



CITY OF SACRAMENTO | FEBRUARY 2006

making sacramento the walking capital  
**P E D E S T R I A N**  
master plan



FINAL DRAFT



# City of Sacramento Pedestrian Master Plan

*Making Sacramento the Walking Capital*

Final Draft  
February 2006



Prepared By:



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b><u>Executive Summary.....</u></b>	<b><u>i</u></b>
<b><u>I. Introduction and Background.....</u></b>	<b><u>1</u></b>
INTRODUCTION.....	1
PURPOSE OF THE PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN.....	2
HOW TO USE THIS DOCUMENT.....	4
<b><u>II. Plan Development Process/Outreach.....</u></b>	<b><u>5</u></b>
<b><u>III. Existing Conditions.....</u></b>	<b><u>9</u></b>
SACRAMENTO TODAY.....	9
CHALLENGES TO CREATING THE WALKING CAPITAL.....	19
EXISTING DOCUMENTS AND POLICIES.....	23
<b><u>IV. Pedestrian Goals and Policies.....</u></b>	<b><u>31</u></b>
VISION OF A GOOD PEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT.....	31
PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN GOALS.....	33
KEY RECOMMENDATIONS.....	40
SACRAMENTO’S PEDESTRIAN REVIEW PROCESS: A GUIDE.....	41
<b><u>V. Implementation Plan.....</u></b>	<b><u>45</u></b>
PEDESTRIAN WALKABILITY AND DEMAND.....	46
IMPROVING WALKABILITY.....	50
PROJECT IDENTIFICATION: PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	54
FUNDING.....	66
NEXT STEPS.....	68

**CITY OF SACRAMENTO  
PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN  
FEBRUARY 2006**

---

HEATHER FARGO  
Mayor

RAY TRETHERWAY  
Council District 1

LAUREN HAMMOND  
Council District 5

SANDY SHEEDY  
Council District 2

KEVIN McCARTY  
Council District 6

STEVE COHN  
Council District 3

ROBBIE WATERS  
Council District 7

ROBERT KING FONG  
Council District 4

BONNIE PANNELL  
Council District 8

---

RAY KERRIDGE  
Interim City Manager

MARTY HANNEMAN  
Assistant City Manager

CASSANDRA JENNINGS  
Assistant City Manager

GUS VINA  
Assistant City Manager

---

Prepared by  
FRAN HALBAKKEN  
Interim Director  
Department of Transportation

**STEERING COMMITTEE**

HECTOR BARRON  
PAUL HARRIMAN  
JOHN BURTON  
ANNETTE CONKLIN  
MAGGIE O'MARA  
JIM MCDONALD

FRAN HALBAKKEN  
AZADEH DOHERTY  
ED COX  
ANNE GERAGHTY  
RON ANDERSON

CAROL BRADLEY  
GRETA VOHLERS  
TARA GODDARD  
DAVE FRANKE  
PETER JACOBSEN

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

### City Staff

Martin Hanneman, Assistant City Manager

Fran Halbakken, Interim Director, Department of Transportation

Hector Barron, Department of Transportation

Azadeh Doherty, Department of Transportation

Ed Cox, Department of Transportation

### Consultants

Fehr & Peers

*Steven Brown, Principal in Charge*

*Matthew Ridgway, Project Manager*

*Seleta Reynolds, Senior Planner*

*Matt Haynes, Project Planner/Engineer*

With assistance from:

Criterion Planners – *Eliot Allen and Eric Main*

Community Design + Architecture – *Phil Erickson and Clark Wilson*

Nelson \ Nygaard – *Bonnie Nelson*

Walkable Communities – *Dan Burden*

MIG – *Lou Hextor and Gail Payne*

Dowling Associates

Joanne Koegel

Kittelson Associates

Planning Dynamics Group

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Existing Sidewalk Coverage (2003).....	11
Figure 3.2: Existing Street Lighting Coverage (2003).....	12
Figure 3.3: Percentage of Commuters Walking to Work in Sacramento, 2000.....	13
Figure 3.4: Light Rail Stations and Bus Routes in Sacramento (2005) .....	15
Figure 3.5: Automobile versus Pedestrian Collisions 1995-2005.....	18
Figure 3.6: Existing and Proposed Off-Street Trails, 2010 Bikeway Master Plan .....	29
Figure 4.1: Pedestrian Master Plan Goals .....	33
Figure 4.2: Levels of Pedestrian Improvements .....	43
Figure 5.1: Citywide Pedestrian Demand (Pedestrian Demand Score) .....	48
Figure 5.2 Citywide Walkability Map .....	49
Figure 5.3: Pedestrian Improvement Need Composite Map .....	51
Figure 5.4: Pedestrian Corridors and Nodes Map .....	53
Figure 5.5: Sidewalk Program Flow Chart .....	55
Figure 5.6: Sidewalks Program Implementation Flow Chart.....	56
Figure 5.7 PIP Sidewalk Program Projects.....	58
Figure 5.8: Detail Maps of Sidewalk Projects .....	59
Figure 5.9: Commercial Corridors with Missing Sidewalks .....	61
Figure 5.10: Street Crossings Program Flow Chart.....	63
Figure 5.11: Candidate Street Crossing Review Locations.....	64

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 4.1: Key Recommendations.....	40
Table 5.1: Sidewalks Program Project List.....	60
Table 5.2: Street Crossing Review Locations.....	65
Table 5.3: Funding Estimates for Stand Alone Pedestrian Projects .....	67
Table 5.4: Sacramento Pedestrian Plan “To Do” List.....	68

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---

*The executive summary is included as a separate document.*

# I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

---

## INTRODUCTION

Walking as a form of transportation is enjoyable, energizing, environmentally friendly, and free. It has been a prevalent form of transportation throughout history. However, in the last fifty years the quality of the pedestrian environment in many cities has declined. Walking from one place to another has become challenging, as pedestrians must navigate wide roadways, speeding vehicles, and travel longer distances.

In recent years, interest in non-motorized transportation has re-emerged. Traffic congestion chokes many cities, and travel by automobile can be frustrating, time-consuming, and stressful. Nationally, interest in alternate modes of transportation was emphasized in the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) of 1991, as well as with subsequent federal transportation spending legislation. States and local agencies have also shifted focus away from planning primarily for the automobile in favor of a multimodal approach to transportation.

With a population of over 450,000, the City of Sacramento is the cultural, educational, business, and governmental center of a six-county metropolitan region. As California's Capital City, Sacramento is center stage for governmental policy for the entire state. A dynamic business sector, an expanding public transportation system (including light rail), affordable housing, and growing cultural, educational, and medical facilities serve the needs of the nearly two million people in the region.

To walk from one place to another is transportation in its most basic form. In the large, diverse Sacramento region, many trips involve walking to work, to the store, or to school. On an average day, pedestrians walk from one place to another nearly five million times in the Sacramento region, and over one million times within the City of Sacramento itself.<sup>1</sup>

---

**“Walking is the oldest and most basic form of human transportation. It requires no fare, no fuel, no license, and no registration.”**

-Portland Pedestrian Master Plan, 1998

---

---

<sup>1</sup> According to the 1995 Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey, the average person takes 2.4 walking trips daily. In addition to these trips, every person is a pedestrian at some point in their journey, such walking to one's car or to the nearest bus stop.


## PURPOSE OF THE PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN

The purpose of the Pedestrian Master Plan is to make Sacramento a model pedestrian-friendly city – the “Walking Capital.”


The Pedestrian Master Plan provides a comprehensive vision for improving pedestrian conditions for the next twenty years. It presents a set of goals and strategies to achieve this vision (Section IV), and it includes a framework for creating an improved pedestrian environment. A methodology for prioritizing future pedestrian improvements was also developed and specific high-priority projects ranked (Section V).

Making Sacramento more pedestrian-friendly is intended to improve the quality-of-life of Sacramento residents and visitors as well as meet the City Council’s vision of Sacramento being the most livable city in America. Specific benefits afforded by walking are:

- Health benefits** - The health benefits of regular physical activity are far-reaching: reduced risk of coronary heart disease, stroke, and other chronic diseases; lower health care costs; and improved wellness for people of all ages.
- Transportation benefits** - Many trips are short enough to be accomplished by walking – over one quarter of trips are one mile or less (see graphic on right). Walking can reduce roadway congestion, energy consumption, and driver frustration. Walking is also an important link between other modes of transportation.
- Environmental benefits** – Walking is the most sustainable mode of transportation. Transportation is responsible for nearly 80 percent of carbon monoxide and 50 percent of nitrogen oxide emissions in the U.S. Although individual cars are much cleaner today than they were in earlier years, if total vehicle travel continues to grow, overall air quality will deteriorate. Moreover, cars and trucks burn millions of barrels of oil, a non-renewable energy source, every day. Fewer trips made by this mode also mean fewer “cold starts” by vehicles, when some of the most toxic emissions occur.
- Economic benefits** - Walking is the most affordable form of transportation. Pedestrian-oriented neighborhoods facilitate economic development, increasing surrounding property values and encouraging additional investment in neighborhoods rather than on transportation and fuel.



Portion of a Typical U.S. Household’s Income Spent on Owning and Operating an Automobile



Source: *Walkinginfo.org / US Census, 1998*

- **Quality of life benefits** - Better conditions for walking have intangible benefits to the quality of life. Walking is an indicator of a community’s livability – a factor that has a profound impact on attracting businesses and workers as well as tourism. In areas where people walk, there is a palpable sense that these are safe and friendly places to live and visit.
- **Social equity benefits** – Much of our population is unable to drive, including children, many disabled people, seniors, and those unable to afford the cost of owning and operating a vehicle. Because many more people are able to walk than drive, pedestrian travel is more equitable than other forms of transportation.
- **Safety benefits** – Traffic accidents are the primary cause of death among all ages from 3 to 34. Traffic fatality rates tend to be lesser in regions with higher rates walking and bicycling.

---

Households in automobile-dependent communities devote 50% more to transportation (more than \$8,500 annually) than households in communities with more accessible land use and more multi-modal transportation systems (less than \$5,500 annually)

Source: Surface Transportation Policy Project, 2000, [www.transact.org](http://www.transact.org)

---




---




Traffic fatality rates versus non-motorized commuting to work (in US metropolitan regions, Census 2000)

Source: Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2004

---



HOW TO USE THIS DOCUMENT



How to use this document:

If you are a citizen...

The Plan contains useful information about current conditions, goals for the future, and an Implementation Plan that identifies and prioritizes future projects

...see sections III, IV, and V.

If you are a developer...

the Plan creates a new process to evaluate your project's pedestrian friendliness

...see Sections III, IV and V.

If you are a City staff member...

The Goals & Policies section outlines specific policy updates to existing City documents. Section V includes updates to the City's development review process

...see Section IV & V and Appendix A.

## II. PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROCESS/OUTREACH

The *Master Plan* drew upon some of the best resources in pedestrian planning to produce a document unique to Sacramento. It was critical to involve Sacramento residents as well as local advocates, neighborhood leaders, and representatives from County and regional agencies. This section describes the process that the project team undertook to ensure that the process was transparent and that interested stakeholders had a variety of opportunities to be involved in the Plan's creation.

The outreach for the Master Plan occurred on two levels over the course of six months:

**Residents** – The project team conducted public meetings on four dates. The meetings occurred as a part of regularly-scheduled neighborhood meetings or as stand-alone events. The invitation is included in Appendix A-2. An overview of the schedule is below.

- Monday, July 7 – Neighborhood Services Area 2
- Tuesday, July 8 – Neighborhood Services Area 1
- Wednesday, July 9 – Neighborhood Services Area 4
- Thursday, July 10 – Neighborhood Services Area 3

**Steering Committee** – In order to ensure a high level of input from specific stakeholder groups with interests both at a neighborhood level and citywide, a Steering Committee was formed at the outset of the project. The Steering Committee consisted of about 16 members as follows:

- Three at-large members
- Three pedestrian advocates
- One person from the City's Traffic Engineering section
- One person from the City's Long Range Planning Department and one from Transportation Planning
- City ADA Coordinator
- City Project Manager

### YOU ARE INVITED!

**City of Sacramento's  
Pedestrian Master Plan  
Community Workshop**

The City of Sacramento Public Works Department is sponsoring Community Workshops on a Pedestrian Master Plan. At the workshop you will:

- Learn about the Pedestrian Master Plan project
- Help identify problem areas for pedestrian in your neighborhood
- Suggest potential solutions to these issues
- Provide your opinion about possible changes to the City's policies, codes and standards that would enhance safety and walkability throughout Sacramento.

**Please attend the workshop most convenient for you:**

**Monday, July 7<sup>th</sup> – 6:00 - 8:00 p.m.**  
Neighborhood Services Area 2  
Peppercorn Auditorium  
2551 Florin Road

**Tuesday, July 8<sup>th</sup> – 6:00 - 8:00 p.m.**  
Neighborhood Services Area 1  
East Sacramento Community Center  
915 27th Street

**Wednesday, July 9<sup>th</sup> – 6:00 - 8:00 p.m.**  
Neighborhood Services Area 4  
South Natomas Community Center  
2321 Touhy Road

**Thursday, July 10<sup>th</sup> – 6:00 - 8:00 p.m.**  
Neighborhood Services Area 3  
George Sim Community Center  
6201 Logan Street

For additional information, contact EIC CWA (916) 264-3634

**What is the Pedestrian Master Plan?**  
The PMMP is being developed by the City of Sacramento Public Works Department with the assistance of a Steering Committee made up of City departments, representatives from the County, neighborhood representatives and local advocacy groups.

The Plan will contain three main elements:

- **Policies** - These policies could include changes to the General Plan to encourage more compact, mixed use, pedestrian-responsive development.
- **Design Standards** - The Plan will recommend changes to current City standards for sidewalks and sidewalks.
- **Capital Improvements** - The Plan will identify improvements to streets and intersections to improve the walking environment.



Invitation to public meeting for the Pedestrian Master Plan. (See Appendix D for full-size version)

- Two representatives from the County of Sacramento
- One representative from Caltrans
- One representative from Regional Transit
- One representative from the Sacramento Area Council of Governments


The Committee met three times and was tasked with the following items:

- Provide input and reach substantial agreement on policy issues, guiding principles and the general structure and contents of the Pedestrian Master Plan.
- Provide technical input and guidance for the Pedestrian Master Plan.
- Advise the team on existing City design standards and procedures, as well as changes that may occur during the planning process.
- Provide key documents and code provisions to the Consultant Team to assist the planning process.
- Provide input and help shape the project prioritization methodology to be used in the planning process.
- Provide input on the Pedestrian Master Plan.
- Review inputs obtained from general community forums and meetings and assist the team in analyzing the input received.

The Steering Committee had its first kick-off meeting in March 2003. The Committee discussed the work plan and the background for the Pedestrian Master Plan. Their second meeting occurred over the course of two days in May. The Committee had extensive, detailed discussion of several items, most notably high priority policies and standards and the methodology for identifying and ranking potential projects. Wall graphics, meeting notes, and the agenda for this meeting are included in Appendix D.

Following this meeting, City staff presented early Plan recommendations to the City Council. The team later conducted meetings in Neighborhood Service Areas 1-4 to present initial recommendations and gather public input. The meetings consisted of an initial presentation followed by an “open house” format, wherein meeting participants could visit several stations covering various topics, such as Land Use Policies and the Capital Improvement Program.

In September, the Steering Committee held a final meeting. At this meeting, the Committee reviewed major recommendations and finalized its recommendations for the identification and prioritization of pedestrian projects into a Capital Improvement Program. These recommendations became essential parts of the Plan, and their influence runs throughout the document.




A sample wall graphic recording the Steering Committee's input from its May meetings.

**City Staff** – As part of the visioning process, City staff and some members of the Steering Committee participated in “walking audits” with the project team. Walking Audits are an evaluation of pedestrian conditions that happens in the field, rather than a meeting room. Four neighborhoods were selected to be studied by City of Sacramento staff. The selected neighborhoods have the potential to be great places to walk but lack some of the amenities of the most walkable neighborhoods. They are as follows:

- Del Paso / El Camino Neighborhood
- Gateway Neighborhood
- Stockton / Elder Creek Neighborhood
- Sutterville Neighborhood

The vision developed for these neighborhoods included strategies for reducing vehicle speeds, improving pedestrian crossings, and creating nodes of commercial activity.

Finally, City staff and the “Braintrust,” a group of experts in various fields of pedestrian planning met to exchange ideas about a variety of topics, including ways to identify and prioritize projects and potential policy changes to City documents.



---

Map of neighborhoods where “walking audits” were conducted.

---

## III. EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section begins to provide a snapshot of citywide pedestrian conditions. First, it describes the existing infrastructure (such as sidewalks and lighting), including gaps in the existing sidewalk network and street lighting. Second, it describes the number of pedestrians walking in Sacramento today in order to give a sense of the current audience for pedestrian improvements. This part of the chapter provides a discussion on proximity to transit, which is a key piece of information. The closer residents are to effective transit, the more likely they might be to walk to it. Figure 3.4 provides some context for citywide transit service. Next, there is a discussion of the challenges facing Sacramento today that must be overcome in order to achieve its vision of becoming the “Walking Capital.” Finally, this Section explores the array of programs already in place. The City has made great strides towards improving the pedestrian environment thus far. Its *Pedestrian Friendly Street Standards*, *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines*, and Smart Growth Checklist are all examples of internal policies that will help the City meet its goals. These documents and other citywide education and enforcement programs are highlighted.

### SACRAMENTO TODAY

The 2000 US Census indicates the average journey to work commute duration in the City of Sacramento is 25.6 minutes, comparable to the nationwide average of 25.5 minutes. Traffic congestion is increasing in the Sacramento region, which makes walking an attractive potential travel option for shorter trips. In fact, over one million walking trips are still made daily in the City of Sacramento. On average, nearly nine percent of all travel is made by walking, a percentage that is once again on the rise after decades of decline.<sup>2</sup>




---

The Downtown Plaza Mall has lively, pedestrian-oriented environment

---

<sup>2</sup> Based on the 1990 and 1995 Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey and the 2001 National Household Travel Survey

## EXISTING PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

An understanding of the condition of existing pedestrian facilities in Sacramento is necessary for determining future opportunities for improvement. While sidewalks and street lighting are identified in Figures 3.1 and 3.2, other pedestrian infrastructure conditions such as street crossings and street connectivity were also evaluated as part of the Master Plan.

### Sidewalks

Many streets in Sacramento currently lack sidewalks (Figure 3.1). The intent of the *Pedestrian Master Plan* is to address sidewalk deficiencies in a systematic and fair manner.

### Street Lighting

Some areas in Sacramento have missing or infrequent street lighting (Figure 3.2). Street lighting can be a contributing factor to pedestrian comfort. Some areas identified on Figure 3.2, such as North Natomas, no longer have street lighting gaps.

## WALKING TO WORK

The commute to and from work contributes to much of today's traffic congestion. Increasing the number of commuters who walk to work or walk to transit is one way to lessen the effects of peak hour traffic congestion. Recent research has shown that nearly 40 percent of Americans would like to walk to work if it were a reasonable option.

Nationwide and in California, 2.8 percent of commuters walk to work, according to the 2000 Census. Comparably, 2.7 percent of commuters walk to work in Sacramento. Walking travel in Sacramento varies substantially by neighborhood. In downtown Sacramento, nearly 18 percent of commuters walk to work. Several neighborhoods near downtown have even higher levels of pedestrian activity – many of these locations have similar numbers of commuters walking to work as driving.


Walking to work is not always an accurate indicator of overall pedestrian activity as commute trips represent only about 15 percent of trips taken across all modes of travel. The data excludes trips made on foot to transit stops or to and from one's vehicle, since all trips involve walking at some point. While it may not provide an accurate indication of the amount of pedestrian travel in a particular area of the City, the percent of commuters who walk to work provides a reasonable indication of the relative levels of pedestrian activity in various parts of Sacramento (See Figure 3.3).



Approximately 10,000 residential housing units have recently been constructed or are planned for the Downtown area, such as the 9<sup>th</sup> and J Plaza Lofts. New housing will substantially increase walking activity and allow more residents to be within walking distance of work. As part of the General Plan Update, the number of planned units may increase to upwards of 20,000.


Source: [www.cimgroup.com](http://www.cimgroup.com)

Figure 3.1: Existing Sidewalk Coverage (2003)




While many areas of Sacramento have extensive sidewalk coverage, there are also areas with only partial coverage or lacking sidewalks altogether.

Figure 3.2: Existing Street Lighting Coverage (2003)



The above figure shows street lighting coverage in Sacramento. Some areas of the City, such as North Natomas, have had lighting installed with recent development and no longer have missing lighting.

**Figure 3.3: Percentage of Commuters Walking to Work in Sacramento, 2000**



The above figure shows the percentages of commuters who walk to work, according to the 2000 Census. Data is shown by census tract. The areas within and near downtown have substantially higher rates of pedestrian commuters.

**WALKING TO TRANSIT**


Walking and transit go hand in hand – every transit rider is a pedestrian before and after taking transit. Areas near transit stops are therefore important centers of pedestrian activity, and a lack of adequate sidewalk facilities can discourage transit use. The map on the following page (Figure 3.4) indicates light rail lines and station locations as well as streets in Sacramento with bus routes.

**WALKING DISTANCE**

Recent research has indicated a walking trip of ¼ mile is an acceptable distance for errands. The time to walk this distance is about 6 minutes, given that the average walking speed is three to four feet per second (or 2 to 2.7 miles per hour). Many people will accept 1/8 of a mile (about 3 minutes or about 1.5 city blocks) as an incidental component of a trip that includes travel by another mode such as driving. Walking distance can be summarized by the type of trip as follows:


Type of trip	Example	Distance	Travel time
Commute	Trip to work	3/4 mile	20 minutes
Errands	Trip to bank	1/4 mile	6 minutes
Incidental	Trip from parking	1/8 mile	3 minutes

Recent data suggest people may even be willing to walk further if the pedestrian environment is pleasurable or for recreational purposes. The National Survey on Pedestrian and Bicyclist Attitudes and Behaviors (2002) found average walking trip distances were in excess of one mile:



San Diego's Gas Lamp District features streetside seating at many restaurants and cafés, strengthening interaction with the street.

Figure 3.4: Light Rail Stations and Bus Routes in Sacramento (2005)



The City of Sacramento has an extensive transit network. Areas around transit stations and stops frequently have high levels of pedestrian activity.

Mean walking distance was found to be 1.2 miles (on a typical day in the summer), and respondents on average took 1.7 walking trips per day. The 2001 National Household Travel Survey found median walking trip distance to be somewhat lower at 0.45 miles. While there is some variation in average trip lengths, these studies indicate walking to destinations as far as one mile away is an attractive travel option for many.

Even though many destinations in Sacramento are dispersed and spread out, many services and amenities are within an acceptable walking distance. In Sacramento, most of the population is located within a one mile walking distance of the following destinations:

- Schools and community centers = 87%
- Parks = 98%
- Transit stops = 96%
- Neighborhood shopping = 92%
- Social services destinations = 31%

### PEDESTRIAN COLLISION REPORTS

A brief analysis of collision reports maintained by the Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) shows some generalized trends with regard to automobile versus pedestrian crashes. Over the ten year period between 1995 and 2005, there were over 50,000 collision reports, of which approximately 2,500 (five percent) were automobile versus pedestrian (See Figure 3.5 on Page 18).

A large number of collisions that occur between motor vehicles do not result in an injury or fatality. However, automobile versus pedestrian crashes have a significantly higher rate of injuries and fatalities. This is expected since pedestrians are more vulnerable than drivers. About 95 percent of pedestrians involved in automobile versus pedestrian collisions were injured; four percent were fatalities. Over the 10-year period, approximately 100 fatalities occurred as a result of an automobile versus pedestrian collision. Pedestrians over 65 years old who were struck by an automobile had the highest fatality rate compared to other age groups.

Within the automobile versus pedestrian collision reports there are records that reveal additional details. The records show that the “party at fault,” is the motorist as often as it is the pedestrian. Almost 70 percent of the reported collisions occur when a driver fails to yield to a pedestrian inside a crosswalk or when a pedestrian improperly crosses in front of an automobile.

---

When compared to the unincorporated portions of the County of Sacramento, the City of Sacramento has a “pedestrian danger index” of about a half of that calculated for the County.


Source: *Surface Transportation Policy Project, 2001*

---

Collision reports also indicate pedestrian crash locations. However, care should be used when interpreting this data, as many factors that are not location-specific can contribute to collisions, such as time of day, weather conditions, degree of sobriety, and the ages of those involved. A small number of data points on a map may not indicate anything about a particular location. At best, a group of data points at a single location reveals that there is a tendency for collisions to occur relative to the number of pedestrians in the area.

Pedestrian collision locations are one of several factors used to evaluate and prioritize improvements as part of the Implementation Plan (Section V).

Figure 3.5: Automobile versus Pedestrian Collisions 1995-2005



Automobile-pedestrian collisions occurred in all parts of the City, generally along major streets and in areas with higher levels of pedestrian activity.

## CHALLENGES TO CREATING THE WALKING CAPITAL

To develop Sacramento as the Walking Capital, it is important to consider the challenges faced by pedestrians in Sacramento. Obstacles to walking contribute to individual decisions and attitudes about walking. Identifying the most common kinds of obstacles will help to devise the appropriate measures to be taken. The most common obstacles are as follows:

**Missing Infrastructure:** Many areas within the City lack even the most basic pedestrian infrastructure. Basic pedestrian infrastructure begins with sidewalks and curb ramps, but also includes well-marked street crossings, pedestrian pushbuttons at intersections, and other accessories that facilitate safe, convenient pedestrian travel.



---

Over 400 miles of roads in Sacramento lack sidewalks.

---

**Lack of Pedestrian Sensitivity:** Many buildings in Sacramento are not oriented towards pedestrians. These places appear uninviting towards pedestrians and are closed off from the activity of the street. Additionally, some sidewalks and pedestrian facilities, while well-intentioned, are not conducive to easy and comfortable pedestrian access. Pedestrian tunnels certainly provide passage, but being so isolated from the adjacent roadway often makes the pedestrian feel trapped. Narrow meandering sidewalks substantially increase pedestrian travel distances. When placed on a slope, this adds additional inconvenience for wheelchair users.



Many new buildings or developments, such as the subdivision wall (above) or this shopping center on Meadowview Road (below), are oriented away from the pedestrian. Such orientation ignores and excludes the pedestrian walking on the sidewalk.

Above: Pedestrian tunnels can make pedestrians feel trapped. Below: Narrow meandering sidewalks can be inconvenient for walking; when placed on a slope they add an additional avoidable burden.

**Much of Sacramento is Built-Out:** Much of Sacramento is developed, thus diminishing opportunities to create new pedestrian-friendly districts. Additionally, the land required to build appropriate facilities in developed areas is not always available. In some cases, buildings are located in a manner that there is not enough room to put in the desired improvements.



**Wide, High-speed Arterial Roadways:** A major barrier to pedestrian travel is crossing wide, high-speed arterial roadways. Many roadways have been built particularly wide to accommodate peak traffic levels. However, during non-peak hours, these wide roadways can encourage high speed travel above established speed limits. High vehicle speeds are problematic for pedestrians by limiting the time that pedestrians can safely cross the street and making them vulnerable to more severe collisions. Creating a walkable environment means addressing ways to manage speeds including such measures as landscaping, synchronized signal timing to slow traffic, and lane reconfiguration to narrow overly wide roadways.

**Simply Adding Sidewalks is not an Easy Solution:** Adding a sidewalk is not always simple. Frequently, drainage issues require re-grading of the street to add a sidewalk. Right of way for wider sidewalks in areas of high pedestrian demand is frequently constrained. Physical barriers, such as telephone poles and utility boxes, are oftentimes in the way of potential sidewalks.



Drainage ditches on some streets present substantial barriers to the addition of sidewalks. Drainage improvements to streets can be prohibitively expensive in some cases.



Telephone poles are oftentimes directly in the way of pedestrian travel on sidewalks, creating a challenge to pedestrian movement.

**Maintenance is a Challenge:** Maintenance of sidewalks is an additional matter; current policy on sidewalk maintenance indicates the responsibility for maintenance is on the adjacent property owner. This is particularly problematic along several downtown streets where the sidewalks are “hollow.” The hollow sidewalks are a result of a massive effort undertaken in 1864 to raise the streets to reduce problems with flooding. As a result several downtown sidewalks are elevated concrete slabs that require special engineering monitoring and maintenance.

**Funding:** Funding of new pedestrian projects is also a major concern. Funding is scarce, and the City is required to dedicate a significant portion of pedestrian funds toward the installation of curb ramps and the removal of access barriers.

Recognizing the need to develop a process for the distribution of scarce resources, this document establishes a prioritization process and associated Pedestrian Improvement Program in Section V.



---

Old Sacramento has an historic atmosphere that is enjoyable for pedestrians.

---

**EXISTING DOCUMENTS AND POLICIES**

Many policies pertaining to pedestrians exist in current City documents. To achieve the vision of making Sacramento the walking capital, current planning practice and documents should adopt a unified, coherent vision. Appendix C contains a detailed evaluation of all existing documents and policies pertaining to pedestrian and transportation planning along with suggested changes to ensure consistency with the *Pedestrian Master Plan*. Highlights from important documents are included below.

**SACRAMENTO GENERAL PLAN**

The most fundamental of citywide documents is the City’s *General Plan*. The currently adopted 1988 *General Plan* contains basic pedestrian requirements and a section on “pedestrian ways.” Overall transportation goals are balanced with respect to various modes of travel, and the *General Plan* recommends the elimination of pedestrian hazards such as rolled curbs and includes sections on pedestrian safety and comfort.



The City is currently undertaking an update to the *General Plan*, which represents an opportunity to implement these suggestions. In November 2005, the City adopted a “Vision and Guiding Principles” document for the *General Plan Update*, which includes land use, mobility, and community design principles supporting an overall vision of becoming the “most livable city in America.”

Appendix C of this Plan contains specific recommendations to be considered as part of the *General Plan Update*. Recommendations include articulating incentives for pedestrian-friendly development, balanced transportation planning among travel modes citywide, and modifications to the City’s current transportation Level of Service standard.

**DESIGN & PROCEDURES MANUAL**

Design of pedestrian facilities and consideration of pedestrians in roadway projects is governed by the *Design & Procedures Manual and Improvement Standards (2003)*. The *Design & Procedures Manual (DPM)* provides minimum standards to be used in the preparation of plans for street improvements

The *DPM* is used in conjunction with the *Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction Manual (1989)*, which are construction standards for the City of Sacramento. Both of these manuals' designated standards represent *minimum values*, which imply the lowest acceptable limit in design.



The pertinence of the *DPM* to the condition of the pedestrian environment is primarily in terms of lighting and street design standards, whereas the *Standard Specifications Manual* addresses issues of sidewalk and curb construction.

Section 15 of the *DPM* specifically addresses street design standards. Sacramento's *Pedestrian Friendly Street Standards* were recently incorporated into Section 15. Revisions included:

- Elimination of rolled curbs
- Separating sidewalks from roadways with landscaping strips for newly created streets.
- Reduced lane widths to discourage speeding
- Addition of bicycle lanes on selected streets

Section 15 of the *DPM* contains the following objectives for Sacramento's streets:

- a. Residential streets and street networks should be designed to discourage speeds above 25 mph.
- b. Residential street networks should be designed to encourage only neighborhood traffic and should seek to minimize traffic volumes on residential streets.
- c. Street design should enhance and improve the pedestrian safety and comfort and encourage non-motorized travel modes.
- d. Employ traffic calming measures when the size and/or shape of a residential subdivision project limits the number of alternative designs.
- e. Discourage parking on sidewalks.
- f. Enhance and beautify the streetscape and pedestrian environment by bringing landscaping closer to the street.
- g. Balance street design so that it does not favor motorized traffic.
- h. Streets should not be barriers to personal interaction.

---

“The City’s street system should encourage alternate mode use, especially walking and bicycling, by working toward a balance of all street users...Street design should enhance and improve pedestrian safety and comfort and encourage non-motorized travel modes.”

Design & Procedures Manual,  
Section 15.1

---

## ADA TRANSITION PLAN


The City of Sacramento, under Title II of ADA, has a responsibility to operate each service, program, or activity so that when each is viewed in its entirety, it is readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities. In the event that structural changes are necessary, the City of Sacramento developed a Transition Plan setting forth the steps necessary to complete such changes.

In 1994, the City prepared and implemented its Transition Plan with respect to City facilities, and in 2001 completed a complementary Transition Plan that specifically addresses curb ramps and other sloped areas. The Transition Plan outlines a methodology for implementation and includes technical illustrations that cover the range of corner conditions that would be found throughout the City and comply with dimensional regulations specified in ADA.

In 2003, working with active disability advocacy groups, the City agreed to expand the definition of barriers to include all pedestrian rights of way, not just curb ramps. Over the next 30 years, or until the program is completed, the City will spend at least 20 percent of its Transportation Fund to address curb ramps, crosswalks, and obstacles within the pedestrian right-of-way (predominantly sidewalks) on its 1,100 miles of streets. The Transportation Fund is money allocated to the City via gas tax and Measure A sales tax revenue and is currently about 5.7 million dollars per year.

## PEDESTRIAN SAFETY GUIDELINES

Prepared in 2002, the *Sacramento Pedestrian Safety Guidelines* provide a thorough overview of existing programs and documents related to pedestrian safety. Other sections of the document provide guidance for installing safe street crossings at intersections and mid-block locations. In terms of an overall evaluation, the *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines* are successful in addressing safety. However, safety is only one aspect (albeit vital) in creating a pedestrian-friendly environment.



**SMART GROWTH IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE**

In 2003, the City began developing measures to encourage a new form of development named Smart Growth. The basis for smart growth comes from 14 principles listed to the right:

To encourage new neighborhoods to adopt pedestrian-friendly design standards, the City is in the process of developing a *Smart Growth Implementation Guide*. The Guide assesses:

- Proximity to existing/future development and infrastructure
- Mix and balance of uses
- Site optimization and compactness
- Accessibility and mobility choices (sample score card below)
- Community context and site design
- Block, pedestrian and park network scale
- Environmental quality
- Diversity
- Re-use and redevelopment options

The draft *Smart Growth Implementation Guide* is a good implementation tool for putting smart growth principles into practice in Sacramento. It includes the Smart growth Scorecard, a checklist for assessing how well a proposed development adheres to Smart Growth Principles.

Other cities have adopted plan review checklists for new developments. For example, Sunnyvale, California has a comprehensive plan review checklist for bicycle and pedestrian impacts that must be completed prior to granting development approval.

**SACRAMENTO SMART GROWTH PRINCIPLES**

1. Mix land uses and support vibrant city centers
2. Take advantage of existing community assets emphasizing joint use of facilities
3. Create a range of housing opportunities and choices
4. Foster walkable, close-knit neighborhoods
5. Promote distinctive, attractive communities with a strong sense of place, including the rehabilitation and use of historic buildings
6. Preserve open space, farmland, natural beauty, and critical environmental areas
7. Concentrate new development and target infrastructure investments within the urban core of the region
8. Provide a variety of transportation choices
9. Make development decisions predictable, fair, and cost-effective
10. Encourage citizen & stakeholder participation in development decisions
11. Promote resource conservation and energy efficiency
12. Create a Smart Growth Regional Vision and Plan
13. Support high quality education and quality schools
14. Support land use, transportation management, infrastructure and environmental planning programs that reduce vehicle emissions and improve air quality




---

The Midtown area’s mixed uses, wide sidewalks, and charming feel make it a model pedestrian-friendly neighborhood.

---

**NEIGHBORHOOD TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PROGRAM**

To address concerns regarding how traffic affects neighborhoods in the Sacramento, the City has implemented a Traffic Calming program. Many traffic calming strategies relate closely to pedestrian planning. Generally, a traffic calmed street will be safer and more attractive as a pedestrian environment. The City’s *Traffic Calming Guidelines* (2002) develop a framework for selecting, applying, and designing traffic calming measures in Sacramento. The guidelines contain recommendations for reducing the negative impacts of traffic in neighborhoods by establishing a public process method to implement traffic calming plans. This is the function of the Neighborhood Traffic Management Program (NTMP).

The goal of Sacramento’s Neighborhood Traffic Management Program is: “To improve neighborhood livability by reducing the impact of traffic in residential neighborhoods, which promotes safe and pleasant conditions for all users of local streets.”


**RIVERFRONT MASTER PLAN**

The Cities of Sacramento and West Sacramento also recently developed the *Riverfront Master Plan*. The current vision for the riverfront is to encourage pedestrian activity with high quality landscaping and design and to include a wide mix of contemporary uses such as open space, public gathering sites, housing and commercial activity.

The riverfront should provide a high-quality experience for both visitors and residents. The *Pedestrian Master Plan* will build on this established vision by identifying specific projects that will help both cities move forward towards reaching these goals for the riverfront.



The Sacramento River Promenade is an attractively design walkway affording scenic views of the Sacramento River and the Tower Bridge. It also connects to an extensive trail system along the Sacramento and American Rivers.



Source:  
[www.cityofsacramento.org/riverfront-masterplan/pdf/sac-riverfront-masterplan.pdf](http://www.cityofsacramento.org/riverfront-masterplan/pdf/sac-riverfront-masterplan.pdf)

**PARKS AND RECREATION MASTER PLAN**



Walkers at McKinley Park Lake

Source: Sacramento Parks and Recreation Master Plan

The City developed a Parks and Recreation Master Plan in 2004. The purpose of the plan is to establish a vision and policies for the development on parks and recreational opportunities. The plan establishes several goals related to pedestrians, including the development of a “green and social infrastructure” of trails, parks, river corridors, community centers, nature areas, and outdoor event venues to provide places for people to gather.

**Social Capital**


Walking can foster human interaction and can help contribute to the social connectedness, or “social capital,” of neighborhoods. The *Parks and Recreation Master Plan* defines social capital as the “Positive value of connections among individual-social networks and the norms of reciprocity and trustworthiness that arise from them. Strong social capital can have a positive impact on children’s welfare, public safety, neighborhoods, economic prosperity, health and democracy.

**BIKEWAY MASTER PLAN**

Both the City and County of Sacramento have created a joint Bikeway Master Plan. Part of the planning for bikeways involves a network of bike trails. Although built to standards for bicycle travel, these trails serve as pedestrianways as well. Recently the City updated the bikeway plan to include new trails in developing areas. These trails are built using bikeway funds.

**There are over 55 miles of pathways and trails in Sacramento**

Figure 3.6: Existing and Proposed Off-Street Trails, 2010 Bikeway Master Plan



The map shows existing multi-use pathways and trails in Sacramento. The Jedediah Smith National Recreation Trail along the American River provides a continuous east-west connection through the City.

### **FIFTY PLUS WELLNESS PROGRAM**

The City of Sacramento Parks and Recreation Department has a 50+ Wellness Program that encourages walking for health throughout the greater Sacramento area. Among other activities it introduces Neighborhood Walk program. The concept of Neighborhood Walk is to organize walking groups specific to where the walkers live: there is no need for transportation to and from the walk site; they walk in familiar surroundings that connect them with their immediate community; they provide "eyes on the street" to increase neighborhood safety; they walk with neighbors to encourage and strengthen social connections; and the group concept gets older, more frail adults out and walking when they otherwise would not, either from fear or lack of motivation.



Photos: Marni Leger

### **CAPTAIN JERRY TRAFFIC SAFETY PROGRAM**

The Captain Jerry Traffic Safety Program offers basic pedestrian safety instruction to Kindergarten to-5th grade students. Activity booklets and safety guidelines are distributed to students and each classroom is visited individually to review crosswalk safety and how to safely use the pedestrian signal at intersections. Safe routes to school are explored and maps of the neighborhood are provided to students to encourage walking.



### **STATEWIDE DRIVER AWARENESS CAMPAIGN, 2003**

Sacramento organizations concerned with pedestrian safety participated in the California Pedestrian Safety Task Force's 2003 Public Information Campaign. The message was designed to provide motor vehicle drivers with three basic driving tips to improve pedestrian safety: "Look for pedestrians, then look again; Slow down and prepare to stop; Drive focused and alert." The communication plan utilizes a variety of message distribution channels including:

- Traffic radio sponsorships in the San Diego, Los Angeles, San Francisco and Sacramento.
- A curriculum-based in-school program targeted to new drivers and "pre-drivers" (grades 6-12 promoted to educators during summer 2003, developed in conjunction with Newspapers in Education and four of the State's major newspapers including the Sacramento Bee.



# IV. PEDESTRIAN GOALS AND POLICIES

The Sacramento Pedestrian Master Plan has two primary objectives:

- 1 Institutionalize Pedestrian Considerations** – Incorporate consideration for pedestrians into all City policies, standards, and procedures in order to enable the City to gain the best pedestrian environments from new land use developments and transportation projects.
- 2 Improve Current Pedestrian Deficiencies** – Prepare a capital improvement program that enables the City to systematically retrofit currently deficient sidewalk and pedestrian crossing locations.

**Sacramento's Vision is to become the Walking Capital by improving the environment to make a model pedestrian-friendly city.**

The first step in establishing goals and policies for Sacramento is to establish a vision of desirable elements in a highly walkable City.

## VISION OF A GOOD PEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT

The purpose of the *Pedestrian Master Plan* is to make Sacramento the Walking Capital. This Plan provides a comprehensive vision for improving the pedestrian environment to create a model pedestrian-friendly city. It is a vision in which the City is elevating considerations for pedestrians to provide a balance among all modes of travel, creating safe, walkable environments, and encouraging residents to integrate walking into their daily activities.

The vision of the Walking Capital is articulated through a set of goals and strategies outlined in this Plan. These goals are designed to guide and inspire the creation of the Walking Capital. The Plan also presents a framework for integrating the efforts of City staff, private developers, and City residents to achieve the vision of becoming the Walking Capital.

The City's existing *General Plan* includes language supporting pedestrian use. Similarly, the *General Plan Update's* "Vision and Guiding Principles" document states the City's guiding principle for transportation alternatives is to:



A variety of unique stores, restaurants, and the historic atmosphere contribute to the appealing pedestrian environment of Old Sacramento. Most elements seen in this photograph are primarily provided for the convenience of the pedestrian.

**“Provide a variety of transportation choices than promote accessible alternatives to the automobile, including walking, bicycling, and taking transit”**

– *General Plan Update Vision and Guiding Principles, November 2005*

This principle complements the *Pedestrian Master Plan’s* vision. Sacramento already contains many walkable neighborhoods and districts, such as Old Sacramento, Downtown and Midtown, that provide a vision of a good pedestrian environment. These places feature wide sidewalks, visually interesting streetscapes, and high levels of pedestrian activity, and multiple destinations.



---

Wide sidewalks and pleasant seating areas are a feature of good pedestrian environments.

---


### PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN GOALS

The goals of the Pedestrian Master Plan fall into three categories: creating a walkable pedestrian environment, improving awareness of the walking as alternative transportation through education, and increasing pedestrian safety. Specific goals are summarized in Figure 4.1.

How to create the Pedestrian Capital:


- Create a **walkable** pedestrian environment throughout the City
- Improve awareness of the pedestrian mode through **education**
- Increase pedestrian **safety**

Figure 4.1: Pedestrian Master Plan Goals



**WALKABLE PEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT GOALS**

Characteristics of a walkable pedestrian environment can be grouped into three main categories: connectivity, travelway character and context character. Each one is described below, followed by a set of goals related to it and actions to achieve the goals.



**Connectivity** refers to the street and pedestrian network. A well-connected network of streets and pedestrian ways means that it is easy for the pedestrian to get around. Connectivity includes support for safe, convenient street crossings. It also refers to access to bus or light rail (transit). Walking and transit go hand in hand – transit riders typically supplement their trip with some form of pedestrian travel at both ends. While Sacramento has invested heavily in building and operating its transit system, more investments should be made to improve pedestrian access to transit. In turn, pedestrian improvements will support increased ridership and create a more functional multimodal transportation system.



Streets in the Curtis Park area are an example of a well connected street system.

“Streets and their sidewalks, the main public places of a city, are its most vital organs.”

- Jane Jacobs



The K Street mall and light rail corridors running through downtown Sacramento provide an interesting and diverse pedestrian setting.

### Connectivity Goals:

- **Goal 1: Develop a *cohesive* pedestrian network of sidewalks and street crossings that make walking a realistic way to get around.**
- **Goal 2: Provide a *continuous* pedestrian network that connects through blocks and sites, and connects buildings to each other, to the street, and to transit facilities.**
- **Goal 3: Provide crossings that are *convenient* and *comfortable* for pedestrians to use.**




---

This sidewalk at 16<sup>th</sup> Street and S Street functions as a walkway and an outdoor dining area.

---

### Policies and Actions:

- Provide direct connections or shortcuts from residential areas to neighborhood commercial destinations, parks, gathering places, and trails, especially in new or infill development. Connect dead-end streets or cul-de-sacs to pedestrian trails or adjacent streets to encourage pedestrian connectivity.
- Follow the recommendations outlined in the *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines* related to frequent, secure crossing opportunities.
- Provide connections over barriers such as railroads, waterways, and freeways.



**Travelway character** refers to the roadway space between curbs as well as sidewalk space. Roadway space can be designed to serve traffic while still providing a high-quality pedestrian environment and improving safety for all modes. The design of the sidewalk and the elements within it is also a key element to creating a pedestrian-friendly environment. This requires more than just a minimum width of sidewalk. Sidewalks are multi-functional, and their design should reflect the need to provide walking space as well as other functions that occur on the sidewalk (such as outdoor dining, window shopping, waiting for transit, sitting on benches, and so on).




---

The Third Street Promenade in Santa Monica, California is a lively place with many people. Sidewalks are very wide, yet still filled with people.

---

### Travelway Character Goals:

- **Goal 4: Create a street design sensitive to its context.**
- **Goal 5: Design sidewalks that are enjoyable to walk along and that acknowledge their multi-functional purposes.**

---

**What is context?** “Context-sensitive design” refers a transportation facility that fits its physical setting and preserves scenic, aesthetic, historic, and environmental resources, while maintaining safety and mobility.

---

### Policies and Actions:

- Provide landscaped sidewalk buffers and urban design features, especially in areas of high pedestrian activity, in order to encourage walking.
- Follow the *Pedestrian Friendly Street Standards*: add wide sidewalks, medians, and wide buffers where appropriate.
- Consider flexibility in roadway cross-sections and classification in pedestrian zones and commercial districts.
- Improve the street-level experience for pedestrians by including amenities such as street trees, seating, waste containers, pedestrian-scale wayfinding signage, and news racks in commercial corridors.
- **Provide pedestrian-scale lighting standards for all street categories.** A 14-foot light standard for smaller collectors and residential streets is at a pedestrian scale, and the placement of the standards at all corners of an intersection would provide increased visibility. The “cobra head” style standard at 28 feet - 6 inches does not provide accommodation for pedestrian-scaled lighting, and the requirements for placement do not require locating standards at each corner. This may compromise pedestrian visibility.
- **Encourage wider sidewalks in areas with high levels of pedestrian activity.** The width of a sidewalk should be proportional to the demand for pedestrian activity. High activity locations should have wider sidewalks to allow for additional amenities such as seating, window shopping, and conversing with passersby. For a more detailed discussion of appropriate sidewalk widths, see Appendices A and B.

---

**Key Document Update: *Design & Procedures Manual***

---




---

Wide sidewalks, a developed tree canopy, and an appropriate scale building façade make this residential street in St. Paul, Minnesota very walkable.

---



**Context Character** refers to the way the adjacent functions interact with to the pedestrian. A pedestrian friendly environment should have a positive relationship to an area's land use. A mix of complementary land uses and appropriate densities is necessary to make walking a realistic option.

The configuration of development facing the pedestrian way is also a key factor in pedestrian comfort and safety. This can include building stores with little or no set back and constructing parking at the side or rear of a site. Providing a defined 'street wall'<sup>3</sup> makes the pedestrian feel less exposed, and limiting vehicular site access reduces the number of potential conflict points. The character of architecture should be welcoming to pedestrians. Good walking environments have visual interest, a sense of security and protection, and easy access to adjacent buildings. Finally, parking facilities can be shared and integrated into the community in such a way that they do not alienate the pedestrian, but allow for safe and comfortable pedestrian movement.

### Context Character Goals

- **Goal 6: Strengthen the transportation – land use connection by mixing land use types, allowing appropriate densities, and encouraging compact development where walking is the mode of choice.**
- **Goal 7: Configure development on a site to have a strong relationship to the pedestrian setting, providing easy and frequent access and minimizing potential automobile conflicts.**
- **Goal 8: Design buildings such that its architecture enhances and encourages pedestrian activities.**
- **Goal 9: Provide pedestrian friendly automobile parking layouts to prevent isolating pedestrians from their destinations.**

### Eyes on the Street

One of the keys to providing pedestrian comfort and safety is to create pedestrian environments where there are "eyes on the street," or natural surveillance. Jane Jacobs first described this concept in her book [The Death and Life of Great American Cities](#):

*"There must be eyes on the street, eyes belonging to those we might call the natural proprietors of the street. The buildings on a street equipped to handle strangers and to insure the safety of both residents and strangers must be oriented to the street. They cannot turn their backs or blank sides on it and leave it blind. The sidewalk must have users on it fairly continuously, both to add to the number of effective eyes on the street and to induce a sufficient number of people in buildings along the street to watch the sidewalks."*



The height of the buildings along this narrow street in Rome, Italy reduces the feeling of exposure, an important element of pedestrian comfort.

<sup>3</sup> A "street wall" in the architectural sense is not a concrete wall but the face of a building. The photo of Rome, Italy to the right provides a good example of a well-defined and visually interesting street wall. Also, refer to Appendix B for design guidelines relating to context character.

**Policies and Actions**

- Encourage walkable land use patterns, including Transit Oriented Development and Mixed Use Development, following the principles laid out in the Design Guidelines (Appendix B).
- Provide clear, direct, and attractive internal pedestrian networks that connect buildings, neighborhoods, and commercial centers to the adjacent sidewalk.
- Follow the new procedures for development review outlined in this Section (and described in greater detail in Appendix A).
- Avoid “blank walls” wherever possible and create multiple entry points from the sidewalk into new developments.

**Key Process Update:  
Development Review**

**WALKING AWARENESS GOALS**

Part of the success of making the walking capital will come from the implementing programs that encourage walking. Currently the City has programs for specific age groups and different kinds of events to encourage walking. The goals for walking awareness should reflect the successes currently experienced and promote the role of walking in contributing positively to the social cohesion of the community.



This new building at Kokomo Drive welcomes the pedestrian.

**Education Goals**

- **Goal 10: Promote pedestrian awareness in Sacramento such that it becomes the “Walking Capital.”**
- **Goal 11: Coordinate with other transportation agencies to develop a more seamless multimodal transportation system.**

**Policies and Actions**

- Provide at least one event annually that promotes pedestrian safety and walkability, such as “Walk to School Day.”
- Establish formal communication with RT on improvements around transit and Caltrans for improvements around interchanges.
- Develop partnerships with local organizations to develop educational materials and promote pedestrian awareness.



The K Street mall and light rail corridors running through downtown Sacramento demonstrate a well-integrated multimodal system.

## PEDESTRIAN SAFETY GOALS

Pedestrian safety goals address the need to create safe, visible, and convenient pedestrian roadway crossings, internal site circulation, seamless access to transit, and truly multimodal streets

### Pedestrian Safety Goals

- **Goal 12: Improve pedestrian safety at intersections and mid-block locations by providing safe, well-marked pedestrian crossings.**
- **Goal 13: Develop and implement speed management policies that support safe driving speeds on all City streets.**



The Midtown area's mixed uses, wide sidewalks, and tree-shaded streets contribute to its pedestrian character.

### Policies and Actions

- **Reconsider LOS C standard for Sacramento streets and change to LOS D for all facilities, with consideration of LOS E or F for freeways, main streets, and pedestrian zones.** The City is presently engaged in an update to its *General Plan*. Part of the update process is an ongoing discussion about the utility of the City's current Level of Service standards, which call for Level of Service C at most intersections. In order to maintain Level of Service C for vehicles, it is often necessary to widen roadways to increase capacity and decrease delays for motorists. This approach often creates wide crossings, multiple turn lanes, and higher speeds, which are not conducive to a comfortable walking environment. It also creates challenges for restoring one-way streets to two-way streets. This document encourages the adoption of a lower Level of Service for motorists, particularly in areas with high pedestrian activity or the potential for high pedestrian activity, to allow the City to create compact crossings.
- **Consider reducing corner radii on streets that do not have a significant number of larger vehicles from the current standard of 27 feet to a smaller radius such as 10 feet – 20 feet.<sup>4</sup>**

**Key Document  
Updates: *General  
Plan and Design &  
Procedures Manual***

<sup>4</sup> It may be appropriate to reduce curb radii where few large vehicles or buses will be turning and where on-street parking and bicycle lanes enable a greater effective radius than actual. Refer to

- **Ensure use of and consistency with the *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines*.** Consider special treatments such as pedestrian refuge islands, countdown signals, and others as described in the *Guidelines* where there are wide streets (wider than 60 feet), dual left- or right-turn lanes, or high numbers of turning vehicles.
- **Minimize pedestrian crossing distances by reducing lane widths.** The typical outside travel lane width of 11 feet (where a six foot bicycle lane is present) and the seven foot parking lane appropriately balance traffic needs while minimizing the distance pedestrian must cross and allowing more of the right-of-way to be designated for pedestrian facilities.
- **Provide adequate pedestrian crossing times.** Intersection crossings that are controlled by a signal should ensure adequate pedestrian crossing time is provided, particularly in areas where there may be children and seniors.
- **Explore opportunities to eliminate lanes and reduce roadway widths where appropriate.** Some roads in the City have excess capacity such that roadway space from excess travel lanes could be reallocated to install bicycle lanes, on-street parking, and/or sidewalks. Lane elimination strategies are typically called “road diets” and are effective at improving multimodal travel conditions and managing vehicle speeds. The development of schedule for reexamining potential roadways as road diet candidates.

**KEY RECOMMENDATIONS**

Appendix C provides a detailed description of policy recommendations for existing City documents. A brief summary of key recommendations is described in Table 4.1 at right.

**Table 4.1: Key Document Recommendations**

<b>General Plan Update</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modify existing Level of Service standard</li> <li>• Designate pedestrian districts and corridors</li> <li>• Incentivize compact, mixed-use infill development and illustrate pedestrian-oriented streetscape designs</li> <li>• Promote great walking access to transit</li> <li>• Explore opportunities to reduce roadway widths and eliminate lanes where appropriate</li> </ul>
<b>Design and Procedures Manual</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minimize curb corner radii</li> <li>• Consider special pedestrian treatments where dual turn lanes exist</li> <li>• Minimize pedestrian crossing distances</li> <li>• Provide pedestrian-scale lighting options for all street types</li> </ul>
<b>Transportation Programming Guide</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update with additional pedestrian criteria</li> <li>• Incorporate pedestrian collision data and Pedestrian Demand Score (see Section V) as project ranking factors</li> </ul>
<b>All Documents</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure consistency with Pedestrian Master Plan</li> <li>• Create pedestrian or transit overlays in Zoning Code</li> </ul>
<b>Development Review Process</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adopt Pedestrian-Friendly Development Review Guide in Appendix A (also summarized on pages 37-40).</li> <li>• Ensure close coordination between Planning and Permitting departments</li> </ul>

Appendix B for more detailed discussion of curb radii and their effect on pedestrian crossing distances.

## SACRAMENTO'S PEDESTRIAN REVIEW PROCESS: A GUIDE

Integration of pedestrian facilities into new development projects is a key element to becoming the Walking Capital. When a project application is submitted, City staff should review the project to determine how to best apply pedestrian accommodations. If the proposed project is considered sufficient, City staff will prepare conditions of approval for the project. Otherwise, the project proponent is asked to revise the project to make better pedestrian accommodations.

A detailed guide for City staff is presented in Appendix A. It follows the following steps which describes how to reference relevant resources and determine an appropriate pedestrianization strategy:

### HOW TO CONSIDER THE PEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT: PEDESTRIAN CHECKLIST

Consideration of the pedestrian environment involves the following four steps:

1. Reference Resource Material Requirements
2. Determine the Project's Pedestrian "Smart Growth" Score
3. Determine Appropriate Pedestrian Accommodations
4. Assess the Need for Additional Pedestrian Considerations

#### Step 1: Resource Material Requirements


Many City documents contain policies, standards, and guidelines applicable to pedestrians. The following documents contain the most relevant information, but, depending on the location of the project, other documents may need to be consulted, such as Specific Plans, Urban Design Plans, and Streetscape Plans.

- General Plan
- Design & Procedures Manual, Pedestrian Friendly Street Standards, and Standard Specifications
- Pedestrian Safety Guidelines
- Traffic Calming Guidelines



Jefferson Commons is a new three-story student apartment community that provides 288 units of much need housing for students at California State University, Sacramento.

Source: [www.kaufmanmeeks.com](http://www.kaufmanmeeks.com)



The Fremont Building was a pioneering development project, representing the first large-scale mixed-use building in Sacramento. There was considerable doubt that such a large complex could succeed within the Midtown area, but judging by its incredible success, it is clear the skeptics were wrong.

Source: [www.leonarddevelopment.com/projects/project2.html](http://www.leonarddevelopment.com/projects/project2.html)

- **Other Documents, including relevant Specific Plans, Urban Design Plans, and Streetscape Plans.**

### **Step 2: Pedestrian Smart Growth Rating**

The pedestrian smart growth rating is adapted from the City’s draft *Smart Growth Implementation Guide* as a way to quantitatively assess pedestrian smart growth elements. The rating is intended to measure the relative pedestrian-friendliness of a project development.

A rating scorecard to calculate how a development project will measure up to pedestrian needs is presented in Appendix A. This rating is calculated as an average of all the applicable measures, ranging from 1 to 4. A high rating (between 3 and 4) would indicate a development is likely to be pedestrian oriented. A low rating (2 or less) would indicate a development is unlikely to encourage or facilitate pedestrian activity.

### **Step 3: Determine the appropriate pedestrian accommodation**

#### ***Levels of Pedestrian Improvement***

Overall, the City should be made accessible to pedestrians. Most streets should be targeted to have “basic” facilities. To meet the needs of pedestrians throughout the City, just providing this base level will not be enough to meet the demand. A three-tiered approach is recommended, where more intense improvements are proposed for areas of increased demand.

In locations where pedestrian demand is higher, “upgraded” improvements should be implemented. This level of improvement includes everything in the basic level, plus added features. Projects will be expected to provide all improvements along the street, including sidewalks, lighting and landscaping. Using the “basic,” “upgraded” or “premium” levels of improvements discussed earlier, an appropriate pedestrian accommodation should be applied. At a minimum, “basic” pedestrian improvements will be required of all projects. Along designated pedestrian corridors identified earlier in this section and areas with a high “Pedestrian Demand Score,”<sup>5</sup> “upgraded” or “premium” pedestrian treatments such as wider sidewalks and enhanced street crossings may be required.

Where pedestrian demand is at its highest, “premium” improvements should be used. These improvements include all of the basic and upgraded level improvements, plus additional elements that make




Located just 3.5 miles from downtown Sacramento, Del Paso Nuevo is a 150-acre master planned development that converts a distressed area into a new neighborhood with home-ownership opportunities.

Source: [www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/communitydevelopment/programs/cdbg30/ca/sacramento/housing/index.cfm](http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/communitydevelopment/programs/cdbg30/ca/sacramento/housing/index.cfm) (photos from web site)


<sup>5</sup> See Section V for a detailed description of the Sacramento Pedestrian Demand Score

the pedestrian setting an active urban place. Items like extra-wide sidewalks, special lighting, signage, and seating are some of the features included. The three levels of improvements are summarized in the chart below:

**Figure 4.2: Levels of Pedestrian Improvements**



\*Regardless of the environment's rating, the Pedestrian Safety Guidelines provide explicit direction about the type of crossing treatment appropriate at various locations.



BASIC

UPGRADED

PREMIUM

A pedestrian corridors map has been made showing streets that should be considered for “upgraded” or “premium” treatments. Highest pedestrian potential areas may further indicate a need for “premium” treatments. This map is shown in detail in Section V.

**Step 4: Need for Additional Pedestrian Considerations**

Beyond street improvements, the need for adjacent pedestrian facilities and adequate internal pedestrian circulation should be evaluated.

Evaluation for adjacent needs and internal circulation should include:

- Projects that will have unique pedestrian environments, such as those that will have large open-space components, substantial peaks in pedestrian activity, or require additional pedestrian safety considerations, (such as schools, day care centers, senior centers)
- Projects with high pedestrian traffic adjacent to gaps in the pedestrian network, where projects might be expected to contribute to closing gaps in the pedestrian network
- If there are substantial barriers or impediments to pedestrian travel nearby, projects may need to address strategies for barrier elimination or removal of travel impediments.
- Internal pedestrian circulation, such as internal pathways, pedestrian lighting, and separation from vehicular site access.



In addition to providing excellent internal pedestrian circulation, these pedestrian paths in Albuquerque, New Mexico are visually varied, with soft edges and pleasant ambiance.




Many European town centers, such as this one in Mainz, Germany, feature high-quality pedestrian environments where pedestrians and vehicles share the same space.

# V. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

The implementation of the *Pedestrian Master Plan* includes document and process updates (as noted in the Goals and Policies section) as well as physical improvements to the pedestrian environment. The approach to identifying and prioritizing these improvements presented a unique challenge in a large city with a multitude of pedestrian environments. The process identified to address this challenge is outlined below:

- Key Concepts: Pedestrian Walkability and Demand
- Project Identification: Pedestrian Improvement Program
- Funding: *How can projects be funded?*
- Next Steps: *What are the next steps to implementation?*



This flow chart presents the key steps contained in the Implementation Plan. The key concepts of Pedestrian Demand and Walkability are used to measure improvement need of areas within the City. Areas of highest need are included in the Pedestrian Improvement Program. The remainder of the section discusses funding sources and next steps to project implementation.

## PEDESTRIAN WALKABILITY AND DEMAND

Planning for pedestrians requires understanding two key concepts: Pedestrian walkability and pedestrian demand. Pedestrian walkability refers to the ease, comfort, and safety of walking and is influenced by connectivity, accessibility, the sense of safety (real and perceived), and the quality of the pedestrian environment. Pedestrian demand is the extent to which people want to walk to a particular place and is influenced by land use and development types including mixes and intensities of activities, the presence of public spaces and parks, and the availability of transit facilities. Pedestrian walkability and demand are interdependent, and an evaluation of pedestrian conditions involves consideration of both.

**Pedestrian walkability** refers to the ease, comfort, and safety of walking. It is influenced by connectivity, accessibility, the sense of safety, and the quality of the pedestrian environment

A place can be categorized based on its levels of pedestrian walkability and demand. A place may have desirable destinations, such as locations with multiple shops, office parks, schools, and the like, but which are difficult or unsafe to walk to. This may be due to inadequate sidewalks, infrequent street crossing opportunities or lack of a direct route. Such a place would have low walkability and high demand. Alternatively, a place may be walkable because of improved facilities, but it may lack a destination to which people want to travel. Such a place would have high walkability and low demand.

**Pedestrian demand** is the extent to which people want to walk to a particular place. It is influenced by land use and development types including mixes and intensities of uses, the presence of public spaces and parks, and the availability of transit facilities.

The optimum pedestrian environment would have both high walkability and high demand. Consequently, all plans and guidelines must work toward achieving high levels of both demand and walkability if their aim is to increase pedestrian travel.

### MEASURING PEDESTRIAN DEMAND

To measure pedestrian demand, a set of 16 indicators correlated with higher rates of walking were used. Areas of strong walking demand (or high “potential”) are grouped into four categories: need, proximities, walking environment, and important policy boundaries:

- **Demographics** – indicators describing groups that have a greater likelihood of walking, including seniors, youth, low income, and those without cars. These indicators were derived from US Census 2000 data.
- **Proximities** – indicators of nearness to key walking destinations (located within 1 mile of walking distance). These indicators were derived from the City of Sacramento’s Geographic Information System (GIS) data.
- **Pedestrian environment** – indicators that have been empirically shown to correlate with the choice to walk, including population density, employment density, and mixed land uses. These indicators were derived from several sources, including City of Sacramento and US Census data.

- **Policy areas** – presence of special areas having greater importance for pedestrians due to City policies. These areas were mapped using City of Sacramento GIS data.

A Pedestrian Demand Score was developed to identify areas with the greatest walking demand (see Figure 5.1 on following page). Areas are assigned a score from zero to 100 depending on potential pedestrian demand. A more detailed description of the process for measuring pedestrian demand in Sacramento can be found in Appendix G.

**MEASURING WALKABILITY**

There are many physical deficiencies to pedestrian facilities in the existing built area of Sacramento. The most notable are: missing sidewalks, inadequate street lighting, difficult street crossing conditions, and obstacles to direct pathways.

Measuring walkability involves mapping out all of the infrastructure deficiencies and traffic conditions. The six indicators used are as follows:

- **Sidewalks** – Proportion of streets with sidewalks versus those that don't have them
- **Street Lighting** – Density of streetlights
- **Pedestrian Crossings** – Presence or absence of arterial traffic signals (as an indicator of safe pedestrian crossings)
- **Crossing Distance** – Street width/crossing distance
- **Street Connectivity** – Assigned a value of 0 – 1 as a qualitative measure of how well the streets are connected)
- **Collisions** – Annual number of pedestrian / vehicle collisions

As with pedestrian demand, a score was developed to identify areas with low walkability (Figure 5.2). The results of this process were used to determine how walkability improvements can be completed where they are needed the most.




Venice, Italy is the foremost example of a walkable city. Pathways along the Grand Canal are wide and feature dramatic views.



This German street is narrow with a well-defined street space in between buildings, both factors that improve walkability.


400 miles of streets have missing sidewalk segments

**Figure 5.1: Citywide Pedestrian Demand (Pedestrian Demand Score)**



Citywide map of pedestrian demand: Darker areas are where more people are likely to walk.

Figure 5.2 Citywide Walkability Map



The walkability map shows an infrastructure deficiency score. It is based on an aggregation of six deficiency indicators. Areas of high deficiency are shown in dark blue.

## IMPROVING WALKABILITY

There are two key components of addressing walkability deficiencies.

1. **Prioritization** – Identifying a rational and fair mechanism for determining which areas receive improvements first.
2. **Improvement Types** – Determining the level of improvements that are appropriate for a given area.

While the Plan seeks to achieve basic improvements, including sidewalks and lighting, throughout the City, there are some areas, such as commercial main streets, where greater levels of improvements may be appropriate.

### *PRIORITIZATION*


Improved pedestrian environments are targeted to areas with high demand (that is, there are potential walkers) but with low walkability (see figure at right).

This process is achieved through a composite score of areas with high pedestrian and low walkability:



The Pedestrian Improvement Need Composite Map (Figure 5.3) shows areas with high demand and low walkability. While this represents an overall improvement need, specific needs, such as sidewalk and street crossing projects, are addressed through the Pedestrian Improvement Program (Page 54).

**Figure 5.3: Pedestrian Improvement Need Composite Map**



The pedestrian need score is a composite of the pedestrian demand and pedestrian walkability scores. Areas with a high demand and low walkability are identified as having the greatest need.

**IMPROVEMENT TYPES**

**Pedestrian Corridors and Nodes: Matching Improvements to the Level of Need**

When considering sidewalks construction, the design should be based on the level of pedestrian activity expected. Not all areas in Sacramento have the same level of demand. The overall strategy for this plan, therefore, is to match the level of improvements to the demand of a particular area. A three tiered approach towards making pedestrian improvements includes areas of “basic,” upgraded,” and “premium” facilities.

Generally speaking, all parts of the City should be receiving basic improvements. Upgraded improvements include everything in the basic level, plus added features, like wider sidewalks, more intense lighting and landscaping and higher quality street crossing treatments. These improvements are targeted for designated Pedestrian Corridors as shown in Figure 5.4 on the following page.

The top level of improvements is called “Premium.” These improvements include all of the basic and upgraded level improvements, plus additional elements that make the pedestrian setting an active urban place. Items like extra-wide sidewalks, special lighting, signage, and seating are some of the features included. Premium improvements are appropriate for pedestrian “nodes” of activity where there are high levels of pedestrian demand. Pedestrian nodes, shown in Figure 5.4, are derived based on areas within the city with the highest levels of pedestrian demand.





Figure 5.4: Pedestrian Corridors and Nodes Map



Pedestrian corridors are areas targeted for Upgraded pedestrian improvements. Pedestrian “nodes” are areas with high pedestrian activity and will generally warrant Premium pedestrian improvements.

## PROJECT IDENTIFICATION: PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The ease of pedestrian travel is closely tied to the condition of the pedestrian travelway. Transportation improvements that facilitate pedestrian travel include sidewalks and street crossings. The purpose of the Pedestrian Improvement Program is to prioritize these improvements where they are needed the most.<sup>6</sup>

The Pedestrian Improvement Program (PIP) includes two sections, each of which have two elements:

- **Sidewalks Program**
  - New curb, gutter, and sidewalk
  - Infill sidewalk with existing curb and gutter
- **Street Crossings Program**
  - Intersection crossings
  - Midblock crossings

### *SIDEWALKS PROGRAM*

Sidewalks provide pedestrians a separated travel path from vehicles on the road. Within an urban area, sidewalks should be provided everywhere but especially around schools, transit stops, parks, and along mixed-use commercial corridors. In the case of schools, safety considerations are a primary concern when parents and children make the decision whether children should walk (or be driven) to school. Transit stops are also locations of high pedestrian activity, as every transit rider is a pedestrian for some time both before and after taking a trip by transit. Commercial areas not only accommodate pedestrian travel but also serve as gathering places for pedestrians.

The construction of sidewalks to schools, transit, and pedestrian commercial areas will result in an increase in walking as a mode of transportation and a corresponding decrease in vehicular trips. In commercial areas, sidewalks can also provide economic benefits to local businesses. Providing sidewalks will increase the safety and convenience of pedestrian travel for all users, as well as furthering the federal mandate to improve air quality and the City Council's

---

<sup>6</sup> In addition to sidewalks and street crossings, there are other types of improvements that facilitate pedestrian travel such as pedestrian bridges, off-street trails, pedestrian cut-through routes, and internal site circulation. The Pedestrian Improvement Program focuses expressly on sidewalk and street crossing improvements.


desire to conserve energy and reduce overall capital improvement costs.

**Sidewalk Improvement Types**

Based on the type and location of needed sidewalks, the City will allocate potential projects to Streetscape Enhancements Program or Pedestrian Improvement Program Sidewalks Program (see figure below).

The Sidewalks Program identifies infill and new sidewalks, with a checklist for ½-mile proximity to light-rail transit stations, average of ½-mile proximity to schools, and immediate proximity to bus stops and commercial destinations. The matrix below (Figure 5.5) identifies sidewalk projects in detail, showing approximate length of new sidewalk required, approximate cost, and corresponding Council District.

**Figure 5.5: Sidewalk Program Flow Chart**



Sidewalk improvements will fall under one of three types of improvements: basic, upgraded, or premium. The Sidewalks Element of the Pedestrian Improvement Program targets installation of sidewalks on a citywide basis. These sidewalks will generally be “basic” sidewalks and in some cases upgraded or premium facilities will be warranted. The City’s existing Streetscape Enhancements program targets installation of sidewalks on major commercial corridors, where upgraded and premium facilities should usually be installed.

**Identifying Projects**

The methodology for identifying highest priority sidewalk improvements includes the following steps:

1. Identify all street segments within the City lacking sidewalks
2. Rank all segments based on Pedestrian Demand Score
3. Identify clusters of highest-scoring street segments to develop candidate project areas
4. Based on a weighted average of improvement need for all street segments in candidate project areas, rank top 20 projects.
5. Identify highest priority streets requiring new sidewalks or infill sidewalks and prepare project maps.

The maps on pages 57-58 show the sidewalk project locations:

- Map of Overall sidewalk projects (Figure 5.7)
- Detail maps of North Sacramento projects, Downtown / Midtown projects, and South Sacramento projects (Figure 5.8)

Appendix H contains project sheets for high priority projects including a map, generalized cost estimate, and improvement description.

**Implementing Projects**

After sidewalk projects are identified and ranked, the following steps are proposed to design and implement projects (see Figure 5.6 on right):

- **Identify and Rank Candidate Projects:** Candidate project identification includes using the Pedestrian Demand Score according to the steps outlined above.
- **Field Verification:** Field verification should include a review of existing conditions (such as available right-of-way, adjacent land uses, and pedestrian volumes) and identifying potential design constraints (such as locations of utilities). Defining project extents may also be supplemented by citizen requests for sidewalks on specific streets adjacent to project areas. It may also be appropriate to combine sidewalk projects with street crossing improvement projects.

**Figure 5.6: Sidewalk Project Implementation Flow Chart**



- **Design Improvements:** Appropriate sidewalk improvements should be designed after projects have gone through the field verification process. This includes developing plans and detailed estimates of the cost to construct. The level of improvement should be consistent with the level of pedestrian activity and the criteria in the *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines*.
- **Deliver Project:** The final step of the sidewalks program process will be to construct new sidewalks.

### ***New Sidewalks and Infill Sidewalks***

Construction of some sidewalks will require new curbs and gutters to be installed. Other sidewalk project may already have existing curb and gutter and could require less cost to install. Identification of areas with existing curb and gutter and areas lacking curbs and gutters will be useful in determining overall project costs.

Figure 5.7 PIP Sidewalk Program Projects





Figure 5.8: Detail Maps of Sidewalk Projects



The matrix below identifies sidewalk projects in detail, showing length, cost, and Council District.


**Table 5.1: Sidewalks Program Project List**

Project Number/ Rank	Project Name	Average Need (out of 100)	Total Length (mi)	Approximate Cost	Existing Curb / Gutter	Council District	Light Rail (0.5 mi)	Proximities		
								Bus Stop (adjacent)	School (0.5 mi)	Comrc. Dest. (adjacent)
1	Grant Union High School	65.9	0.88	\$ 996,000	TBD	2		✓	✓	✓
2	Alters/Rio Linda	65.8	1.14	\$ 1,557,000	TBD	2		✓	✓	
3	R Street	65.7	1.03	\$ 1,160,000	TBD	4	✓	✓		✓
4	Florin Road	65.7	0.91	\$ 973,000	TBD	8		✓	✓	✓
5	Stockton Blvd.	65.6	0.23	\$ 490,000	TBD	5		✓		✓
6	Sacramento School	64.1	0.67	\$ 856,000	TBD	5	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Bell Ave. Elementary	64.0	0.91	\$ 1,789,000	TBD	2		✓	✓	
8	Globe Station	63.9	1.18	\$ 1,781,000	TBD	2	✓	✓		✓
9	5 <sup>th</sup> Street	63.9	1.48	\$ 1,877,000	TBD	4		✓	✓	✓
10	Hayes/Taylor Streets	63.8	2.38	\$ 4,195,000	TBD	2		✓	✓	
11	Gateway	63.8	0.54	\$ 619,000	TBD	2	✓			✓
12	Altos/Traction Ave.	63.7	2.10	\$ 1,828,000	TBD	2	✓	✓		
13	South of Broadway	63.5	0.39	\$ 535,000	TBD	5		✓	✓	✓
14	San Juan Rd	63.5	1.10	\$ 2,089,000	TBD	1		✓	✓	✓
15	35 <sup>th</sup> Ave.	63.2	0.70	\$ 1,043,000	TBD	4		✓	✓	✓
16	Rio Linda Blvd.	63.0	0.42	\$ 875,000	TBD	2		✓		✓
17	La Rosa Blvd.	62.9	0.40	\$ 388,000	TBD	2	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Taylor Street Elementary	62.9	1.88	\$ 3,165,000	TBD	2		✓	✓	✓
19	East El Camino	62.8	0.81	\$ 1,286,000	TBD	3		✓	✓	✓
20	Arden Way	62.0	1.68	\$ 1,849,000	TBD	2	✓	✓	✓	✓

**Sidewalks on Commercial Corridors**

Commercial corridors are identified in the Streetscape Enhancements program in the City’s Transportation Programming Guide. Improvements to these streets include landscaping, addition of medians, and other aesthetic improvements in addition to pedestrian improvements. Commercial Corridors lacking sidewalks are identified below, with the understanding that they should be included as improvements in the Streetscape Enhancements program.

**Figure 5.9: Commercial Corridors with Missing Sidewalks**



## STREET CROSSINGS PROGRAM

Well-designed street crossings are vital for improving pedestrian mobility and connecting neighborhoods. Well-marked, high visibility pedestrian crossings accomplish dual goals. They prepare drivers for the likelihood of encountering a pedestrian, and they create an atmosphere of walkability and accessibility for pedestrians.

As with sidewalks, street crossings are particularly important near schools, transit stops, parks, and where there are many pedestrians. The addition of new street crossings may be most effective where there are existing safety deficiencies and a high demand for street crossings.

### Intersection Crossings

Marked crossing locations are essential links in the pedestrian network and can be installed at intersections or mid-block locations. Street crossings at intersections are important because they provide access for pedestrians traveling in multiple directions.

The intent of this Street Crossings Program is to prioritize locations of intersection and mid-block crossings. The type of crossing improvement considered should be consistent with the *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines*. Intersection crossing improvements can range from lower-cost installations such as countdown signals to higher cost improvements such as pedestrian bulbouts and refuge islands.

### Midblock Crossings

Midblock crossings provide important linkages in the pedestrian network where there is a high crossing demand or where intersection crossing locations are widely spaced. According to the *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines*, mid-block crossings should be marked where sufficient demand exists, where there no nearby alternate crossings, and where safety is not a concern (see text on right):

Where mid-block crosswalks are installed, the standard design should be a high-visibility pavement treatment with an “advance yield” line in advance of the crosswalk.

---

According to the City's *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines*, midblock crossings should be installed where:

- Sufficient demand exists to justify the installation of a crosswalk
  - The mid-block location is:
    - 300 feet or more from another crossing location on an arterial street,
    - 200 feet or more from another crossing location on a collector street, or
    - 100 feet or more from another crossing location on a local street;
  - The mid-block location has sufficient sight distance; and
  - Safety considerations do not preclude a crosswalk.
-

**Proposed Project Ranking Process**

The need for new or improved street crossings is based on several factors, including pedestrian safety, daily roadway traffic, average vehicle speed, and existing crossing length. Because data for several of these areas is not readily available, an initial list of candidate street crossings is presented in Table 5.2 on Page 65. A more detailed field verification process is proposed to select, evaluate, and design specific crossing projects (see Figure 5.10 on right).

Candidate street crossing locations are identified by calculating the Pedestrian Demand Score and pedestrian collision history according to the following steps:

- **Identify Candidate Sites:** Candidate site identification includes using the Pedestrian Demand Score to determine high-risk pedestrian street crossings, supplemented by citizen requests for crossing improvements at specific locations.
- **Screen Tests:** Screen tests include review of aerial photographs and field verification. Performing a field review of candidate street crossings will help with gathering of relevant data (such as roadway volumes, traffic speeds, crossing distances, pedestrian crossing volumes) and identifying potential design constraints. For mid-block crossing locations, a review of block lengths and estimated pedestrian crossing demand may also be appropriate.
- **Prioritize Locations:** Perform a field review of highest ranking street segments/intersections to determine potential crosswalk locations. Things to consider in assessing highest priority street crossing projects could include a cost/benefit analysis combined with the Pedestrian Demand Score or the expected safety benefit to improvements (such as change in pedestrian LOS).
- **Develop Improvements:** Appropriate crossing enhancements should be designed after projects have been prioritized. This includes developing plans and detailed estimates of the cost to construct. The level of improvement should be consistent with the level of pedestrian activity and the criteria in the *Pedestrian Safety Guidelines*.
- **Deliver Project:** The final step of the street crossings process will be to construct pedestrian crossings.

**Figure 5.10: Street Crossings Project Flow Chart**





Figure 5.11: Candidate Street Crossing Review Locations



The table below lists the highest ranking street segments based on their average collision index. The average collision index is a rough collision “rate” developed by dividing average number of collisions over the past seven years by each street segment’s Pedestrian Demand Score.

**Table 5.2: Street Crossing Review Locations**

Segment Number/Rank	Street Name	Average Collision Index	Street Width (ft)	Existing Sidewalk	Proximities			
					Light Rail (0.5 mi)	Bus Stop (adjacent)	School (0.25 mi)	Commercial Dest. (adjacent)
1	Mack Rd	64	90	Yes	✓			✓
2	Stockton Blvd	54	64	Yes	✓		✓	✓
3	Stockton Blvd	43	57	Yes	✓			✓
4	5 <sup>th</sup> Street	36	57	Yes	✓	✓		✓
5	Florin Rd	35	56	Yes	✓			✓
6	Marysville Blvd	35	55	Partial	✓		✓	✓
7	29 <sup>th</sup> Street	33	49	Yes	✓	✓		
8	Valley Hi Dr	32	72	Yes	✓		✓	✓
9	Dos Rios St	30	36	Yes		✓		
10	12 <sup>th</sup> Street	28	50	Yes		✓		
11	J Street	27	64	Yes	✓	✓		✓
12	29 <sup>th</sup> Street	26	46	Yes	✓		✓	
13	L Street	26	36	Yes	✓	✓		✓
14	Meadowview Rd	26	62	Yes	✓		✓	
15	Marysville Blvd	25	50	Partial	✓			✓
16	El Camino Ave	24	49	Yes		✓		✓
17	Roseville Rd	23	34	No		✓		
18	North B St	23	58	Yes		✓		
19	Florin Rd	23	107	No	✓			✓
20	Marysville Blvd	23	57	Yes	✓		✓	✓
21	K Street	23	56	Yes				✓
22	J Street	23	79	Yes	✓	✓		✓

## FUNDING

Pedestrian projects will be funded either as stand-alone projects that specifically target pedestrian improvements or as part of a larger capital improvement project. In research of the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) documents for Fiscal Years 2005 and 2006, the City programmed an annual average of approximately \$36 million toward transportation capital improvement projects and maintenance activities. This funding generally consists of local, state, federal funds as outlined above.

### STAND-ALONE PROJECT FUNDING

Approximately 17-26% of the City's transportation CIP (Construction and Maintenance) budget is programmed to alternate modes only (Bicycle and Pedestrian) projects, with the majority being pedestrian-related.<sup>7</sup> In a typical year, the majority of the work consists of the City's annual obligation required by the *Barden v. City of Sacramento* Settlement Agreement. It also consists of programs (such as the *Pedestrian Safety Program* and the *Captain Jerry Safety Program*) and specific pedestrian projects (such as the *Tower Bridge Bike/Pedestrian Improvement project*).

In determining the amount available for stand-alone projects, it is assumed that funding currently programmed toward the City's settlement agreement or existing pedestrian programs will not change. It should be noted that it is expected that, where possible, pedestrian projects consistent with the City's settlement agreement may also be consistent with the *Pedestrian Master Plan* thereby increasing the net funding for implementing *Pedestrian Master Plan* PIP projects. It is also recognized that grant funds will address eligible projects and that receiving these funds involves a region or state wide competitive process and that funding received through these sources may vary from year to year.

Table 5.4 shows the estimates of the possible funding revenue for the most significant funding sources. The table reveals that the amount of funds available for pedestrian projects is estimated to range between \$700,000 and \$1.8 million annually given the current levels of expected revenues. Appendix I contains a full discussion of available and potential funding sources for pedestrian projects.

---

<sup>7</sup> Based on a review of the 2005 and 2006 CIP

**Table 5.3: Funding Estimates for Stand-Alone Pedestrian Projects**

Funding Source	Estimated Annual Amount	
	Local Funds	Grant Funds
Measure A <sup>1</sup>	\$300-\$400K	
Redevelopment Programs <sup>2</sup>	\$0-\$65K	
Regional Bicycle and Pedestrian Program <sup>3</sup>		\$100-\$200K
SACOG Community Design Program <sup>3</sup>		\$300-\$600K
Safe Routes to School Program		\$0-\$500K
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$300-\$465K</b>	<b>\$400K-\$1.3M</b>

Source: MIG & City of Sacramento, 2006

Notes:

- 1 This is in addition to existing alternate modes programs shown in the FY 05 and 06 CIP.
- 2 The estimate assumes that five percent of this funding source will be spent on pedestrian improvement projects.
- 3 It is assumed that the City of Sacramento will receive a fair share amount from these regional programs based on population and that fifty percent will go toward pedestrian improvements.
- 4 Maximum total project cost eligible for the Safe Routes to School Program.

**FUNDING FOR PEDESTRIAN PROJECTS AS ROUTINE ACCOMMODATION**

In addition to stand alone alternate modes projects, the City of Sacramento, as a matter of practice, incorporates alternate modes elements into most capital improvement projects that are not stand alone pedestrian projects. Examples of projects include roadway reconstruction, widening, and extensions, bridge rehabilitation and replacement, streetscape improvements, neighborhood traffic calming projects, and intersection improvements. Alternate mode elements that are considered include street lighting, sidewalk construction and repair, curb ramps, crosswalks, signalized crossings, and on-street bike lanes.

When considering pedestrian improvements in stand alone projects and other capital improvement projects, it is estimated that currently the City programs approximately 23-33% of its overall Transportation CIP to alternate modes improvements. It is expected that when implementing pedestrian improvements with other capital improvement projects, these improvements would be consistent with the Pedestrian Master Plan where possible thereby increasing the net funding for implementing Pedestrian Master Plan projects.

## NEXT STEPS

As noted above, implementation of the *Pedestrian Master Plan* includes document and process updates (as noted in the Goals and Policies section) as well as physical improvements to the pedestrian environment.

The approach to identifying and prioritizing physical improvements is presented through the Pedestrian Improvement Program. Document and process updates were noted in Section IV and are described in greater detail in the Pedestrian Master Plan *Implementation Guide* (Appendix A).

Next steps are outlined in the “to do” list in the following table. The list is divided into accomplishments (i.e. tasks the City has recently completed or are nearly complete), short-term actions, and mid/long-term actions.

**Table 5.4: Sacramento Pedestrian Plan “To Do” List**

<b>Task</b>	<b>Status</b>
<b>Accomplishments</b>	
Develop and implement Neighborhood Traffic Management Program	Underway
Prepare Bikeway Master Plan	Complete
Implement (as resources permit) Bikeway Master Plan	Underway
Prepare American’s with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan	Complete
Implement ADA improvements	Underway
Develop Pedestrian Safety Guidelines	Complete
Create Pedestrian-Friendly Street Standards	Complete
Institute a Streetscapes / Urban Design Capital Improvement Program	Complete
Incorporate pedestrian-friendly practices into City standards	Complete
Develop Riverfront Master Plan	Complete
Implement Riverfront Master Plan	2006 +
Implement Education Programs (Captain Jerry, 50+ Wellness, Driver Awareness, etc.)	Complete
<b>Short-Term Actions</b>	
Adopt Smart Growth Implementation Guide	Underway
Expand pedestrian-friendly development review practices	2006
Amend General Plan to improve pedestrian considerations	Underway
Develop / Implement Pedestrian Path of Travel Strategies Around Construction Activities	Activities Underway
Adopt Pedestrian Improvement (sidewalk) Program (PIP)	2006
Implement Top Priority Sidewalk projects	2006 +
Complete development of the Street Crossings Improvement Program	2006
Implement Top Priority Street Crossing projects	2006 +
<b>Mid and Long-Term Actions</b>	
Update Transportation Programming Guide	Beyond 2006
Update Design and Procedures Manual	Beyond 2006
Review Pedestrian Safety and Access Needs for Seniors	Beyond 2006
Form Partnerships for Pedestrian Awareness and Education	Beyond 2006
Continue to Implement Top Priority Sidewalk Projects	Beyond 2006
Continue to Implement Top Priority Street Crossing Projects	Beyond 2006

*This page intentionally left blank*