

Planning Commission eComments - for 8/12/10

Item	Name	Neighborhood	Position	Comment	Received
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Lisa Webber	District 4	None	I would like to add my opposition to the placement of a modified utility pole with antennas and a satellite dish adjacent to the property at 3200 Riverside Blvd. Potential impacts due to increased fire risk and compromised building security? as well as the potential increase in noise and adverse economic consequences to the existing building tenants businesses outweigh the benefits of placing the equipment at this location.	8/12/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Lucinda Bonnifield-Wolinski		Oppose	I oppose having a cell tower attached to my place of employment. It is a potential fire hazard from lightening strikes. This building is a very old wooden structure without fire breaks. Also? putting the box in the attic is a challenge. Our business is on the other side of the wall from the pole and under where the box would go. We need relaxation and quiet for our clients. This would be difficult with the noise generated by those boxes. There must be a more appropriate location.	8/12/2010
6. M09-003 River District Specific Plan	William Burg	District 4	Oppose	This plan calls for the demolition of the State Printing Plant to make way for the proposed street grid. It was identified by the consultant as National Register eligible. Demolition of a building over 50 years old requires preservation review? including landmark listing if eligible. This contradicts the plan's requirement to demolish it. The DEIR? section 5.3-19? states its demolition is a significant and unavoidable impact. It is not unavoidable. Alter the street plan to avoid demolition.	8/12/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Jillian Perinati		Oppose	I am currently a staff member at one of the local businesses at this location. I am opposed to the planning of a cell tower attached to this business. I am currentley Working out of the office space closest to the pole(less than 9 inches away)? where you are planning to allow the attachement of a noisy unattractive? fire hazard. Not only does this put myslef and our building in danger? it puts our clients and their families in harms way. Please reconsider the location of this cell tower.	8/12/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Sharon Osborn		Oppose	Hello - I'm notifying you that I strongly oppose the installation of the cell tower at 3200 Riverside Ave. It posses a threat to the safety of lives and an environmental hazard. Thank You Sharon Osborn	8/12/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Richard Pierce	District 4	Oppose	Marie and Al Balshor owners of Balshor Florist WANT to have a cell tower at their site 2616 Riverside?Sac. If this site won't work? What about using Sump #2 located on Riverside. The city owns this property & can use the REVENUE from the cell tower owner. Please note both addresses are just down the street from 3200 Riverside site. The city is in the red & receiving revenue might be a good idea. Sac City owns a lot of property in the city? why not get bids from the tower co to use City land?	8/12/2010

Planning Commission eComments - for 8/12/10

Item	Name	Neighborhood	Position	Comment	Received
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Johna Pierce	District 4	Oppose	Marie and Al Balshor owners of Balshor Florist WANT to have a cell tower at their site 2616 Riverside? Sac. They responsible went to all their neighbors and had them sign a petition and they had no opposition to them putting it in the back far corner of their building hidden by trees. This site is just down the street from 3200 Riverside site. It is crazy that they have viable sites yet choose to put it on and IN side an old building. The city would still get their revenue? Please use common sense!	8/12/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Nancy Bruton		Oppose	As a patron for one of the businesses at 3200 Riverside Blvd? I oppose the construction of a cell tower next to the building.	8/12/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Robert Kuhlmann		Oppose	I am opposed to the clear Wire tower. I believe it would have a negative impact on the Riverside Area	8/11/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Marsha Lueck		Oppose	It is hard for me to believe that this Council would even consider installing a cell tower in the building at 3200 Riverside Blvd. The potential for disaster from a fire is certainly there? considering the fact of the shared attic and the age of the building. The noise issue is another factor? with classes going on in the building and business owners and clients having to deal with that. It is hard enough for small business to succeed without having to deal with this issue. Please reconsider.	8/11/2010
Comments not associated with a specific agenda item	dianne schaffer		Oppose	P10-013 Riverside Clear Wire (Notice on 08/02/10) Please do not install the cell tower on the 3220 Riverside Blvd Sacramento CA 95818 building as it will cause difficulties there. Thanks so much....Dianne Schaffer	8/11/2010
5. P07-153 T-Mobile Riverside Blvd Monopine (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Nancy Yilk		Support	The Balshor site has the tower located over 50 ft from any structure and they were responsible by contacting all adjacent businesses and neighbors for opposition? with none... it baffles me how Land Park Association Land Use opposes this location. Since I as a business owner in the 3200 Riverside building do not want a tower located there I suggest Clear Wire talk with the Balshors.	8/11/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Nancy Yilk		Oppose	I am a business owner in this building and myself and the other business owners were not notified on the proposed tower and oppose the installation due to the risk of it as a fire hazard? noise nuisance? security risk and devaluing the financial asset of our businesses for resell value. I will be present at the meeting and will cover our concerns. I will be sending a PDF position paper of our concerns to each commission member tomorrow. Respectively submitted Oppose Nancy Yilk Optimum Health	8/11/2010

Planning Commission eComments - for 8/12/10

Item	Name	Neighborhood	Position	Comment	Received
eComments - Will be posted by 1:00 pm the day of the meeting	Jane Pittari		Oppose	I would like to say I am strongly opposed to any installation on this building if it causes financial hardship or safety issues to the business and community. I am frequently a client of Optimum Health and as such do not wish to be exposed to or see the people who assist others to good health be endangered by potential fire hazards. I am a registered nurse not a fire inspector but the fire hazards are clearly apparent. Surely there are other choices for placement of such a tower.	8/11/2010
5. P07-153 T-Mobile Riverside Blvd Monopine (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Kathy Garozzo	District 7	Support	This is a business and is not noticeable at all.	8/11/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Kathy Garozzo	District 7	Oppose	Do not like this at this location due to business that are attached? as a customer of these business.	8/11/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Diane Henderson	District 4	None	I definitely oppose installation as outlined on this link put together by the businesses in the commercial building? the site of the proposed installation. http://library.constantcontact.com/doc200/1102312320964/doc/gVUdAEAHGNXyZ0eA.pdf	8/11/2010
5. P07-153 T-Mobile Riverside Blvd Monopine (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Teresa Mora		Oppose	Oppose at this site.	8/11/2010
3. P10-013 Riverside ClearWire (Noticed on 8/2/10)	Teresa Mora		Oppose	Oppose at this site.	8/11/2010
eComments - Will be posted by 1:00 pm the day of the meeting	Karen Ford	Multiple Districts	Oppose	Though I have moved from Sacramento to Vacaville? I travel specifically to Sacramento to do business with two of the businesses in this building. I oppose the installation of this tower should it pose any financial or safety issues for the businesses? personnel? and adult and child customers.	8/11/2010
eComments - Will be posted by 1:00 pm the day of the meeting	Elizabeth Delgado	District 5	Oppose	I oppose any installation on the building - and any future cell tower installations - if it causes financial hardship to a business and community I value!	8/11/2010