
requirements set by the State Water Resources Control Board. (LS)			
4.5-2: The design of the project, including increases in impervious surface area and residential uses on site could result in substantial long-term effects on water quality. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-40.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.5-3: Use of the combined sewer system could increase the likelihood of overflows during peak wet weather flows. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-41.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.5-4: Residential development could increase the exposure of people and/or property to the risk of loss, injury, damage, or death in the event of a levee breach along the American River or failure of Folsom Dam. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-45.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.5-5: Plans to create vehicular and bicycle/pedestrian underpasses through the Union Pacific	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-47.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, §

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
Railroad embankment could expose areas of East Sacramento to additional flood hazards. (LS)			15126.4, subd. (a)(3.)
4.5-6: Stormwater runoff within the proposed development could exceed the capacity of on-site and/or off-site drainage facilities, including detention basins, storm drains, and/or pump stations, resulting in excessive ponding, nuisance flooding, or degradation of water quality on or off site. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-48.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.5-7: The proposed project could substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-48.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
Cumulative Impact 4.5-8: The proposed project, in addition to other projects in the watershed, could result in the generation of polluted runoff that could	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-49.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements for receiving waters. (LS)			
Cumulative Impact 4.5-9: The proposed project, in addition to other projects in the watershed, could result in increased numbers of residents and structures exposed to a regional 100-year flood event. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.5-50.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
NOISE AND VIBRATION			
4.6-1: Short-term project construction could exceed the City's Noise Ordinance. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.6-39.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.6-2: Project construction could expose existing or planned residential areas to vibration greater than 0.5 inches per second. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.6-39.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.6-3: The proposed project could permanently increase ambient exterior noise levels in the project vicinity (off	None required (DEIR, p. 4.6-40.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
site) that exceed city standards. (LS)			
4.6.4: Noise from the adjacent UPRR tracks could result in interior noise levels at the project that exceed the City's 45 dBA Ldn standard. (PS)	<p>4.6-4(a) All windows visible to trains shall have a minimum Sound Transmission Class (STC) Rating of 35. All other windows (bedroom or otherwise) from which the trains would NOT be visible shall have a STC rating of at least 30.</p> <p>4.6-4(b) Exterior doors facing the railroad tracks shall be solid core with a minimum rated STC value of 35.</p> <p>4.6-4(c) Exterior wall construction for the walls facing the railroad tracks shall consist of 2- x 6-inch studs with insulation completely filling the stud cavity, stucco exterior, and two layers of 5/8-inch thick gypsum board on the interior surfaces.</p> <p>4.6-4(d) Mechanical ventilation shall be provided to allow occupants to close doors and windows as desired to achieve acoustical isolation as desired.</p> <p>4.6-4 (e) Roof materials shall be concrete tile or heavy-duty shingles such as the CertainTeed Presidential Series (or acoustic equivalent).</p> <p>4.6-4(f) Disclosure statements shall be provided to all prospective residences, as well as recorded against the land, notifying of the presence of the UPRR tracks and the accompanying elevated noise environment associated with existing and projected increased future rail activity.</p> <p>(DEIR, p. 4.6-51.)</p>	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.6-4(a) through 4.6-4(f), which have been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this potential impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that these mitigation measures be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the potentially significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
4.6.5: Noise from the adjacent Capital City Freeway could result in interior noise levels at the project that exceed the City's 45 dBA Ldn standard. (PS)	<p>4.6-5(a) All windows visible to Capital City Freeway (not just bedroom windows) shall have a minimum Sound Transmission Class (STC) Rating of 35. All other windows shall have a minimum STC Rating of 30.</p> <p>4.6-5(b) Exterior wall construction shall consist of insulation in the stud cavity, stucco exterior, and 5/8-inch thick gypsum board on the interior surfaces.</p> <p>4.6-5(c) All exterior doors and windows shall be fully weather-stripped.</p> <p>4.6-5(d) Mechanical ventilation shall be provided to allow occupants to close doors and windows as desired to achieve acoustical isolation as desired.</p>	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.6-5(a) through 4.6-5(e), which have been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this potential impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that these mitigation measures be adopted.

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
	<p>4.6-5(e) Disclosure statements shall be provided to all prospective residences, as well as recorded with the deed, notifying of the presence of the highway and the accompanying elevated noise environment associated with existing and projected increased traffic on Capital City Freeway.</p> <p>(DEIR, p. 4.6-59.)</p>		<p>The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the potentially significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)</p>
<p>4.6-6: The proposed project could expose on-site residential areas to vibration greater than 0.5 inch per second due to adjacent highway traffic and rail operations. (LS)</p>	<p>4.6-6 Disclosure statements shall be provided to prospective homebuyers for homes located adjacent to the UPRR right-of-way, informing them of the presence of the UPRR tracks and that vibration may be periodically perceptible during train pass bys.</p> <p>(DEIR, p. 4.6-60.)</p>	<p>Less than Significant</p>	<p>Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15091.) A so-called “mitigation measure” has been included in the Project plans, however, in order to reduce even further the potential less than significant impacts associated with exposure of people to existing contaminated soil.</p>
<p>Cumulative Impact 4.6-7: Increase in cumulative noise generated by future passenger and freight train operations could expose project residents closest to the UPRR tracks to increased noise and</p>	<p>None required (DEIR, p. 4.6-61.)</p>	<p>Less than Significant</p>	<p>Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)</p>

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
exceed City standards. (LS)			
Cumulative Impact 4.6-8: Increase in cumulative traffic noise at the exterior of residences proposed adjacent to Capital City Freeway could expose project residents to increased noise and exceed city standards. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.6-62.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.6-9: Cumulative exposure of project residents to traffic and train noise could expose project residents to increased noise that exceeds City standards. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.6-63.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
PUBLIC SERVICES AND RECREATION			
4.7-1: The proposed project could increase demand for police services requiring the need to construct new facilities, or expand existing facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.7-26.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.7-2: The proposed project could increase demand for fire protection services	None required (DEIR, p. 4.7-27.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant.

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
requiring the need to construct new facilities, or expand existing facilities. (LS)			(CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.7-3: The proposed project could generate an increase in students that would exceed the design capacity of existing or planned schools that would serve the site. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.7-29.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.7-4: The proposed project could cause or accelerate the physical deterioration of existing parks or recreational facilities or create a need for construction or expansion of recreational facilities beyond what was anticipated in the General and/or Community Plans. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.7-30.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
Cumulative Impact 4.7-5: The proposed project would contribute to a cumulative increase in demand for police services and facilities that could result in the need for new or physically altered	None required (DEIR, p. 4.7-31.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
facilities. (LS)			
Cumulative Impact 4.7-6: The proposed project would contribute to a cumulative increase in demand for fire protection services and facilities that could result in the need for new or physically altered facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.7-32.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.7-7: The proposed project would contribute to a cumulative increase in students that could exceed the design capacity of existing or planned schools that would serve the site. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.7-32.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.7-8: The proposed project would contribute to a cumulative increase in demand for parks and recreation facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.7-33.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
PUBLIC UTILITIES			
4.8-1: The proposed project could result in an increased demand for potable water in	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-29.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant.

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
excess of existing supplies. (LS)			(CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.8-2: The proposed project could result in inadequate capacity in the City's water supply facilities to meet demand requiring the construction of new water supply facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-29.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.8-3: The proposed project could exceed existing wastewater capacity to serve the project's demand in addition to existing commitments. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-30.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.8-4: The proposed project could require or result in either the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or storm water drainage facilities or the expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-32.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.8-5: The proposed project could require the expansion or construction of new	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-34.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant.

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
solid waste facilities which could cause significant environmental effects. (LS)			(CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.8-6: Operation of the proposed project could require or result in the construction of new energy production and/or transmission facilities or expansion of existing facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-34.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
Cumulative Impact 4.8-7: The proposed project could contribute to a cumulative increase in demand for water supply in excess of existing supplies. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-35.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.8-8: The proposed project could contribute to a cumulative increase in the demand for water and wastewater treatment, which could result in inadequate capacity and require the construction of new facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-36.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.8-9: The proposed	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-37.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
project could contribute to a cumulative increase in storm water runoff which could result in either the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or the expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts. (LS)			required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.8-10: The proposed project could contribute to a cumulative increase in solid waste, which could result in either the construction of new solid waste facilities or the expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-37.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.8-11: The proposed project could contribute to a cumulative increase	None required (DEIR, p. 4.8-38.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable.

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
in energy demand, which could result in the need for construction of new energy production and/or transmission facilities or expansion of existing facilities. (LS)			(CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION			
4.9-1: The proposed project could cause potentially significant impacts to study intersections. (S)	4.9-1 The project applicant shall pay the City of Sacramento Traffic Operations Center to monitor and re-time the H Street/Alhambra Boulevard traffic signal to optimize traffic flow through the intersection. (DEIR, p. 4.9-61.)	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.9-1, which has been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that this mitigation measure be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
4.9-2: Project buildout could cause potentially significant impacts to transit. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.9-61.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
4.9-3: Project buildout could cause potentially significant impacts to pedestrian facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.9-61.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.9-4: Project buildout could cause potentially significant impacts to bicycle facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.9-61.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.9-5: Project buildout could cause potentially significant impacts due to construction-related activities. (S)	<p>4.9-5 Prior to the beginning of construction, the applicant shall prepare a construction traffic and parking management plan to the satisfaction of City Traffic Engineer and subject to review by all affected agencies including Caltrans. The plan shall ensure that acceptable operating conditions on local roadways and freeway facilities are maintained. At a minimum, the plan shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Description of trucks including: number and size of trucks per day, expected arrival/departure times, truck circulation patterns. <input type="checkbox"/> Description of staging area including: location, maximum number of trucks simultaneously permitted in staging area, use of traffic control personnel, specific signage. <input type="checkbox"/> Description of street closures and/or bicycle and pedestrian facility closures including: duration, advance warning and posted signage, safe and efficient access routes for emergency vehicles, and use of manual traffic control. <input type="checkbox"/> Description of driveway access plan including: provisions for safe vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle travel, minimum distance from any open trench, special signage, and private vehicle accesses. <p>(DEIR, pp. 62; FEIR, p. 2-27.)</p>	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.9-5, which has been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this impact to a less than significant level. The City Council hereby directs that this mitigation measure be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
Cumulative Impact 4.9-6: The proposed project could cause	4.9-6(a) The project applicant shall contribute its fair share to the City of Sacramento Traffic Operations Center to monitor and re-time the H Street/Alhambra Boulevard, H Street/30th Street, and H Street 29th Street traffic	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.9-6(a) through 4.9-6(c),

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
potentially significant impacts to study intersections under cumulative plus project conditions. (S)	<p>signals to optimize flow through the corridor, and to implement the following improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Restripe the westbound approach to the H Street/Alhambra Boulevard intersection to have one shared through/right lane and one shared through/left lane. o Remove on-street parking on the north side of H Street between 30th Street and Alhambra Boulevard to accommodate two westbound travel lanes. o Prohibit on-street parking during peak periods (7-9 AM and 4-6 PM) on the south side of H Street to allow for two eastbound lanes between 30th Street and Alhambra Boulevard while maintaining the same lane configurations on the eastbound approach to the H Street/Alhambra Boulevard intersection. <p>4.9-6(b) The project applicant shall contribute its fair share to the City of Sacramento Traffic Operations Center to monitor and re-time the E Street/Alhambra Boulevard traffic signal to optimize flow, and to implement the following improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Remove the bulb-out on the southbound approach to the E Street/Alhambra Boulevard intersection and prohibit on-street parking on the west side of Alhambra Boulevard during peak periods (7-9 AM and 4-6 PM) to allow for the installation of a dedicated southbound right-turn lane. o Restripe the northbound approach to the E Street/Alhambra Boulevard intersection to include a northbound dedicated right-turn lane. <p>4.9-6(c) The project applicant shall contribute its fair share toward the installation of a traffic signal at the McKinley Boulevard/33rd Street intersection.</p> <p>(DEIR, p. 4.9-90 to 4.9-91.)</p>		<p>which have been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this cumulative impact to a less than cumulatively considerable level. The City Council hereby directs that these mitigation measures be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect as identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)</p>
Cumulative Impact 4.9-7: Project buildout could cause potentially significant impacts to transit.	None required (DEIR, p. 4.9-91.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable.

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
(LS)			(CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.9-8: Project buildout could cause potentially significant impacts to pedestrian facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.9-91.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.9-9: Project buildout could cause potentially significant impacts to bicycle facilities. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.9-92.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.9-10: Project buildout could cause potentially significant impacts due to construction-related activities. (S)	4.9-10 Implement Mitigation Measure 4.9-5. (DEIR, p. 4.9-92.)	Less than Significant	Implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.9-10, which has been required or incorporated into the project, will reduce this cumulative impact to a less than cumulatively considerable level. The City Council hereby directs that this mitigation measure be adopted. The City Council, therefore, finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect as

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

Environmental Impact (Significance Before Mitigation)	Mitigation Measures	Level of Significance After Mitigation	Findings of Fact
			identified in the final EIR. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15091, subd. (a)(1).)
URBAN DESIGN AND VISUAL RESOURCES			
4.10-1: The proposed project could degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.10-20.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
4.10-2: The proposed project could create a new source of light or glare which could adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.10-22.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for impacts that are less than significant. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4, subd. (a)(3).)
Cumulative Impact 4.10-3: The proposed project could contribute to long-term impacts to the visual character of the region in combination with existing and future development in the City of Sacramento. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.10-23.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)
Cumulative Impact 4.10-4: The proposed project could contribute to a cumulative increase in light and glare. (LS)	None required (DEIR, p. 4.10-24.)	Less than Significant	Under CEQA, no mitigation measures are required for cumulative impacts that are less than cumulatively considerable. (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15064, subd. (h), 15126.4, subd. (a)(3), 15130.)

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS

McKinley Village: Findings Table

No Impact = NI Less than Significant = LS Beneficial = B Significant = S Potentially Cumulatively Significant = PCS Significant and Unavoidable = SU Potentially Significant = PS
